

Does sarcopenia predict perioperative mortality in patients with advanced ovarian cancer?

E. CAN¹, S. SÖNMEZ², M. KONAL³, H.A. ŞİRİNOĞLU⁴,
N.A. SEYHAN⁵, Ö. AKBAYIR⁴

¹Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, ²Department of Radiology, Kanuni Sultan Suleyman Research Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey

³Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, Kocaeli University, Kocaeli, Turkey

⁴Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Cemil Tascioglu City Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey

⁵Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, Adnan Menderes University, Aydin, Turkey

Abstract. – OBJECTIVE: The aim of this study was to assess prognostic value of frailty for the prediction of surgical complications and mortality in women with end-stage ovarian cancer subjected to curative oncological surgery and its value for long-term follow-up.

PATIENTS AND METHODS: A total of 75 advanced-stage consecutive ovarian cancer patients who underwent elective surgery were investigated. The demographic data and clinical information related to the oncologic treatment were collected in the electronic and physical case records and included the following: age, ethnic group, comorbidities, staging of cancer, surgical procedure details, lymphadenectomy, American Society of Anesthesiology (ASA) grade, anesthetic technique, operative blood loss, operative time, and residual disease. A radiologist, who was blinded to patient outcomes, performed quantitative assessment of psoas muscle areas using the available computed tomography scan images at the caudal end of the third lumbar vertebra.

RESULTS: The mean age was 61.2 ± 18.2 years, and the percentage of patients over 65 years was 78%. Comorbidities (hypertension, diabetes, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, renal insufficiency) were seen in 37 patients (49%). Histological types were adenocarcinoma in all patients (0) mostly serous adenocarcinoma (62%) and stage 3 (58%). According to psoas muscle measurement, 55 patients (73%) were nonsarcopenic and 20 patients (26%) were sarcopenic. Debulking procedures were performed on all patients. Also, bowel resection was applied in 40% of patients. Preoperative anesthetic examinations had shown that they were mostly ASA score 2 (44 patients). Moreover, 26 patients were ASA score 3. Generally, total operative time was between 121-240 minutes, and total blood loss was generally under 500 ml. Postoperative complications were seen in 26% of the patients. Non-surgical complications were ob-

served in 14% of the patients, while non-surgical complications were observed in 12%. Length of the hospital stay >10 days was seen in 10 patients. Mortality was seen in 1 patient during 30 days after operation. Nonsurgical complications (pneumonia, urinary tract infections, cardiac complications) were significantly higher in comparison to nonsarcopenic patients. However surgical complications were comparable between each group. Mortality after hospitalization and length of hospital stay were significantly higher in sarcopenic patients in comparison with the nonsarcopenic group.

CONCLUSIONS: The use of the value of the psoas muscle region is considered to be a method to predict the in-hospital mortality when there is an available abdominal CT scan that has already been performed for ovarian cancer patients with a significant comparable clinical and laboratory background. According to the findings, patients had worse surgical outcomes and higher postoperative nonsurgical complication rate when sarcopenic patients were compared to nonsarcopenic patients. Moreover, postoperative mortality and length of hospital stay were significantly higher in sarcopenic patients in comparison to non-sarcopenic group.

Key Words:

Sarcopenia, Ovarian cancer, Mortality.

Introduction

Ovarian cancers have a high risk of morbidity and mortality. In addition, there are ongoing efforts to rapidly and accurately assess ovarian cancer patients, establish treatment plans and mobilise the necessary resources to provide the highest level of care to reduce mortality and morbidity in the long term^{1,2}. The cornerstone of

groups, surgical and non-surgical. Peritonitis, intraperitoneal bleeding and intestinal obstruction were classified as postoperative surgical complications. Pneumonia, infections at blood vessel catheterisation, urinary tract infection and cardiac complications were classified as non-surgical complications. Morbidity, mortality and postoperative courses were compared between sarcopenic and non-sarcopenic groups.

Statistical Analysis

All analyses were carried out using SPSS, version 22 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). The data were normalised using the Shapiro-Wilk's test. Continuous data were analysed by *t*-test and are presented as means with standard deviation. Categorical data were tested for significance using the chi-square test, where appropriate, and are reported as proportions. Pearson correlation analysis was performed to examine the associations of in-hospital mortality with clinical parameters. All statistical tests were two-tailed, and *p*-value < 0.05 was established as the statistical significance level.

