Evaluation of factors affecting treatment and mortality in patients over 65 years of age and without chronic disease, followed in the Intensive Care Unit due to COVID-19

M.T. ILGINEL¹, A.O. YESILYURT², E. OZYILMAZ³, O.O. ILGINEL⁴, M. SENER², D.L. TUNAY¹, F. KARACAER¹, E. BIRICIK¹, M.A. UCAR²

Abstract. – **OBJECTIVE:** In this study, demographic, clinical, and laboratory data of patients aged 65 and over who are followed on mechanical ventilators due to COVID-19 in intensive care clinics will be useful in terms of strategies to be developed in the fight against COVID-19 and other infectious agents.

PATIENTS AND METHODS: Our study included 299 patients aged 65 years and older, who were not chronically ill, and who were followed up on mechanical ventilators due to COVID-19 in intensive care clinics in the period between 2020 and 2022. Our study was designed as a retrospective cross-sectional study. The demographic characteristics of the patients included in the study, their complaints during hospitalization, the time between the beginning of the complaint and the admission to the hospital, the vital signs at the time of admission to the hospital, the lung computed tomography findings during hospitalization, and the treatments given were examined.

RESULTS: 55.9% of all patients were males, and the mean age was 75.45±7.47 years. While there was no significant difference in terms of mean age between the groups of patients with/without a higher risk of mortality, there was a significant difference in gender (p=0.025). There was a statistically significant difference between the COVID-19 intensive care (p=0.001) and renal failure (p=0.014) and mortality groups. The mean nutric score, Procalcitonin (PCT), Lactate Dehydrogenase (LDH), Blood Urea Nitrogen (BUN), Phosphorus, and lactate values. which are important parameters, were statistically higher in the group with a higher risk of mortality (p<0.001). In addition, there was a statistically significant difference in terms of sepsis, neuromuscular blocker (Nmb), vasopressor, and intubation between the groups of patients with/without a higher risk of mortality (p<0.001). In the group with high mortality, 34.2% (n=55) had plasmapheresis treatment, and 14.2% had hemodiafiltration treatment (p<0.001). According to the results of the multivariate logistic regression model in determining the factors associated with a higher risk of mortality, those who were males (p=0.001), those with kidney failure (p<0.001), those with organ failure (p=0.006), increased in alanine aminotransferase (ALT) values (p=0.019), those with sedation (p=0.001) and those with vasopressors (p<0.001) were found to have an increased risk of mortality.

CONCLUSIONS: We think that our study is valuable in terms of determining the most appropriate treatment strategies by following the patients in terms of parameters that are significant in the findings during their follow-up period in the Intensive Care Unit.

Key Words:

Chronic disease, COVID-19, Intensive care unit, Mortality.

Introduction

Shortly after the detection of pneumonia cases of unknown origin in Wuhan, China, in December 2019, the cause was determined to be a new coronavirus, and the World Health Organization (WHO) gave the virus the name "Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome-Coronavirus-2" (SARS-CoV-2). The disease it caused is known as Corona Virus Disease 2019 (COVID-19). In the literature, it has been reported that during the course of the COVID-19 disease, the need for intensive care hospitalization developed due to mild organ

¹Department of Anesthesiology, Faculty of Medicine, Balcalı Hospital, Cukurova University, Adana, Turkey ²Department of Hematology, Faculty of Medicine, Balcalı Hospital, Cukurova University, Adana, Turkey

³Department of Chest Disease and Intensive Care Unit, Faculty of Medicine, Çukurova University,

⁴Department of Anesthesiology, Adana City Hospital, Adana, Turkey

failure in 81%, severe course in 14% and severe organ failure in 5%1. Respiratory failure due to acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), which usually develops 7-12 days after the onset of disease symptoms, septic shock, multi-organ failure (myocarditis, arrhythmias, cardiogenic shock, coagulation disorders, endocrinopathies, liver and kidney damage, metabolic acidosis, neurological complications, etc.) may occur. In these patients, noninvasive mechanical ventilation, high-flow nasal oxygen therapy, and invasive mechanical ventilation methods are applied. Life support treatments such as vasopressors, inotropes, and dialysis are provided in patients with renal failure, multiorgan failure, and shock². Risk factors such as male gender, obesity, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, advanced age, smoking, immunosuppressive disease, chronic lung disease, and chronic kidney failure cause higher mortality and morbidity in COVID-19 patients³. While it varies according to the countries, the overall mortality rate is 5.2%, while this rate varies between 30% and 100% in critically ill patients who need mechanical ventilation⁴.

In its current state, the disease progresses more severely and causes death, especially in the elderly and individuals with chronic diseases. First of all, it should be underlined that the disease is not fatal in most of the elderly, but a significant portion of the patients who need intensive care and die are the elderly. Due to the decline in both the immune system and the anatomical and physiological natural defense systems against pathogens with advancing age, infectious diseases are more common in geriatric patients, and these diseases may progress more severely than in young people⁵.

Available data6 suggest that a significant proportion of the elderly may have atypical symptoms of COVID-19. It was found that patients who needed intensive care due to COVID-19 were older, and atypical findings for acute lung infection appeared approximately 6.5 days before dyspnea in these patients. The fact that this period is 2.5 days for COVID-19 patients who do not need intensive care and who are younger is quite striking in terms of the importance of atypical presentations in elderly cases⁶. In addition, another important issue to consider is that the side effects and potential drug interactions of the treatments used in the treatment of COVID-19 may adversely affect the course of the infection in geriatric patients, who are very sensitive in this regard. It should be kept in mind that COVID-19 may show atypical presentations in geriatric cases; therefore,

the infection may be present in this patient group, even if the classical symptoms of the disease and especially fever, are not present.

It is very important to determine which patients are at risk for Intensive Care Unit (ICU) admission and mortality in COVID-19 infection. Risk factors vary from demographic factors such as age, gender, and ethnicity to nutritional and lifestyle habits, underlying diseases, and genetic factors⁷. Defining demographic, clinical, and laboratory data other than chronic diseases that will show a severe course will be beneficial for the strategies to be developed in the fight against other infectious agents, such as COVID-19, as well as guiding clinicians in identifying patients who will benefit from early treatment.

