

# Crosstalk of Cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 1A (CDKN1A) gene polymorphism with p53 and CCND1 polymorphism in breast cancer

N. AKHTER<sup>1,3</sup>, S.A. DAR<sup>2</sup>, S. HAQUE<sup>2</sup>, M. WAHID<sup>2</sup>, A. JAWED<sup>2</sup>,  
M.S. AKHTAR<sup>1,3</sup>, R.A. ALHARBI<sup>3</sup>, A.A.A. SINDI<sup>3</sup>, A. ALRUWETEI<sup>4</sup>,  
H.M. ZUBAIR CHOUDHRY<sup>5</sup>, A. AHMAD<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biosciences, Jamia Millia Islamia, New Delhi, India

<sup>2</sup>Research and Scientific Studies Unit, College of Nursing and Allied Health Sciences, Jazan University, Jazan, Saudi Arabia

<sup>3</sup>Department of Laboratory Medicine, Faculty of Applied Medical Sciences, Albaha University, Albaha, Saudi Arabia

<sup>4</sup>Department of Medical laboratory, College of Applied Medical Sciences, Qassim University, Qassim, Saudi Arabia

<sup>5</sup>Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Science, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

*Naseem Akhter and Sajad A. Dar contributed equally to this work*

**Abstract. – OBJECTIVE:** Mutations and polymorphisms in genes of cell- cycle and apoptosis regulatory pathway influence the breast cancer risk. Analysis of single low penetrance mutant alleles may not reflect the precise risk association when analyzed alone.

**PATIENTS AND METHODS:** A total of 115 DNA samples extracted from breast cancer patients and an equal number of age and sex-matched normal controls were used for polymorphic analysis. Genotyping for p21 rs1801270 and CCND1 rs603965 was done by PCR-RFLP method while AFLP method was used for p53 rs1042522 single nucleotide polymorphism detection. Statistical methods included simple mean±SD and correlation coefficient to analyze the risk of association of p21, p53 and CCND1 SNPs and breast cancer.

**RESULTS:** Individuals harboring SNPs in p21, p53 and CCND1 genes namely rs1801270, rs1042522 and rs603965, respectively were rendered increasingly susceptible to developing breast cancer when compared with normal controls.

**CONCLUSIONS:** Our report emphasizes the need of combinational analysis of low-penetrance mutant alleles to assess accurately their association with breast cancer risk. Future case-control studies analyzing gene-environment interactions across different populations may confirm reported risk associations of studied polymorphisms with developing breast cancer.

*Key Words:*

CDKN1A, p53, CCND1, SNP, Breast cancer.

## Introduction

Breast cancer, the most common type of cancer in women resulted in 627,000 deaths in 2018, is impacting developed and developing regions of the world equally<sup>1</sup> with an increase of 30% annually<sup>2,3</sup>. Trends in past breast cancer incidence from 2012 to 2018 shows a yearly increase of almost 6% (from 1655589 to 2069792). However, the future estimates project a reduction of almost 50% in annual breast cancer cases from 2018 to 2040<sup>4,5</sup>. An improved understanding of the genetic mechanisms involved in the onset and progression of breast cancer may prove the key to early diagnosis, better clinical management and prognosis of breast cancer cases.

### *Cell Cycle Control and Relevance of p21, p53 And CCND1*

Loss of control in mammalian cell cycle control is at the center of cellular transformation. The cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor p21 (CDKN1A), acting simultaneously as a sensor and an effector of many anti-proliferative signals, mediates cell cycle

progress in tumorigenic milieu<sup>6,7</sup>. Cell cycle progression without repairing the DNA damage leads to uninhibited cellular growth resulting in tumorigenesis<sup>8,9</sup>. Any germ line mutation or change in cell cycle genes or encoded proteins may disable the inherent cell cycle checkpoint mechanism and thus increases cancer risk significantly<sup>8,10</sup>. Defects in cell cycle checkpoint promote cancer onset and impact the efficacy of anticancer treatment<sup>11</sup>. The p53 mediates the tumor suppressor effects by regulating multiple genes involved in growth inhibition or apoptosis<sup>12</sup>. Of these, p21 effects cellular growth arrest at G1 stage in p53-dependent fashion<sup>7,13</sup>. Early evidence<sup>14</sup> suggests that p21 bind to the cyclin-dependent kinases (CDK1 and CDK2) and inhibits their kinase activity, resulting in cell cycle arrest at particular stages and thereby suppresses tumorigenesis.