Results

The baseline demographic and clinical characteristics of the study group are summarised in Table I. The mean age was 61.2 ± 18.2 years, and 78% of patients were aged > 65 years. Comorbidities (hypertension, diabetes, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and renal insufficiency) were seen in 37 patients (49%). Histological types were adenocarcinoma in all patients (100%), mainly serous adenocarcinoma (62%) and stage 3 (58%). According to psoas muscle measurements, 55 patients (73%) were non-sarcopenic, and 20 patients (26%) were sarcopenic. Surgical characteristics and postoperative complications are summarised in Table II. Debulking procedures were performed on all patients, while bowel resection was also applied in 40% of the patients. Preoperative anesthetic examinations had shown that the majority of patients were ASA score 2 (44 patients), with 26 patients classed as ASA score 3. In general, the total operative time was between 121 and 240 minutes, with total blood loss < 500 ml. Postoperative complications were seen in 26% of patients, while non-surgical complications were observed in 14% of patients, and 12% experienced non-surgical complications. Length of hospital stay >10 days were seen in 10 patients,

and mortality was seen in one patient within 30 days of surgery.

Non-surgical complications (pneumonia, urinary tract infections and cardiac complications) were significantly higher in sarcopenic patients than in non-sarcopenic patients are summarized in Table III. However, surgical complications were comparable in the two groups. In addition, mortality after hospitalisation and length of hospital stay were significantly higher in sarcopenic patients, compared with the non-sarcopenic group.

Discussion

Methods to determine preoperative risk in ovarian cancer patients would greatly assist in the prediction of endpoints before surgery and in the identification of high-risk patients.

In the current retrospective analysis of ovarian cancer patients with significantly comparable clinical and laboratory backgrounds, psoas muscle area measurement (using previously acquired abdominal CT scans) was evaluated as a simple procedure to predict in-hospital mortality. The

Table I. Patient sociodemographic, clinical and skeletal muscle parameters (n = 75).

Characteristic	Ovarian n = 75 (%)
Mean age	
Age category, y, n (%)	61
> 65 y	59 (78)
< 65 y	16 (22)
Comorbidity, n (%)	
No	38 (51)
Yes	37 (49)
Comorbidity type, n (%)	
Hypertension	19 (51)
Diabetes	4 (11)
COPD	7 (18)
Renal Insufficiency	7 (18)
Histologic type, n (%)	
Adenocarcinoma	75 (100)
Sarcoma	(0)
Histologic subtype, n (%)	
Endometrioid	7 (9)
Serous	47 (62)
Others	21 (29)
Stage, n (%)	
III	44 (58)
IV	31 (42)
Sarcopenia, n (%)	
No	55 (73.3)
Yes	20 (26.7)

Table II. Surgical characteristics and postoperative complications.

Characteristic	Ovarian n = 75 (%)
Surgical procedure, n (%)	
Total hysterectomy with bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy+omentectomy	40 (53)
Salpingo-oophorectomy+omentectomy	5 (7)
Total hysterectomy with bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy+omentectomy+bowel resection	30 (40)
Lymphadenectomy, n (%)	
No	0 (0)
Yes	75 (100)
ASA score n (%)	
1	5 (6.6)
2	44 (58.6)
3	26 (34.6)
Operative time (min), n (%)	
≤ 120	9 (12)
121-240	40 (53.3)
> 241	26 (34.6)
Operative blood loss (mL), n (%)	
≤ 500	59 (78.7)
500-1000	13 (17.3)
> 1000	3 (4)
Anesthetic technique, n (%)	
General	75 (100)
Postoperative complications, n (%)	
No	55 (73.4)
Yes	20 (26.6)
Length of stay in hospital (d), n (%)	
< 4	21 (28.0)
4-6	32 (42.6)
7-9	12 (16)
> 10	10 (13.3)
Death 30 day after operation, n (%)	
No	74 (99)
Yes	1 (1)

Values showed are medians (range) or n (%).

Table III. Comparison of morbidity, mortality, and postoperative courses between sarcopenic and nonsarcopenic patients.