Patients and Methods

Patients

This study was conducted in accordance with the Principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Cukurova University Faculty of Medicine Scientific Ethics Committee. (Ethics Committee date: 7 October 2022, Issue No.: 126). Our study included 299 patients aged 65 years and older, who were not chronically ill and had positive COVID-19 polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and/or rapid antibody test, who were followed up on mechanical ventilators due to COVID-19 in intensive care clinics in the period between 2020-2022. Our study was designed as a retrospective cross-sectional study. Demographic characteristics of the patients included in the study (age, gender, smoking), chronic diseases, complaints during hospitalization, time between the beginning of the complaint and admission to the hospital, vital signs at the time of admission to the hospital, lung computed tomography findings during hospitalization, hemoglobin (g/dL), platelet (10⁹/L), alanine aminotransferase (ALT) (U/L), aspartate aminotransferase (AST) (U/L), total bilirubin (mg/dL), direct bilirubin (mg/dL), lactate dehydrohegenase (LDH) (U/L), creatinine kinase (CK) (U/L), blood urea nitrogen (BUN) (mg/dL), creatinine (mg/dL), albumin (mg/dL), D -dimer (ng/mL), ferritin (ng/mL), troponin (ng/mL), C-reactive protein (CRP) (mg/L), c) (ng/mL), arterial blood gas values (pH), arterial oxygen pressure and carbon dioxide pressure (PaO₂, PaCO₂), bicarbonate value (HCO₂) and lactate values, mechanical ventilation, vasopressor use, treatments given (plasmapheresis, favipiravir, tocilizumab, anakinra, and steroid use) were retrieved from patient files and electronic records were recorded retrospectively. All of the patients consisted of patients followed up from a single center. The patients consisted of patients who needed a ventilator during admission to the Emergency Department or during follow-up in the service. Patients who were not on mechanical ventilators and had chronic disease were excluded from the study. All the patients had COVID-19 PCR positivity, and all patients were given low molecular weight heparin therapy together with steroid therapy. Pulmonary involvement of the patients was confirmed by computerized tomography as well as pa-lung radiography. All laboratory results were evaluated by the same central laboratory.

The inclusion and exclusion criteria for the study are shown in Table I.

Treatments

Steroid

The patients in the study received a 10-day treatment protocol with 500 mg (milligrams) methylprednisolone intravenously for 3 days, followed by 1 mg/kg (milligrams/kilogram) methylprednisolone for 7 days.

Favipiravir

Favipiravir, a selective RNA-dependent RNA polymerase inhibitor, is an antiviral used in the treatment of influenza in some Asian countries. Apart from influenza, it also has an inhibitory effect against many viruses such as arena-, bunya-, flavi- and filoviruses, and hemorrhagic fever viruses such as ebola⁸. Administration dose, day 1: 1,600 mg (8 tablets) in the morning and 1,600 mg (8 tablets) in the

evening, twice a day, 2-5. days: 600 mg (3 tablets) in the morning and 600 mg (3 tablets) in the evening were given twice a day. A 5-day treatment period was applied.

Tocilizumab (Remdesivir)

Remdesivir (RDV, GS-5734) is a nucleotide analog broad-spectrum antiviral. It has potent antiviral activity against various RNA viruses [Ebola, Marburg, MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV, respiratory syncytial virus (RSV), Ni-pah virus and Hendra virus]. Its mechanism of action is thought to be the premature termination of viral RNA transcription. RDV shows potent antiviral effects [SARS-CoV (EC₅₀=0.07 µM), MERS-CoV (EC₅₀=0.07 μ M) and bat CoV] in human lung epithelial cell culture. Remdesivir also shows superior antiviral efficacy than lopinavir/ritonavir (LPV/r) plus interferon (IFN) beta-1a (LPV/r-IFNb) regimen in the MERS-CoV mouse model9. Tocilizumab treatment was used in patients with complications of macrophage activation syndrome (MAS) who did not respond to glucocorticoid treatment or who had rapidly progressive MAS findings. Tocilizumab was administered to the patients at a dose of 8 mg/kg (maximum 800 mg).

Anakinra

Nod-like receptor family pyrin domain-containing 3 (NLRP3) is an inflammasome secreted to protect the body from harmful stimuli, including viruses. NLRP3 activates caspase-1, which is responsible for the abundant release and activation of interleukin (IL)-1 β and IL-18. It was previously shown¹⁰ that SARS-CoV induces NLRP3 with the ion channel forming M protein and open reading frame 8b (ORF8b). Anakinra successfully inhibits IL-1 cytokines, with the exception of IL-18. The recommended

Table I. Inclusion and exclusion criteria for the study.

Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria
1. Patients aged 65 and over	1. Patients under 65 years of age
2. Patients in the intensive care unit	2. Patients with coronary artery disease and heart failure
3. Patients on mechanical ventilator	3. Those with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease
4. Patients without chronic disease	4. Patients with malignancy
5. Patients with acute renal failure	5. Patients with chronic renal failure
6. Patients who have received steroid therapy	6. Patients with chronic liver parenchyma disease
and low molecular weight heparin.	7. Patients not in the intensive care unit
<i>.</i>	Patients not connected to the ventilator were not included in the study.
	Patients not given steroid therapy or low molecular weight heparin therapy

dose is 1-2 mg/kg/g, the maximum daily dose is 8 mg/kg. The anakinra dose may be increased to 200 mg every 6 hours in some resistant patients. Anakinra treatment was used in patients with MAS complications and who did not respond to glucocorticoid treatment.

Plasmapheresis

It is an extracorporeal supportive treatment that retains the replication components of the virus or the virus itself with modified (lectin-embedded) dialysis filters and delivers uninfected plasma to the patient. A central venous catheter was generally used for plasma exchange therapy. The treatment was administered 5-7 times, every other day, to patients who did not respond to glucocorticoid therapy or whose clinical course progressed rapidly. Plasma was exchanged 1-1.5 times the calculated plasma volume in each application.

Statistical Analysis

SPSS 25.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) package program was used for data analysis in the study. Descriptive data on the sociodemographic information of the participants are given in the form of frequency tables (n and %). Data belonging to continuous variables are given as mean \pm SD. When the data of the study were analyzed in terms of normality assumptions, Kolmogorov-Smirnov values were determined as p>0.05. Independent t-test, one of the parametric tests, was used to determine whether there was a significant difference between various sociodemographic, clinical, and biochemical variables and mortality groups. Chi-Square test or Fisher's Exact test was used to compare catego-

rical variables. Finally, the results of Multivariate Logistic Regression on mortality presence of various clinical factors are given. p<0.05 was considered statistically significant

Results

The study included a total of 299 patients, 164 with a higher risk of mortality and 135 without mortality. 55.9% of all patients were males, and the mean age was 75.45 ± 7.47 years. While the mean age did not differ significantly between the groups of patients with/without a higher risk of mortality, gender showed a significant difference. A statistically significant difference was found between the COVID-19 intensive care (p=0.001), renal failure (p=0.014), and mortality groups. Of the patients with a higher risk of mortality, 23.9% (n=39) had COVID-19 intensive care (IC) admission, 76.1% (n=124) had no COVID-19 intensive care admission, 16% (n=26) had kidney failure, 84% (n=137) did not have renal failure (Table II).

Table II. Comparison of sociodemographic and clinical findings with mortality.