Additionally, p21 reduces PCNA-dependent DNA polymerase activity, thereby inhibiting DNA replication and also affects various PCNA dependent DNA repair processes negatively by binding to proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA)<sup>15-17</sup>. The changes in p21 gene are significantly associated with the risk of developing many cancers<sup>18-20</sup> but many studies have also reported contradictory results<sup>21-25</sup>. Among them, p21 rs1801270 SNP induces a C to A transversion leading to the addition of amino acid arginine in place of serine. This substitution is located within zinc finger domain and thus affects the DNA binding capacity<sup>26</sup>. Although clinical significance of p21 C93A polymorphism is categorized as “benign” and has a global allelic frequency of C=0.913055 (reference) and A=0.086945 (variant), early reports have shown a frequent occurrence of this SNP among cancer patients<sup>27-30</sup> including breast cancer<sup>19,31</sup>. However, the impact of this polymorphism on tumorigenesis in presence of other SNPs remains to be studied.

The p53 tumor suppressor gene regulate the cell cycle and acts as an important check point in the wake of serious genomic insult. The wt p53 gene harbors rs1042522 SNP at codon 72 in exon 4 which induces the addition of amino acid arginine in place of proline (Arg72Pro)<sup>32</sup>. This substitution disturbs a proline-rich region encompassing residues 64 to 92. This 72 Proline amino acid is located within one of the five critical proline-rich motifs, structurally similar to SH3 binding domain<sup>33</sup> essential for inhibiting uncontrolled proliferation and apoptosis. Polymorphic p53 proteins have some different biochemical and biological properties and the SNP is categorized as benign in terms of clinical significance, with global

allelic frequency of wt G=0.29787 and variant C=0.70213, in risk disposition of syndromes like hereditary cancer predisposing syndrome<sup>34</sup> and Li Fraumeni syndrome<sup>35</sup>. However, the impact of this polymorphism on efficacy and toxicity response of several drugs like paclitaxel<sup>36</sup>, cyclophosphamide and antineoplastic agents<sup>37</sup>, fluorouracil<sup>38</sup> and cisplatin<sup>36,39,40</sup> is significant.

CCND1 gene codes for the critical regulatory subunit of the enzyme responsible for phosphorylation and subsequent inactivation of the RB protein, leading to the cell cycle progression from G1 to S phase<sup>41,42</sup>. Among all D-type cyclins binding with cyclin-dependent, kinase (CDK), over expression of CCND1 is at the core of tumorigenesis and metastases in humans<sup>43</sup>. CCND1 regulates cell cycle in CDK-dependent as well as CDK-independent fashion<sup>44</sup>. Normally, progression through G1 to S phase is regulated by phosphorylation and inactivation of the RB protein and beginning the DNA synthesis. A silent G to A substitution at nt870 (rs603965) in exon 4 of CCND1 leads to an alternative protein, transcript-b<sup>45</sup> which phosphorylates and inactivates the RB protein inefficiently when compared with transcript-a<sup>46</sup>. Clinical Significance of this polymorphism is categorized as “Risk-Factor” with a global allelic frequency of G=0.540392 and A=0.459608. The rs603965 increases susceptibility to various cancers such as urinary bladder cancer<sup>47</sup>, esophagus and gastric cancer<sup>48</sup>, prostate cancer<sup>49</sup>, squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck<sup>50</sup>, colorectal cancer<sup>51</sup>, cervical cancer<sup>52</sup>, multiple myeloma<sup>53</sup>, and colorectal cancer at young age<sup>54</sup>.

SNPs of p21, p53 and CCND1 genes namely rs1801270, rs1042522 and rs603965, respectively influence in control of cell cycle and the polymorphisms therein increases the breast cancer susceptibility. However, the cumulative effects of these polymorphisms on breast cancer susceptibility are unexplored. The current study elucidates the combinatorial effect of these polymorphisms in breast cancer onset and progression using a retrospective case-control study.