	Sarcopenic group n = 20 (%)	Nonsarcopenic group n = 55 (%)	p-value
Age	64 ± 3	61 ± 2	0.58
Postoperative complications	3 (15)	6 (12)	
Peritonitis	2 (10)	2 (4)	0.45
Intraperitoneal bleeding	1 (5)	3 (6)	0.71
Intestinal obstruction	0 (0)	1 (2)	0.22
Nonsurgical complications	8 (40)	3 (6)	0.01
Pneumonia	5 (25)	1 (2)	0.01
Infections at blood vessel catheterization	1 (5)	0 (0)	0.05
Urinary tract infection	1 (5)	1 (2)	0.01
Cardiac complications	1 (5)	1 (2)	0.01
Mortality	1 (5)	0 (0)	0.03
Length of hospital stay (median)	9	6	0.56
< 4	4 (20)	17 (31)	0.06
4-9	9 (45)	35 (63)	0.02
> 10	7 (35)	3 (6)	0.01
Death < 30 day after operation			
No	19 (95)	55 (100)	0.06
Yes	1 (5)	0 (0)	0.03

Values showed are medians (standard deviation) or n (%).

findings indicated that sarcopenic patients experienced worse surgical outcomes and higher post-operative complication rates than non-sarcopenic patients. Decreased rates of in-hospital mortality were correlated with increased psoas muscle area. Moreover, in long-term follow-up of the patients, lower psoas muscle area correlated with worse outcomes. Although there have been previous studies¹⁶ on frailty and survival in patients with ovarian cancer, our study differed from others in that the age range was homogeneous, all patients were at an advanced stage of disease, and only the ovarian cancer group of gynaecological cancers was included.

Interestingly, a study by Reiser et al¹⁷ showed that the vast majority of frail patients had significantly shorter progression-free and overall survival. This study¹⁷ included not only patients with ovarian cancer, but also all subgroups of gynecological cancers. Moreover, the ovarian cancer group was the smallest gynecological patient subgroup of the study.

There is a lack of consensus regarding the optimal method of assessing sarcopenia and frailty¹⁸⁻²⁰. Multiple techniques of sarcopenia assessment exist, including a wide range of clinical scales and several radiological and biochemical evaluation methods, including ultrasound, dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and CT⁵⁻⁷. In particular, CT is considered a clinically accurate method of assessing skeletal muscle mass. It enables the estimation of muscle mass from abdominal cross-sections and offers crucial quantitative information regarding muscle composition and distribution through high-quality images, spatial accuracy, and location features. Abdominal CT scans or enhanced examinations are usually performed before ovarian cancer surgery to evaluate the tumor stage. Measurement of L3 skeletal muscle mass at the same time would mean that costs would not be increased, reducing the burden on patients. The complexity of DEXA, nuclear MRI and bioelectrical impedance test methods means that these testing processes incur additional patient inspection costs. The prospects for clinical application of these methods are less promising, compared with CT examinations.

Moreover, the widespread use of preoperative CT imaging of the abdomen provides the opportunity for a CT-based marker of frailty to be integrated into clinical practice. This may offer an easy, quick, reproducible and objective marker of sarcopenia utilising currently available imaging

at no additional expense. Such a method could provide details to direct patient management as well as aid the creation of perioperative pathways to enhance recovery in older people with sarcopenia. Compared with other recent studies²⁰⁻²⁴, the major differences found in our study mainly resulted from (1) differences in test methodology, including measurement of muscle mass, muscle strength and physical status agonists, (2) choice of patients, such as chronic vs. acute disease status, (3) the sensitivity and specificity of tests and (4) the non-uniform cut-off values recorded. Unfortunately, no gold standard is available for the measurement of sarcopenia, which accounts for the wide range of sarcopenia prevalence showed in previous studies²⁵⁻²⁸. The use of different methods for assessing the psoas major muscle means that evaluation of frailty is somewhat complex, yielding variable results as the chosen imaging methods (ranging from ultrasound-based systems to X-ray methods) are based on different principles; in addition, the selected cut-off values chosen to define sarcopenia can vary. Moreover, consensus definitions for the diagnosis of sarcopenia have used cut-off values for low muscle mass based on DEXA or BIA measurements. Regarding CT-based methods, as low levels of muscle mass are part of the ageing process, especially in terms of primary sarcopenia, ranges or mean values of skeletal muscle mass obtained by CT will vary in different populations due to factors such as ethnic and cultural differences, nutritional habits and physical activity levels. In our study, age-specific mean or cut-off values of muscle mass were used. Moreover, the use of age-specific estimation values led to a greater number of age-specific frail patients in this study, compared with literature.