		Mortality	
Variables	Yes (n=164)	No (n=135)	P
Age, year, Mean±SD COVID-19 intensive care (IC) n (%)	75.34±7.34	75.67±7.66	0.704ª
Yes No	39 (23.9) 124 (76.1)	12 (9.2) 119 (90.8)	0.001^{b}
Gender, n (%)		, ,	0.005
Female Male	61 (37.4) 102 (62.6)	68 (50.4) 67 (49.6)	0.025 ^b
Comorbidity kidney failure, n (%)			
Yes No	26 (16.0) 137 (84.0)	9 (6.7) 125 (93.3)	0.014 ^b

^a=Independent Samples *t*-test, ^b=Chi Square test, ^c=Fisher's Exact test, *p*<0.05 statistically significant.

57.47±108.31; p=0.003), mean hospitalization ALT (115.46±311.65 vs. 50.36±108.05; p=0.013), mean lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) (835±1,423.27 vs. 403.61±228.77; p<0.001), mean hospitalization sodium (139.22±6.7 vs. 137.59±6.4; p=0.034), mean hospitalization magnesium (2.21±0.57 vs. 2.03±0.52; p=0.005), mean hospitalization phosphorus (4.11±2.09 vs. 3.29±0.97; p<0.001) and mean hospitalization lactate (2.66±2.54 vs. 1.75±1.17; p<0.001) values were found to be higher. However, mean PLT (186.95±118.75 vs. 235.78±108.35; p<0.001) and mean hospitalization calcium (8.15±0.93 vs. 8.48±1.03; p=0.004) values were found to be lower (Table III).

In the group with mortality, mean hospitalization heart rate (91.23±23.51 vs. 85.58±17.5; p=0.039), mean FiO $_2$ (64.58±24.98 vs. 43.68±23.63; p<0.001), mean PCO $_2$ (45.3±14.06 vs. 41.41±9.41; p=0.014), mean O $_2$ (6.81±3.24 vs. 4.32±2.31; p<0.001) and mean intensive care unit length of stay (10.01±9.51 vs. 6.59±5.18; p<0.001) values were higher. However, mean hospitalization arterial

pressure (77.31 \pm 15.38 vs. 84.13 \pm 12.44; p<0.001), mean PH (7.31 \pm 0.15 vs. 7.39 \pm 0.06; p<0.001), and mean HCO₃ (21.61 \pm 8.36 vs. 24.63 \pm 4.7; p=0.001) values were lower. In addition, there was a statistically significant difference in terms of sepsis (p<0.001), vasopressor (p<0.001), and intubation (p<0.001) between the patients with/without a higher risk of mortality. In the patients with a higher risk of mortality, 51.8% (n=85) had sepsis, 63.4% (n=104) had organ failure, 69.6% (n=103) had sedation, 24%, 7 (n=36) had neuromuscular blocker (Nmb), 78.4% (n=127) had vasopressors, 96.9% (n=158) had intubation (Table IV).

When the results of the comparison of the treatments received in the intensive care unit of the mortality groups were examined, there was a statistically significant difference in terms of antibiotic (p<0.001), antifungal (p<0.001), plasmapheresis (p<0.001), hemodiafiltration (p<0.001) treatments between the patients with/without a higher risk of mortality. In the patients with a higher risk of mortality, 95.1% (n=154) had antibiotic

Table III. Comparison of various biochemical parameters with mortality

	Mortality		
Variables	Yes Mean±SD (n=164)	No Mean±SD (n=135)	P
Nutric score	5.6±1.96	4.18±1.59	< 0.001
Hemoglobin	11.43±2.24	11.64 ± 2.26	0.429
Hematocrit	34.23 ± 6.54	34.77±5.95	0.461
White blood cell	13.18±18.51	9.61±4.82	0.030
Lymphocyte	1.88±11.53	0.88 ± 0.72	0.319
Admission D-dimer	7.12±30.17	3.16 ± 5.72	0.134
Hospitalization ferritin	709.32±1,083.63	502.63 ± 628.34	0.053
Admission CRP	130.31±97.25	117.1±102.41	0.255
PCT max	12.28±21.09	1.63 ± 4.1	< 0.001
APTT	30.5±13.79	26.6±9.53	0.011
INR	1.38±1.32	1.12 ± 0.24	0.034
Platelets (thousand)	186.95±118.75	235.78±108.35	< 0.001
Admission Glucose	194.1±100.42	163.99±84.21	0.005
Admission BUN	47.49±28.92	33.4 ± 22.58	< 0.001
Admission Creatinine	1.66±1.31	2.35±14.73	0.546
Admission AST	209.14±623.06	57.47±108.31	0.003
Admission ALT	115.46±311.65	50.36±108.05	0.013
Admission LDH	835±1,423.27	403.61 ± 228.77	< 0.001
Admission sodium	139.22±6.7	137.59 ± 6.4	0.034
Hospitalization potassium	4.33 ± 0.87	4.19 ± 0.69	0.121
Hospitalization magnesium	2.21 ± 0.57	2.03 ± 0.52	0.005
Hospitalization calcium	8.15±0.93	8.48±1.03	0.004
Hospitalization Phosphorus	4.11±2.09	3.29 ± 0.97	< 0.001
Total bilirubin	1.62 ± 3.9	1.17±3.26	0.300
Hospitalization lactate	2.66±2.54	1.75±1.17	< 0.001
HbA1c	7.69±7.46	7.11±1.83	0.531

CRP: C-reactive protein. PCT: Procalsitonin. APTT: Active partial thromboplastin time test. INR: International Normalized Ratio. BUN: Blood urea nitrogen. AST: Aspartate aminotransferase. ALT: Alanine aminotransferase. LDH: Lactate dehydrohegenase. Independent *t*-test. *p*<0.05 statistically significant.

Table IV. Comparison of various clinical parameters with mortality groups.

		Mortality	
Variables	Yes (n=164)	No (n=135)	P
Hospitalization-Fever	36.41±0.53	36.4±0.46	0.849a
Hospitalization-Pulse	91.23±23.51	85.58±17.5	0.039a
Hospitalization-mean arterial pressure	77.31±15.38	84.13±12.44	<0.001a
FiO,	64.58±24.98	43.68±23.63	<0.001a
Ph ²	7.31 ± 0.15	7.39 ± 0.06	<0.001a
PO,	69.26±22.19	69.98±17.7	0.787^{a}
PCO,	45.3±14.06	41.41 ± 9.41	0.014a
SPO,	70.58±22.69	73.11±22.81	0.359^{a}
HCÓ,	21.61±8.36	24.63±4.7	0.001a
Mechanical vent duration	5.24 ± 8.45	3.13 ± 4.72	0.326^{a}
Intensive care time	10.01±9.51	6.59 ± 5.18	<0.001a
Length of stay in hospital	12.82 ± 10.23	14.93±9.25	0.067^{a}
Sepsis n (%)			
Yes	85 (51.8)	13 (9.7)	<0.001b
No	79 (48.2)	121 (90.3)	
Nmb n (%)	,	,	
Yes	36 (24.7)	4 (3.3)	<0.001b
No	110 (75.3)	117 (96.7)	
Vazopressor n (%)	(12)	()	
Yes	127 (78.4)	10 (7.5)	<0.001b
No	35 (21.6)	123 (92.5)	

^a=Independent Samples *t*-test. ^b=Chi Square test. ^c=Fisher's Exact test. *p*<0.05 statistically significant.