## Patients and Methods

### Biological Specimens

Blood samples of 115 breast Cancer patients having different grades of Adeno or infiltratory duct carcinoma were collected for polymorphic studies from BRA-IRCH, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi, India. Additionally, same number

**Table I.** Risk associated with different clinicopathological variables.

Clinicopathological variables	No. of Patients	Percentage (%)	Risk ratio	$\chi^2$ significance (p-value pearson)
<b>Age Distribution</b> 25-77 years, average 35-50 years	115			
Age				
< 50	77/ 115	66.95	2.02	< 0.0001
> 50	38/ 115	33		
<b>Menstrual status</b>				
Pre-Menopausal	69/ 115	60	1.5	0.002
Post-Menopausal	46/ 115	40		
<b>Nodal status</b>				
Positive	75/ 115	65.2	1.87	< 0.0001
Negative	40/ 115	34.78		
<b>Histological grading</b>				
PD	62/ 115	53.9	PD v/s MD 1.67	0.000
MD	37/ 115	32.17	PD v/s MD+ WD 1.16	0.23
WD	16/ 115	13.9		
<b>Histological status</b>				
Invasive Ductular Carcinoma (IDC)	107/ 115	93	13.37	< 0.0001
Invasive Lobular Carcinoma (ILC)	8/ 115	7		
<b>Tumor Size</b>				
pT3 (<15)	68/ 115	59.13	pT3 v/s pT2 1.65	0.000
pT2 (<5)	41/ 115	35.65	pT3 v/s pT2+ pT1 1.44	0.005
pT1 (<2)	6/ 115	5.2		
<b>Estrogen Receptor (ER) status</b>				
+ve	42/ 115	36.52	0.57	< 0.0001
-ve	73/ 115	63.48		
<b>Progesterone Receptor (PR) status</b>				
+ve	45/ 115	39.13	0.64	0.0001
-ve	70/ 115	60.87		
<b>Clinical Stage TNM</b>				
III + IV	68/ 115	59.13	III + IV v/s II 1.65	0.000
II	41/ 115	35.65	III + IV v/s II+ I 1.44	0.005*
I	6/ 115	5.20		

\*statistically significant.

of blood samples were collected from healthy women (having no family history of cancer) of matched age group. A prior informed consent was obtained from all participants (Table I).

The study was approved and cleared by the Ethics Committee of Jamia Millia Islamia (A Central University) and All India Institute of Medical Sciences.

### Genotype Analysis

DNA isolation from Peripheral Blood was done as described by Sambrook et al<sup>55</sup>. Detailed primer information for the determination of the p21 rs1801270, p53 rs1042522 and CCND1 rs603965 SNP genotypes is given in Table II. Briefly, genotyping of rs1801270 and rs603965

**Table II.** Primer details.

Gene	SNP	Method	Primers	Enzyme
p21	rs1801270	PCR- RFLP	FP-5'-ATGTCCGTCAGAACCCAT-3' RP-5'-TGGTCTTCCTCTGCTGTC-3'	BlpI
p53	rs1042522	AFLP	p53Pro+/p53-FP 5'-GCC AGA GGC TGC TCC CCC-3' p53Pro+/p53-RP 5'-CGT GCA AGT CAC AGA CTT-3' p53+/p53Arg-FP 5'-TCC CCC TTG CCG TC CCA A-3' p53+/p53Arg-RP 5'-CTG GTG CAG GGG CCA CGC-3'	
CCND1	rs603965	PCR- RFLP	FP 5'-GTGAAGTTCATTTCCAATCCGC-3' RP 5'-GGGACATCACCTCACTTAC-3'	ScrFI

**Table III.** Frequencies of p21 (C93A) SNP alleles and genotypes in control and breast cancer cases.

Total subjects	Case frequency (n= 115)	Control frequency (n= 115)	Odds ratio (Confidence interval 95%)	p-value
Allelic Frequency (Total alleles)				
C	0.91 (210)	0.95 (219)	Ref	0.136
A	0.09 (20)	0.05 (11)	1.896 (0.900-3.992)	
Genotype Frequency (Total genotypes)				
CC	0.83 (95)	0.90 (104)	Ref	0.121
CA	0.17 (20)	0.10 (11)	1.990 (0.918-4.308)	
Premenopausal women	Patient frequency (n= 69)	Control frequency (n= 69)	Odds ratio (Confidence interval 95%)	p-value
Allelic Frequency (Total alleles)				
C	0.91 (126)	0.95 (131)	Ref	0.342
A	0.09 (12)	0.05 (7)	1.782 (0.699-4.535)	
Genotype Frequency (Total genotypes)				
CC	0.83 (57)	0.90 (62)	Ref	0.323
CA	0.17 (12)	0.10 (7)	1.865 (0.704-4.921)	
Premenopausal women	Patient frequency (n= 46)	Control frequency (n= 46)	Odds ratio (Confidence interval 95%)	p-value
Allelic Frequency (Total alleles)				
C	0.91 (84)	0.96 (88)	Ref	0.371
A	0.09 (8)	0.04 (4)	2.095 (0.644-6.784)	
Genotype Frequency (Total genotypes)				
CC	0.83 (38)	0.91 (42)	Ref	0.354
CA	0.17 (8)	0.09 (4)	2.211 (0.649-7.463)	