Limitations

There were several limitations in our study. First, this was a retrospective study of patients with advanced-stage ovarian cancer (Stage IIIC-IVB), and some residual confounding factors could not be ruled out, possibly leading to a certain degree of deviation. Second, as a single institution provided the study population, the findings might not be generalisable to the entire population. Finally, there were fewer samples in the ovarian cancer group. Multi-centre and longitudinal studies with larger sample sizes are needed to verify the association between mortality and sarcopenia in patients with ovarian cancer. Moreover, the findings of this study reveal the

importance of perioperative risk assessment of these ovarian cancer patients. To create detailed and careful care plans based on patients' physical status, physicians need to take into account the appropriate medical treatments, management of sarcopenia, treatable causes of weight loss, and other causes of frailty.

Conclusions

The use of psoas muscle area is considered to be a method to predict in-hospital mortality in ovarian cancer patients with comparable clinical and laboratory backgrounds, based on the availability of previously performed abdominal CT scans. The findings showed that sarcopenic patients had worse surgical outcomes and higher postoperative non-surgical complication rates, compared with non-sarcopenic patients. Moreover, postoperative mortality and length of hospital stay were significantly higher in sarcopenic patients in comparison to non-sarcopenic group.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Ethics Approval

Ethical committee approval was received by the Ethics Committee of University of Health Sciences Kanuni Sultan Süleyman Training and Research Hospital (Approval No.: February 2, 2021.01.31).

Informed Consent

Written informed consent was obtained from all participants who participated in this study.

Authors' Contribution

Concept – Esra Can; Design – Esra Can; Supervision – Özgür Akbayır; Materials – Esra Can, Süleyman Sönmez, Merve Konal, Hicran Acar Şirinoglu, Niyazi Alper Seyhan; Data Collection and/or Processing – Esra Can, Süleyman Sönmez, Merve Konal, Hicran Acar Şirinoglu, Niyazi Alper Seyhan; Analysis and/or Interpretation – Esra Can, Merve Konal; Literature Review – Esra Can, Süleyman Sönmez, Merve Konal, Hicran Acar Şirinoglu; Niyazi Alper Seyhan; Writing – Esra Can, Merve Konal; Critical Review – Esra Can, Süleyman Sönmez, Merve Konal, Hicran Acar Şirinoglu, Niyazi Alper Seyhan.

Funding

This study received no funding.

ORCID ID

Esra Can: 0000-0001-7851-4026; Süleyman Sönmez: 0000-0002-2475-7804; Merve Konal: 0000-0001-5494-809X; Hicran Acar Şirinoglu: 0000-0003-4100-3868; Niyazi Alper Seyhan: 0000-0003-0543-6180; Özgür Akbayır: 0000-0001-8908-8595.

References

- 1) Stewart C, Ralyea C, Lockwood S. Ovarian Cancer: An Integrated Review. *Semin Oncol Nurs* 2019; 35: 151-156.
- 2) Matulonis UA, Sood AK, Fallowfield L, Howitt BE, Sehouli J, Karlan BY. Ovarian cancer. *Nat Rev Dis Primers* 2016; 2: 16061.
- 3) S Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2016. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2016; 66: 7-30.
- 4) Jemal A, Bray F, Center MM, Ferlay J, Ward E, Forman D. Global cancer statistics. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2011; 61: 69-90.
- 5) Sant M, Chirlaque Lopez MD, Agresti R, Sánchez Pérez MJ, Holleczeck B, Bielska-Lasota M, Dimitrova N, Innos K, Katalinic A, Langseth H, Larrañaga N, Rossi S, Siesling S, Minicozzi P. EU-ROCARE-5 Working Group. Survival of women with cancers of breast and genital organs in Europe 1999-2007: Results of the EUROCARE-5 study. *Eur J Cancer* 2015; 51: 2191-2205.
- 6) Lowe KA, Chia VM, Taylor A, O'Malley C, Kelsh M, Mohamed M, Mowat FS, Goff B. An international assessment of ovarian cancer incidence and mortality. *Gynecol Oncol* 2013; 130: 107-14.
- 7) Sung PL, Chang YH, Chao KC, Chuang CM. Task Force on Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Ovarian Cancer. Global distribution pattern of histological subtypes of epithelial ovarian cancer: a database analysis and systematic review. *Gynecol Oncol* 201; 133: 147-154.
- 8) Clark TG, Stewart ME, Altman DG, Gabra H, Smyth JF. A prognostic model for ovarian cancer. *Br J Cancer* 2001; 85: 944-952.
- 9) Akesson M, Jakobsen AM, Zetterqvist BM, Holmberg E, Brännström M, Horvath G. A population-based 5-year cohort study including all cases of epithelial ovarian cancer in western Sweden: 10-year survival and prognostic factors. *Int J Gynecol Cancer* 2009; 19: 116-123.
- 10) Nagle CM, Dixon SC, Jensen A, Kjaer SK, Modugno F, deFazio A, Fereday S, Hung J, Johnatty SE; Australian Ovarian Cancer Study Group, Fasching PA, Beckmann MW, Lambrechts D, Vergote I, Van Nieuwenhuysen E, Lambrechts S, Risch HA, Rossing MA, Doherty JA, Wicklund KG, Chang-Claude J, Goodman MT, Ness RB, Moysich K, Heitz F, du Bois A, Harter P, Schwaab I, Matsuo K, Hosono S, Goode EL, Vierkant RA, Larson MC, Fridley BL, Høgdall C, Schildkraut