Table V. Comparison of treatments received in intensive care with mortality.

		Mortality	
Variables	Yes (n=164)	No (n=135)	P
Oseltamivir n (%)			
Yes	1 (0.6)	2 (1.5)	0.589^{b}
No	161 (99.4)	130 (98.5)	
Hydroxychloroquine n (%)	,	,	
Yes	3 (1.9)	3 (2.3)	
No	159 (98.1)	129 (97.7)	
Favipravir n (%)	()	. (3.11.)	
Yes	95 (58.6)	72 (54.5)	0.481a
No	67 (41.4)	60 (45.5)	*****
Anakinra n (%)	· (·-··)	(12.12)	
Yes	37 (23.1)	31 (23.8)	0.885^{a}
No	123 (76.9)	99 (76.2)	******
immune plasma n (%)	125 (70.5)	>> (, o. <u>-</u>)	
Yes	6 (3.7)	5 (3.8)	1.000^{a}
No	155 (96.3)	125 (96.2)	1.000
Plasmapheresis n (%)	133 (30.3)	123 (30.2)	
Yes	55 (34.2)	17 (13.1)	<0.001a
No	106 (65.8)	113 (86.9)	0.001
IVIG n (%)	100 (03.0)	113 (00.5)	
Yes	5 (3.1)	4 (3.2)	1.000^{a}
No	155 (96.9)	120 (96.8)	1.000
Hemodiafiltration n (%)	133 (30.5)	120 (70.0)	
Yes	21 (14.2)	1 (0.8)	<0.001a
No	127 (85.8)	122 (99.2)	-0.001
Remdesivir n (%)	127 (03.0)	122 (77.2)	
Yes	160 (99.4)	130 (100.0)	$1.000^{\rm b}$
No	1 (0.6)	0 (0)	1.000

 $^{^{}a}$ =Chi Square test. b =Fisher's Exact test. p<0.05 statistically significant.

treatment, 33.8% (n=54) had antifungal treatment, and 34.2% (n=55) had plasmapheresis treatment. It was determined that 14.2% (n=14.2) of patients had hemodiafiltration treatment (Table V).

When the results of the determination of the factors related to mortality were examined as a result of univariate analysis, there was a statistically significant difference between the groups in terms of gender, renal failure, LDH, organ failure, D-dimer, ALT, sepsis, sedation, Nmb, vasopressor, and ferritin values, according to mortality status (p<0.05). These variables, which were found to be significant as a result of univariate analysis, were included in the Multivariate logistic regression model. According to the results of the multivariate logistic regression model, male gender (OR: 5.03 95% CI: 1.90-13.35; p=0.001), kidney failure (OR: 10.67 95% CI: 2.83-40.13; p<0.001), organ failure (OR: 4.50 95% CI: 1.55-13.60; p=0.006), increase in ALT values (OR:1.00 95% CI:0.99-1.01; p=0.019), those with sedation (OR: 7.07, 95% CI: 2.14-22.91; p=0.001) and those with vasopressors (OR: 17.15, 95% CI: 5.48-53.69; p<0.001) were found to increase the risk in terms of the presence of mortality. It was determined that the variables in the model explained 71% of the factors determining mortality (R²=0.71, -2 loglikelihood=159.61) (Table VI).

Discussion

Poor glycemic control is an independent mortality factor in patients hospitalized in the intensive care unit due to COVID-19 and is considered to be one of the causes that increase mortality in

sepsis. All of the patients followed in the intensive care unit were patients with a high glycemic index because they received steroid treatment. In addition, diabetes is a risk factor not only for lung ciliary epithelial dysfunction and increased vascular permeability but also for immune system dysfunction. It is known¹¹ that poor glycemic control is an independent risk factor for mortality in pneumonia requiring hospitalization. It has been found¹² that poor glycemic control in COVID-19 pneumonia causes an increase in both the development of pneumonia requiring follow-up in the intensive care unit and an increase in mortality. The high glycemic index in our patient cohort is a parameter independent of HgbA1C, which shows the 3-month average glucose level of the patients, and it was confirmed as a result of our statistical analyses. While the high glycemic index was significant in the mortality of the patients, the HgbA1c did not show a significant difference. It reveals the necessity of close follow-up in terms of the high glycemic index that may develop after the steroid treatment starts during the period of follow-up of the patients in the intensive care unit.

The rates of acute renal failure (ARF) due to COVID-19 vary between 7-27%. The severity of ARF and the number of underlying systems affected are important determinants of mortality¹³. Although the causes of ARF due to COVID-19 cannot be fully elucidated, ischemic type tubular lesion activated by the cytopathic effect of the virus entering the kidney cells through ACE2 receptors, high positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) pressure used during mechanical ventilation, fluids used in ARDS treatment, fever, nausea-vomiting, diarrhea due to malnutrition in the

Table VI. Multivariate Logistic	Pagraccion Pagulte on mortality	with various clinical variables
Table VI. Mullivariale Logistic	Regression Results on mortanty	with various clinical variables.

Variables	Multivariate		
	OR (95% CI)	P	
Gender (ref: Female)	5.03 (1.90-13.35)	0.001	
Kidney failure (ref: No)	10.67 (2.83-40.13)	< 0.001	
LDH	1.01 (0.99-1.03)	0.226	
Organ failure (ref: No)	4.50 (1.55-13.60)	0.006	
D-dimer	1.06 (0.99-1.12)	0.066	
ALT	1.00 (0.99-1.01)	0.019	
Sepsis (ref: No)	1.32 (0.39-4.74)	0.650	
Sedation (ref: No)	7.07 (2.14-22.91)	0.001	
Nmb (ref: No)	0.58 (0.10-3.20)	0.545	
Vazopressor (ref: No)	17.15 (5.48-53.69)	< 0.001	
Ferritin	1.00 (0.99-1.01)	0.955	

prehospital period of the patients, deterioration of fluid balance, aggressively managed diuresis treatments can be counted¹⁴. High PEEP pressure may increase renal obstruction and decrease renal perfusion, leading to a decrease in venous return and cardiac output. It is stated¹⁵ that proteinuria, hematuria, serum creatinine, and blood urea nitrogen (BUN) are high in patients hospitalized due to COVID-19, and proteinuria increases even more during the hospital stay. The incidence of in-hospital death is 33.7% in patients with high serum creatinine values at the time of first hospitalization, and 13.2% in patients with normal serum creatinine. It has been emphasized¹⁶ that patients with high serum creatinine require higher ICU and mechanical ventilation. In our study population, it has been shown that the presence of ARF in patients has a significant effect on mortality, in parallel with the literature data. In addition, when the laboratory data of the patients were evaluated, it was observed that the BUN values were high, and it was revealed that hypocalcemia and hyperphosphatemia caused by ARF also had a negative effect on mortality in the patients. However, the reason why creatinine values were not high in our study is thought to be related to the low muscle mass of the patients. During their follow-up in the intensive care unit, patients should be followed closely in terms of renal failure, and it is necessary to closely monitor not only the creatinine value but also the BUN, calcium, and phosphorus values.