was determined using a PCR-RFLP method while AFLP method was used to determine rs1042522 genotype.

### Statistical Analysis

Chi-square test ( $\chi^2$ ) was used to study the association between breast cancer risk and single nu-

cleotide polymorphisms of p21, p53 and CCND1 genes as well as different clinico-pathological variables, if any, using IBM SPSS Statistics. The  $p$ -value  $\leq 0.05$  was considered significant.

## Results

### ***Association of p21 Gene rs1801270 SNP Alleles and Genotypes with Breast Cancer Risk***

Analysis of SNP93C and SNP93A alleles in total cohort as well as in premenopausal, and postmenopausal cases, when compared with controls, revealed that neither SNP 93C nor SNP93A p21 genotype increases significant breast cancer susceptibility (Table III).

### ***Relationship of p53 Gene rs1042522 SNP Alleles and Genotypes with the Risk of Breast Cancer***

Heterozygous arginine variant of rs1042522 SNP is associated with significant protection against developing breast cancer among total cohort, premenopausal and postmenopausal women. ORs for Arg/Pro (G/C) genotype in total, premenopausal and postmenopausal women were 0.172 (95% CI, 0.097-0.307,  $p$ -value 0.000), 0.329 (95% CI, 0.162-0.665,  $p$ -value 0.000) and 0.053 (95% CI, 0.018-0.154,  $p$ -value 0.000), respectively. Further, GC along with CC genetic model showed significant reduction of breast cancer risk in total and postmenopausal women with ORs= 0.327 and 0.162, respectively (Table IV).

### ***Relationship of CCND1 Gene rs603965 (G870A) Polymorphism Alleles and Genotypes with Breast Cancer Risk***

AA genotype of CCND1 was found associated significantly with the breast cancer risk in total and premenopausal women with ORs 2.66 and 3.35, respectively. GG and GA genotype were not associated significantly with the breast cancer risk (Table V).

### ***Association of p21 rs1801270 and p53 1042522 Polymorphism in Combination***

Analysis of probable genotypic combinations for p21 rs1801270 and p53 1042522 among total cohort, premenopausal and postmenopausal women revealed that p21 CC genotype is associated with significant protective association against developing breast cancer when present with p53 GC and also with GC+ CC genotype.

A possible risk association of p21 CA in combination with p53 GC genotype with the breast cancer among premenopausal women was observed. However, the small sample size prevented the calculation of statistical significance (Table VI).

### ***Association of p21 rs1801270 and CCND1 rs603965 Polymorphism in Combination***

Analysis of every probable combination of p21 rs1801270 and CCND1 rs603965 showed significant association of p21 CC: CCND1 AA with breast cancer risk among total cohort as well as premenopausal subjects with ORs 2.44 and 3.46, respectively. CA:AA genotypic combination was not observed among control subjects (Table VII).

### ***Association of p53 rs1042522 and CCND1 rs603965 Polymorphism in Combination***

Analysis of probable groups of p53 rs1042522 and CCND1 rs603965 SNPs showed that p53 GG: CCND1 AA elevated significant breast cancer risk among total cohort with ORs 6.93. GG: AA genotype was not observed in any control postmenopausal subject. Further, p53 GC: CCND1 GA and p53 GC: CCND1 GA+AA was found to provide significant protection against developing breast cancer among total cohort with ORs 0.25 and 0.30, respectively (Table VIII).