- JM, Weber RP, Cramer DW, Terry KL, Bandera EV, Paddock L, Rodriguez-Rodriguez L, Wentzensen N, Yang HP, Brinton LA, Lissowska J, Høgdall E, Lundvall L, Whittemore A, McGuire V, Sieh W, Rothstein J, Sutphen R, Anton-Culver H, Ziogas A, Pearce CL, Wu AH, Webb PM; Ovarian Cancer Association Consortium. Obesity and survival among women with ovarian cancer: results from the Ovarian Cancer Association Consortium. *Br J Cancer* 2015; 113: 817-826.
- 11) Prado CM, Lieffers JR, McCargar LJ, Reiman T, Sawyer MB, Martin L, Baracos VE. Prevalence and clinical implications of sarcopenic obesity in patients with solid tumours of the respiratory and gastrointestinal tracts: a population-based study. *Lancet Oncol* 2008; 9: 629-635.
 - 12) Iritani S, Imai K, Takai K, Hanai T, Ideta T, Miyazaki T, Suetsugu A, Shiraki M, Shimizu M, Moriwaki H. Skeletal muscle depletion is an independent prognostic factor for hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Gastroenterol* 2015; 50: 323-332.
 - 13) Voron T, Tselikas L, Pietrasz D, Pigneur F, Laurent A, Compagnon P, Salloum C, Luciani A, Azoulay D. Sarcopenia Impacts on Short- and Long-term Results of Hepatectomy for Hepatocellular Carcinoma. *Ann Surg* 2015; 261: 1173-1183.
 - 14) Sabel MS, Lee J, Cai S, Englesbe MJ, Holcombe S, Wang S. Sarcopenia as a prognostic factor among patients with stage III melanoma. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2011; 18: 3579-3585.
 - 15) Tan BH, Birdsell LA, Martin L, Baracos VE, Fearon KC. Sarcopenia in an overweight or obese patient is an adverse prognostic factor in pancreatic cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* 2009; 15: 6973-6979.
 - 16) Sehoul J, Mueller K, Richter R, Anker M, Woopen H, Rasch J, Grabowski JP, Prinz-Theissing E, Inci MG. Effects of sarcopenia and malnutrition on morbidity and mortality in gynecologic cancer surgery: results of a prospective study. *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle* 2021; 12: 393-402.
 - 17) Reiser E, Pötsch N, Seebacher V, Reinhaller A, Wimazal F, Fleischmann E, Krainer M, Horvat R, Polterauer S, Grimm C. Impact of frailty on the management of patients with gynecological cancer aged 80 years and older. *Arch Gynecol Obstet* 2021; 303: 557-563.
 - 18) Cruz-Jentoft AJ, Bahat G, Bauer J, Boirie Y, Bruyère O, Cederholm T, Cooper C, Landi F, Roland Y, Sayer AA, Schneider SM, Sieber CC, Topinkova E, Vandewoude M, Visser M, Zamboni M. Writing Group for the European Working Group on Sarcopenia in Older People 2 (EWGSOP2), and the Extended Group for EWGSOP2. Sarcopenia: revised European consensus on definition and diagnosis. *Age Ageing* 2019; 48: 16-31.
 - 19) Fox B, Henwood T, Schaap L, Bruyère O, Reginster JY, Beaudart C, Buckinx F, Roberts H, Cooper C, Cherubini A, dell'Aquila G, Maggio M, Volpato S. Adherence to a standardized protocol for measuring grip strength and appropriate cut-off values in adults over 65 years with sarcopenia: a systematic review protocol. *JBI Database System Rev Implement Rep* 2015; 13: 50-59.