In a study conducted by Corwin and Krantz¹⁷ in intensive care units, it was reported that 95% of intensive care patients had lower hemoglobin (Hb) levels than normal on their third day in the ICU¹⁷. Studies¹⁸ have shown that one-third of the patients received blood transfusions during their stay in the intensive care unit. In sepsis, inflammatory markers such as IL-1, IL-6, tumor necrosis factor (TNF-α), and Interferon-gamma are known to cause anemia by suppressing erythrocyte production through apoptosis of pro-erythrogenic cells. In addition, hepcidin-induced functional iron deficiency due to infection is an important cause of anemia in intensive care patients¹⁹. Anemia was also observed in our patient cohort and is thought to be due to the inhibitory effect on erythropoiesis via inflammatory markers and cytokines. We think that the risk of anemia increased due to the prolonged length of stay in the intensive care unit, the continuation of suppression of erythrocyte production with the prolongation of blood loss, and the prolongation of the inflammatory process in correlation with the length of stay.

Based on the assumption that not all ICU patients have the same nutritional risk, Heyland et al²⁰ proposed the Nutrition Risk (Nutric) score for critically ill patients in the intensive care unit. This can be used to identify patients who would benefit from aggressive nutritional support based on their risk of malnutrition. The nutric score is designed on the concept that age, inflammatory state, and patient's nutritional status will influence nutritional deficiencies, immune dysfunction, and clinical outcome. The nutric score includes age, acute physiology, and chronic health assessment (APACHE II), sequential organ failure assessment (SOFA), number of comorbidities, time from hospital to ICU admission, and interleukin-6 data. Patients get 1-3 points for each variable, and the highest score is 10²⁰. Zhang et al²¹ (2020) found that patients with high nutritional risk at the time of admission to the ICU exhibit higher mortality in the 28 days and are 2 times more likely to die than patients with low nutritional risk. Therefore, they²¹ stated that the nutric score might be an appropriate tool in the nutritional risk assessment and prognosis estimation of COVID-19 patients hospitalized in the intensive care unit. In our patient population, it has been shown that the nutric score has a significant effect on mortality, and statistically significant results were obtained. In addition to inadequate nutritional support in intensive care follow-ups. increased inflammatory cytokines are thought to be a factor that increases mortality. In addition, it was observed that the mortality of the patients was improved by the removal of cytokines with plasmapheresis treatment applied to the patients. Therefore, it is thought that mortality can be improved by monitoring the nutric score, and the improvement can be achieved during the time the patients are hospitalized in the intensive care unit.

The percentage of lymphopenia was found to be lower in the group with high mortality in the patients hospitalized in our intensive care unit (percentage of lymphocytes $9\% \ vs. \ 12\%$), which was not statistically significant (p=0.056). This is thought to be due to the decreased cytokine response with age since our patient cohort is an elderly population over 65 years of age. When the literature data was evaluated, it has been reported that there was a decrease in the number of lymphocytes, mitogen-induced lymphocyte proliferation, IL-2 concentration, polymorphonuclear neutrophils, and monocytes, and NK cells during

aging in immunological terms. The decrease in T-cell proliferation with age also leads to insufficient effective response to antigenic stimuli²⁴. Literature data also support our findings.

The probability of developing thrombocytopenia in COVID-19 infection is around 13%²⁵. In a meta-analysis²⁶ of patients with COVID-19 infection, 399 (22.4%) of whom were severe, including nine studies, a decrease in platelet count was observed in severe patients. The platelet count decreased between 27,000-31,000 µL in mild cases and between 29,000-35,000 µL in severe cases. The mechanism of thrombocytopenia is multifactorial. Viral infection and mechanical ventilation cause endothelial damage, and platelet activation is associated with the use of platelets in the formation of thrombosis in the lungs. In addition, decreased secretion of platelets from megakaryocytes in the lung, virus infection of the bone marrow, and intravascular coagulation are also factors that trigger thrombocytopenia. There is a close relationship between low platelet count and mortality.

In COVID-19 infection, patients are predisposed to thrombotic position due to increased inflammation, platelet activation, endothelial dysfunction, and stasis. The most common hemostatic abnormalities observed in the disease are mild thrombocytopenia and increased D-dimer levels²⁷. Patients usually have an increase in fibrinogen levels at the time of admission. However, fibrinogen levels should be closely monitored in patients. Fibrinogen levels begin to decrease in patients who develop disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC). Apart from the fibrinogen level, factor VIII and IX levels may also be found to be high. These features may indicate the tendency of COVID-19 infection to coagulate²⁸. Increased D-dimer and the presence of thrombocytopenia are associated with the need for mechanical ventilation, the need for intensive care, and mortality. In clinically severe patients, prothrombin time (PT) and international normalized ratio and thrombin time (TT) are prolonged, while activated partial thromboplastin time (aPTT) tends to shorten29. In a study³⁰, in which 21 patients died from COVID-19, D-dimer (approximately 3.5 times), fibrin (approximately 1.9 times) degradation products increased, and PT increased 14%. In another study²⁴, 71% of patients who died due to COVID-19 met the DIC criteria²⁴ of the International Society for Thrombosis and Hemostasis, while 0.6% of patients who survived met this rate. Antiphospholipid antibody elevation was detected in COVID-19 patients

with cerebral, bilateral extremity infarction. The role of this condition in the pathophysiology is unknown. In multicenter studies⁶, increased D-dimer levels (≥0.5 mg/L) were found in 46% of patients. The increase in D-dimer level is more prominent, especially in severe cases (59.6% vs. 43.2%). In another study³¹, D-dimer (2.4 vs. 0.5 mg/L) and PT levels (12.2 vs. 10.2 sec) were found to be higher in patients who needed intensive care compared to patients who did not need intensive care. Cardiac damage is likely to occur in COVID-19 patients with coagulation disorders. Troponin-T levels tend to be higher in patients with increased PT, aPTT, and D-dimer levels in COVID-19 patients. When 201 patients with COVID-19 pneumonia have prolonged PT levels and increased D-dimer levels (p=0.002), the risk of developing ARDS increases.

COVID-19 patients are at high risk of developing venous thromboembolism (VTE). Immobilization in the disease, dehydration, acute inflammatory state, presence of other cardiovascular risk factors (hypertension, diabetes, obesity) or cardiovascular diseases (coronary artery disease, ischemic stroke history, peripheral artery disease), presence of VTE history, genetic thrombophilia are causes that increase the probability of VTE. In addition, endothelial cell activation/damage via the ACE2 receptor of the virus may contribute to the formation of VTE and may cause endothelial damage in mechanical ventilation, central venous catheterization, and surgical procedures. Inflammatory substances can increase blood viscosity. For all these reasons, pharmacological thrombophylaxis will be beneficial in patients with COVID-19.