 - 20) Thomas DR. Sarcopenia. *Clin Geriatr Med* 2010; 26: 331-346.
 - 21) Schaap LA, van Schoor NM, Lips P, Visser M. Associations of Sarcopenia Definitions, and Their Components, With the Incidence of Recurrent Falling and Fractures: The Longitudinal Aging Study Amsterdam. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2018; 73: 1199-1204.
 - 22) Mijnders DM, Meijers JM, Halfens RJ, ter Borg S, Luiking YC, Verlaan S, Schoberer D, Cruz-Jentoft AJ, van Loon LJ, Schols JM. Validity and reliability of tools to measure muscle mass, strength, and physical performance in community-dwelling older people: a systematic review. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2013; 14: 170-178.
 - 23) Ishii S, Tanaka T, Shibasaki K, Ouchi Y, Kikutani T, Higashiguchi T, Obuchi SP, Ishikawa-Takata K, Hirano H, Kawai H, Tsuji T, Iijima K. Development of a simple screening test for sarcopenia in older adults. *Geriatr Gerontol Int* 2014; 14 Suppl 1: 93-101.
 - 24) Schweitzer L, Geisler C, Pourhassan M, Braun W, Glüer CC, Bosy-Westphal A, Müller MJ. What is the best reference site for a single MRI slice to assess whole-body skeletal muscle and adipose tissue volumes in healthy adults? *Am J Clin Nutr* 2015; 102: 58-65.
 - 25) Mitsopoulos N, Baumgartner RN, Heymsfield SB, Lyons W, Gallagher D, Ross R. Cadaver validation of skeletal muscle measurement by magnetic resonance imaging and computerized tomography. *J Appl Physiol* (1985) 1998; 85: 115-122.
 - 26) Cruz-Jentoft AJ, Baeyens JP, Bauer JM, Boirie Y, Cederholm T, Landi F, Martin FC, Michel JP, Roland Y, Schneider SM, Topinková E, Vandewoude M, Zamboni M; European Working Group on Sarcopenia in Older People. Sarcopenia: European consensus on definition and diagnosis: Report of the European Working Group on Sarcopenia in Older People. *Age Ageing* 2010; 39: 412-423.
 - 27) Morley JE, Abbatecola AM, Argiles JM, Baracos V, Bauer J, Bhasin S, Cederholm T, Coats AJ, Cummings SR, Evans WJ, Fearon K, Ferrucci L, Fielding RA, Guralnik JM, Harris TB, Inui A, Kalandar-Zadeh K, Kirwan BA, Mantovani G, Muscaritoli M, Newman AB, Rossi-Fanelli F, Rosano GM, Roubenoff R, Schambelan M, Sokol GH, Storer TW, Vellas B, von Haehling S, Yeh SS, Anker SD; Society on Sarcopenia, Cachexia and Wasting Disorders Trialist Workshop. Sarcopenia with limited mobility: an international consensus. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2011; 12: 403-409.
 - 28) Muscaritoli M, Anker SD, Argilés J, Aversa Z, Bauer JM, Biolo G, Boirie Y, Bosaeus I, Cederholm T, Costelli P, Fearon KC, Laviano A, Maggio M, Rossi Fanelli F, Schneider SM, Schols A, Sieber CC. Consensus definition of sarcopenia, cachexia and pre-cachexia: joint document elaborated by Special Interest Groups (SIG) "cachexia-anorexia in chronic wasting diseases" and "nutrition in geriatrics". *Clin Nutr* 2010; 29: 154-159.
 - 29) Kim JS, Kim WY, Park HK, Kim MC, Jung W, Ko BS. Simple Age Specific Cutoff Value for Sarcopenia Evaluated by Computed Tomography. *Ann Nutr Metab* 2017; 71: 157-163.