In a retrospective cohort study of 2,273 patients with COVID-19 and liver injury, patients were classified as those with mild, moderate, and severe (>5 times upper limit of normal) liver injury, and 45% of patients with COVID-19 had mild and 21% had moderate. However, 6.4% of them had severe liver damage. The resulting liver damage is mostly associated with drugs and inflammation³².

In a meta-analysis³³ of 3,772 patients obtained from 326 studies examining COVID-19 and liver damage, it was concluded that there was a relationship between liver dysfunction and mortality. It was stated that especially the drugs used and the severity of COVID-19 were effective on liver dysfunction and mortality.

Although there is not much information in the literature about favipravir, it has been stated³⁴ that all drugs used in the treatment of CO- VID-19 are potentially hepatotoxic, and it has been emphasized that caution should be exercised in terms of liver damage when using these drugs alone or in combination.

In many studies³⁵, it has been reported that mortality is high in patients with COVID-19 who need high FiO₂. In our study, mortality was higher in patients with high FiO, requirements, but it was observed that PH and PO, values were similar in deceased and surviving patients. As expected, thanks to the high FiO₂, the oxygenation of the patients and their acid-base balance were within normal ranges. On the other hand, it was observed that mortality was higher in patients with low bicarbonate levels, as expected, and it was concluded that the current situation is related to the acute kidney failure we mentioned. In our study, the necessity of using noradrenaline was observed in most of the patients, and it was observed that the rates were similar to other studies^{16,36}.

Although there is no definitive scientific evidence about favipiravir, it was used as an antiviral in a certain group of patients because it was recommended in the COVID-19 diagnosis and treatment guide of the Ministry of Health of the Republic of Turkey. However, when the patients who were given and not given favipravir were compared, it was seen that it did not make a significant contribution to mortality. In clinical studies³⁷ on favipiravir, it has been shown to be neither effective in terms of mortality nor acceleration of clinical recovery.

In our study, it was observed that there was no significant statistical difference in terms of mortality in patients with and without tocilizumab. Initially, studies^{38,39} on this drug indicated that it positively affected both clinical benefit and mortality. However, there are also studies^{40,41} concluding that the drug has no clinical benefit and does not affect mortality.

Plasmapheresis is a treatment method that is used in many areas to remove plasma and replace it with normal human plasma. With the membrane filtration technique, large molecules can be removed from the circulation. There are publications⁴² showing the benefit of plasmapheresis in MERS and SARS infections.

Since antibodies, lipoproteins, immune complexes, cryoglobulins, myeloma proteins, protein-bound toxins, platelets, and leukocytes can be easily removed from the human body, this method is preferred in cytokine storms. Although there are studies in literature showing that this treatment method reduces mortality in patients

with COVID-19, there are many studies⁴²⁻⁴⁴ claiming the opposite. In our study, it was shown that plasmapheresis treatment applied in the cytokine storm has a significant effect on mortality, and we believe that it is effective on mortality because it is preferred in selected patients and in patients with severe cytokine release.

When randomized controlled studies⁴⁴ on remdesivir were examined, it was shown that it had no effect on mortality, especially in severe cases, but it was shown that it could prevent the transition to mechanical ventilation in patient groups used in the early period. In our study, it was shown that remdesivir did not have a significant effect on mortality, and we think that these results were achieved because the patients receiving this drug were in advanced stages and were currently on mechanical ventilation.

The present study has some limitations. First, this study is a single-center study. Second, the long-term diagnostic efficacy of these indices is lacking, as all patient data did not include long-term follow-up.

Conclusions

According to the results of our study, the steroid treatment started during the follow-up period of the patients in the intensive care unit, and the high glycemic index that may develop afterward should be closely monitored. During their follow-up in the intensive care unit, patients should be followed closely in terms of renal failure. Not only creatinine values but also BUN, calcium, and phosphorus values should be followed closely. We think that the risk of anemia increases due to the prolonged length of stay in the intensive care unit, the continuation of suppression of erythrocyte production with the prolongation of blood loss, and the prolongation of the inflammatory process in correlation with the length of stay. We think that in addition to inadequate nutritional support in intensive care follow-ups. increased inflammatory cytokines are factors that increase mortality. In addition, it has been observed that the removal of cytokines with plasmapheresis treatment applied to the patients improved the mortality of the patients. However, in our study, it was shown that plasmapheresis treatment applied in case cytokine storm development has a significant effect on mortality, and we believe that it is effective on mortality because it is preferred in selected patients and patients with severe cytokine release. In our study, it was shown that remdesivir did not have a significant effect on mortality, and we think that these results were achieved because the patients taking this drug were in advanced stages and currently on mechanical ventilators. The results of our study need to be supported by multicenter and larger patient participation.

Conflict of Interest

The Authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Funding

None.

Informed Consent

The authors declare that the patients included in the study signed informed consent forms to use their medical information in the studies.

Authors' Contributions

Concept: M.T.I., A.O.Y., E.O., O.O.I.; Design: M.S., D.L.T., F.K., E.B.; Supervision: M.A.U., E.B., F.K.; Funding: M.T.I., A.O.Y., E.O., M.A.U.; Materials: M.S., D.L.T., M.T.I., A.O.Y., E.O.; Data: M.T.I., A.O.Y., F.K., E.B.; Analysis: M.T.I., A.O.Y., M.A.U., E.B., F.K.; Literature search: M.T.I., A.O.Y., F.K., E.B.; Writing: M.T.I., A.O.Y.; Critical revision: M.A.U., E.B., F.K., M.S., D.L.T.

Data Availability

The data used and analyzed during this research are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Ethics Approval

This study was conducted in accordance with the Principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Çukurova University Faculty of Medicine Scientific Ethics Committee. (Ethics committee date: 7 October 2022, Issue No.: 126).

ORCID ID

Murat Turkeun Ilginel: 0000-0001-9183-9124 Aysun Ozel Yesilyurt: 0000-0001-6263-8817 Ezgi Ozyilmaz: 0000-0002-4535-705X Ozge Ozden Ilginel: 0000-0001-9479-3862 Meryem Sener: 0009-0006-1398-3212 Demet Lafli Tunay: 0000-0002-7984-1800 Feride Karacaer: 0000-0002-1048-6505 Ebru Biricik: 0000-0002-3355-198X Mehmet Ali Ucar: 0000-0002-6041-7364

References

- Wu Z, McGoogan JM. Characteristics of and Important Lessons From the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Outbreak in China: Summary of a Report of 72 314 Cases From the Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention. JA-MA 2020; 323: 1239-1242.
- Shang Y, Pan C, Yang X, Zhong M, Shang X, Wu Z, Yu Z, Zhang W, Zhong Q, Zheng X, Sang L, Jiang L, Zhang J, Xiong W, Liu J, Chen D. Management of critically ill patients with COVID-19 in ICU: statement from front-line intensive care experts in Wuhan, China. Ann Intensive Care 2020; 10: 73.
- 3) Varatharaj A, Thomas N, Ellul MA, Davies NWS, Pollak TA, Tenorio EL, Sultan M, Easton A, Breen G, Zandi M, Coles JP, Manji H, Al-Shahi Salman R, Menon DK, Nicholson TR, Benjamin LA, Carson A, Smith C, Turner MR, Solomon T, Kneen R, Pett SL, Galea I, Thomas RH, Michael BD, CoroNerve Study G. Neurological and neuropsychiatric complications of COVID-19 in 153 patients: a UK-wide surveillance study. Lancet Psychiatry 2020; 7: 875-882.
- Berlin DA, Gulick RM, Martinez FJ. Severe Covid-19. N Engl J Med 2020; 383: 2451-2460.
- Onder G, Rezza G, Brusaferro S. Case-Fatality Rate and Characteristics of Patients Dying in Relation to COVID-19 in Italy. JAMA 2020; 323: 1775-1776.
- 6) Wang D, Hu B, Hu C, Zhu F, Liu X, Zhang J, Wang B, Xiang H, Cheng Z, Xiong Y, Zhao Y, Li Y, Wang X, Peng Z. Clinical Characteristics of 138 Hospitalized Patients With 2019 Novel Coronavirus-Infected Pneumonia in Wuhan, China. JAMA 2020; 323: 1061-1069.
- 7) Wolff D, Nee S, Hickey NS, Marschollek M. Risk factors for Covid-19 severity and fatality: a structured literature review. Infection 2021; 49: 15-28.
- 8) Bhimraj A, Morgan RL, Shumaker AH, Lavergne V, Baden L, Cheng VC-C, Edwards KM, Gandhi R, Muller WJ, O'Horo JC. Infectious Diseases Society of America Guidelines on the treatment and management of patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Clin Infect Dis 20225: ciac724.
- Sheahan TP, Sims AC, Leist SR, Schafer A, Won J, Brown AJ, Montgomery SA, Hogg A, Babusis D, Clarke MO, Spahn JE, Bauer L, Sellers S, Porter D, Feng JY, Cihlar T, Jordan R, Denison MR, Baric RS. Comparative therapeutic efficacy of remdesivir and combination lopinavir, ritonavir, and interferon beta against MERS-CoV. Nat Commun 2020; 11: 222.
- 10) Nieto-TorresJL, Verdia-BaguenaC, Jimenez-Guardeno JM, Regla-Nava JA, Castano-Rodriguez C, Fernandez-Delgado R, Torres J, Aguilella VM, Enjuanes L. Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus E protein transports calcium ions and activates the NLRP3 inflammasome. Virology 2015; 485: 330-339.
- Kinzel T, Smith M. Hyperglycemia as a predictor for mortality in veterans with pneumonia. Exp Aging Res 1988; 14: 99-102.

- Liu SP, Zhang Q, Wang W, Zhang M, Liu C, Xiao X, Liu Z, Hu WM, Jin P. Hyperglycemia is a strong predictor of poor prognosis in COVID-19. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2020; 167: 108338.
- 13) Pesaresi M, Pirani F, Tagliabracci A, Valsecchi M, Procopio AD, Busardo FP, Graciotti L. SARS-CoV-2 identification in lungs, heart and kidney specimens by transmission and scanning electron microscopy. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci 2020; 24: 5186-5188.
- 14) Wang L, Li X, Chen H, Yan S, Li D, Li Y, Gong Z. Coronavirus Disease 19 Infection Does Not Result in Acute Kidney Injury: An Analysis of 116 Hospitalized Patients from Wuhan, China. Am J Nephrol 2020; 51: 343-348.
- 15) Cheng Y, Luo R, Wang K, Zhang M, Wang Z, Dong L, Li J, Yao Y, Ge S, Xu G. Kidney disease is associated with in-hospital death of patients with COVID-19. Kidney Int 2020; 97: 829-838.
- 16) Bhatraju PK, Ghassemieh BJ, Nichols M, Kim R, Jerome KR, Nalla AK, Greninger AL, Pipavath S, Wurfel MM, Evans L, Kritek PA, West TE, Luks A, Gerbino A, Dale CR, Goldman JD, O'Mahony S, Mikacenic C. Covid-19 in Critically III Patients in the Seattle Region Case Series. N Engl J Med 2020; 382: 2012-2022.
- 17) Corwin HL, Krantz SB. Anemia of the critically ill: "acute" anemia of chronic disease. Crit Care Med 2000; 28: 3098-3099.
- 18) Vincent JL, Baron JF, Reinhart K, Gattinoni L, Thijs L, Webb A, Meier-Hellmann A, Nollet G, Peres-Bota D, Investigators ABC. Anemia and blood transfusion in critically ill patients. JAMA 2002; 288: 1499-1507.
- 19) Groeger JS, Guntupalli KK, Strosberg M, Halpern N, Raphaely RC, Cerra F, Kaye W. Descriptive analysis of critical care units in the United States: patient characteristics and intensive care unit utilization. Crit Care Med 1993; 21: 279-291.
- Heyland DK, Dhaliwal R, Jiang X, Day AG. Identifying critically ill patients who benefit the most from nutrition therapy: the development and initial validation of a novel risk assessment tool. Crit Care 2011; 15: R268.
- 21) Zhang P, He Z, Yu G, Peng D, Feng Y, Ling J, Wang Y, Li S, Bian Y. The modified NUTRIC score can be used for nutritional risk assessment as well as prognosis prediction in critically ill COVID-19 patients. Clin Nutr 2021; 40: 534-541.
- 22) Tan L, Wang Q, Zhang D, Ding J, Huang Q, Tang YQ, Wang Q, Miao H. Lymphopenia predicts disease severity of COVID-19: a descriptive and predictive study. Signal Transduct Target Ther 2020; 5: 33.
- 23) Wu C, Chen X, Cai Y, Xia J, Zhou X, Xu S, Huang H, Zhang L, Zhou X, Du C, Zhang Y, Song J, Wang S, Chao Y, Yang Z, Xu J, Zhou X, Chen D, Xiong W, Xu L, Zhou F, Jiang J, Bai C, Zheng J, Song Y. Risk Factors Associated With Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome and Death in Patients With Coronavirus Disease 2019 Pneumonia in Wuhan, China. JAMA Intern Med 2020; 180: 934-943.

- 24) De Greef GE, Van Staalduinen GJ, Van Doorninck H, Van Tol MJ, Hijmans W. Age-related changes of the antigen-specific antibody formation in vitro and PHA-induced T-cell proliferation in individuals who met the health criteria of the Senieur protocol. Mech Ageing Dev 1992; 66: 1-14.
- 25) Fan BE, Chong VCL, Chan SSW, Lim GH, Lim KGE, Tan GB, Mucheli SS, Kuperan P, Ong KH. Hematologic parameters in patients with COVID-19 infection. Am J Hematol 2020; 95: E131-E134.
- 26) Lippi G, Plebani M, Henry BM. Thrombocytopenia is associated with severe coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) infections: A meta-analysis. Clin Chim Acta 2020; 506: 145-148.
- 27) Han H, Yang L, Liu R, Liu F, Wu KL, Li J, Liu XH, Zhu CL. Prominent changes in blood coagulation of patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection. Clin Chem Lab Med 2020; 58: 1116-1120.
- Connors JM, Levy JH. COVID-19 and its implications for thrombosis and anticoagulation. Blood 2020; 135: 2033-2040.
- 29) Bikdeli B, Madhavan MV, Jimenez D, Chuich T, Dreyfus I, Driggin E, Nigoghossian C, Ageno W, Madjid M, Guo Y, Tang LV, Hu Y, Giri J, Cushman M, Quere I, Dimakakos EP, Gibson CM, Lippi G, Favaloro EJ, Fareed J, Caprini JA, Tafur AJ, Burton JR, Francese DP, Wang EY, Falanga A, Mc-Lintock C, Hunt BJ, Spyropoulos AC, Barnes GD, Eikelboom JW, Weinberg I, Schulman S, Carrier M, Piazza G, Beckman JA, Steg PG, Stone GW, Rosenkranz S, Goldhaber SZ, Parikh SA, Monreal M, Krumholz HM, Konstantinides SV, Weitz JI, Lip GYH, Global Covid-19 Thrombosis Collaborative Group EbtINE, the lua SbtESCWGoPC, Right Ventricular F. COVID-19 and Thrombotic or Thromboembolic Disease: Implications for Prevention, Antithrombotic Therapy, and Follow-Up: JACC State-of-the-Art Review. J Am Coll Cardiol 2020; 75: 2950-2973.
- 30) Tang N, Li D, Wang X, Sun Z. Abnormal coagulation parameters are associated with poor prognosis in patients with novel coronavirus pneumonia. J Thromb Haemost 2020; 18: 844-847.
- 31) Zhou F, Yu T, Du R, Fan G, Liu Y, Liu Z, Xiang J, Wang Y, Song B, Gu X, Guan L, Wei Y, Li H, Wu X, Xu J, Tu S, Zhang Y, Chen H, Cao B. Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study. Lancet 2020; 395: 1054-1062.
- 32) Phipps MM, Barraza LH, LaSota ED, Sobieszczyk ME, Pereira MR, Zheng EX, Fox AN, Zucker J, Verna EC. Acute Liver Injury in COVID-19: Prevalence and Association with Clinical Outcomes in a Large U.S. Cohort. Hepatology 2020; 72: 807-817.
- 33) Wu ZH, Yang DL. A meta-analysis of the impact of COVID-19 on liver dysfunction. Eur J Med Res 2020; 25: 54.
- 34) Olry A, Meunier L, Delire B, Larrey D, Horsmans Y, Le Louet H. Drug-Induced Liver Injury and COVID-19 Infection: The Rules Remain the Same. Drug Saf 2020; 43: 615-617.

- 35) Ziehr DR, Alladina J, Petri CR, Maley JH, Moskowitz A, Medoff BD, Hibbert KA, Thompson BT, Hardin CC. Respiratory Pathophysiology of Mechanically Ventilated Patients with COVID-19: A Cohort Study. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2020; 201: 1560-1564.
- 36) Phua J, Weng L, Ling L, Egi M, Lim CM, Divatia JV, Shrestha BR, Arabi YM, Ng J, Gomersall CD, Nishimura M, Koh Y, Du B, Asian Critical Care Clinical Trials G. Intensive care management of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): challenges and recommendations. Lancet Respir Med 2020; 8: 506-517.
- 37) Chen C, Zhang Y, Huang J, Yin P, Cheng Z, Wu J, Chen S, Zhang Y, Chen B, Lu M, Luo Y, Ju L, Zhang J, Wang X. Favipiravir Versus Arbidol for Clinical Recovery Rate in Moderate and Severe Adult COVID-19 Patients: A Prospective, Multicenter, Open-Label, Randomized Controlled Clinical Trial. Front Pharmacol 2021; 12: 683296.
- 38) Zhang C, Wu Z, Li JW, Zhao H, Wang GQ. Cytokine release syndrome in severe COVID-19: interleukin-6 receptor antagonist tocilizumab may be the key to reduce mortality. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2020; 55: 105954.
- 39) Xu X, Han M, Li T, Sun W, Wang D, Fu B, Zhou Y, Zheng X, Yang Y, Li X, Zhang X, Pan A, Wei H. Effective treatment of severe COVID-19 patients with tocilizumab. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2020; 117: 10970-10975.
- 40) Stone JH, Frigault MJ, Serling-Boyd NJ, Fernandes AD, Harvey L, Foulkes AS, Horick NK, Healy BC, Shah R, Bensaci AM, Woolley AE,

- Nikiforow S, Lin N, Sagar M, Schrager H, Huckins DS, Axelrod M, Pincus MD, Fleisher J, Sacks CA, Dougan M, North CM, Halvorsen YD, Thurber TK, Dagher Z, Scherer A, Wallwork RS, Kim AY, Schoenfeld S, Sen P, Neilan TG, Perugino CA, Unizony SH, Collier DS, Matza MA, Yinh JM, Bowman KA, Meyerowitz E, Zafar A, Drobni ZD, Bolster MB, Kohler M, D'Silva KM, Dau J, Lockwood MM, Cubbison C, Weber BN, Mansour MK, Investigators BBTT. Efficacy of Tocilizumab in Patients Hospitalized with Covid-19. N Engl J Med 2020; 383: 2333-2344.
- 41) Rosas IO, Brau N, Waters M, Go RC, Hunter BD, Bhagani S, Skiest D, Aziz MS, Cooper N, Douglas IS, Savic S, Youngstein T, Del Sorbo L, Cubillo Gracian A, De La Zerda DJ, Ustianowski A, Bao M, Dimonaco S, Graham E, Matharu B, Spotswood H, Tsai L, Malhotra A. Tocilizumab in Hospitalized Patients with Severe Covid-19 Pneumonia. N Engl J Med 2021; 384: 1503-1516.
- 42) Pourahmad R, Moazzami B, Rezaei N. Efficacy of Plasmapheresis and Immunoglobulin Replacement Therapy (IVIG) on Patients with COVID-19. SN Compr Clin Med 2020; 2: 1407-1411.
- 43) Faqihi F, Alharthy A, Alodat M, Asad D, Aletreby W, Kutsogiannis DJ, Brindley PG, Karakitsos D. A pilot study of therapeutic plasma exchange for serious SARS CoV-2 disease (COVID-19): A structured summary of a randomized controlled trial study protocol. Trials 2020; 21: 506.
- 44) Jorgensen SCJ, Kebriaei R, Dresser LD. Remdesivir: Review of Pharmacology, Pre-clinical Data, and Emerging Clinical Experience for COVID-19. Pharmacotherapy 2020; 40: 659-671.