## Discussion

Breast cancer risk is essentially based on the changes in high-risk susceptibility genes, notably BRCA1 and BRCA2<sup>56</sup>. Importantly, linkage studies suggest the absence of any other high-risk genes<sup>57</sup> indicating the involvement of common lower risk alleles in genes including ATM, CHEK2, BRIP1, PALB2 and CASP8<sup>58-64</sup> and further susceptibility loci<sup>65,66</sup>. Present study sought to elucidate the role of common polymorphisms of cell cycle genes in modulation of breast cancer susceptibility.

Our study is in agreement with the NCRP report 2001 showing more than 80% of breast tumors as invasive ductular carcinoma (IDC). Majority of our patients were <50 years with a mean age of 48.03 years, suggesting breast cancer occurrence at an early age compared to the NCRP report, 2001 (50 to 64 years). This is supported further by detection of breast cancer in premenopausal women (60%) in our study. Lack of disease

**Table IV.** Frequencies of p53 (codon 72) SNP alleles and genotypes in control and breast cancer cases.

<b>Total subjects</b>	<b>Case frequency (n= 115)</b>	<b>Control frequency (n= 115)</b>	<b>Odds ratio (Confidence interval 95%)</b>	<b>p-value</b>
Allelic Frequency (Total alleles)				
G	0.64 (147)	0.58 (133)	Ref	0.214
C	0.36 (83)	0.42 (97)	0.774 (0.532 - 1.126)	
Genotype Frequency (Total genotypes)				
GG	0.53 (61)	0.27 (31)	Ref	
GC	0.22 (25)	0.62 (71)	0.172 (0.097-0.307)	<0.001*
CC	0.25 (29)	0.11 (13)	1.113 (0.517-2.483)	0.92
GC+ CC	0.47 (54)	0.73 (84)	0.327 (0.189-0.566)	<0.001*
<b>Premenopausal women</b>	<b>Patient frequency (n= 69)</b>	<b>Control frequency (n= 69)</b>	<b>Odds ratio (OR) (95%)</b>	<b>p-value</b>
Allelic Frequency (Total alleles)				
G	0.64 (89)	0.60 (83)	Ref	0.535
C	0.36 (49)	0.40 (55)	0.831 (0.511-1.351)	
Genotype Frequency (Total genotypes)				
GG	0.50 (35)	0.33 (23)	Ref	
GC	0.28 (19)	0.54 (37)	0.329 (0.162-0.665)	0.008*
CC	0.22 (15)	0.13 (9)	1.09 (0.41-2.91)	1
GC+ CC	0.50 (34)	0.67 (46)	0.486 (0.245-0.963)	0.057
<b>Premenopausal women</b>	<b>Patient frequency (n= 46)</b>	<b>Control frequency (n= 46)</b>	<b>Odds ratio (OR) (95% CI)</b>	<b>p-value</b>
Allelic Frequency (Total alleles)				
G	0.63 (58)	0.54 (50)	Ref	0.295
C	0.37 (34)	0.46 (42)	0.698 (0.388-1.255)	
Genotype Frequency (Total genotypes)				
GG	0.57 (26)	0.17 (8)	Ref	
GC	0.13 (6)	0.74 (34)	0.053 (0.018-0.154)	<0.001*
CC	0.30 (14)	0.09 (4)	1.07 (0.27-4.21)	NC
GC+ CC	0.43 (20)	0.83 (38)	0.162 (0.063-0.418)	0.000*

awareness and hesitation to discuss early symptoms among Indian females are likely to result in late diagnosis as nearly 60% of our patients belonged to late stages of the disease. The problem is further compounded by the paucity of efficient diagnostic tools and screening methods for early detection of breast cancer. We observed lymph node involvement in almost 65% of the cases that often leads to poor prognosis. Early reports associate germline and somatic mutations in cell cycle genes with the onset and development of various cancers<sup>8,67</sup>.

We analyzed the role of three key actors namely p21, CCND1 and p53 proteins. p21 and CCND1

are associated with cell cycle progression from G1 to S phase enabling DNA replication and growth after senescence by aiding in overcoming of the restriction point (R)<sup>68,69</sup>. Variations in p21 gene disturb the control of cell propagation and increase cancer risk in humans<sup>18,70</sup>. p53 protein on the other hand enforces a variety of anticancer functions and is aptly considered as a molecular policeman<sup>68</sup>. The SNPs in p21, p53 and CCND1 have been associated individually with breast cancer risk<sup>71,72</sup>. The p21 and p53 SNPs may critically reduce the tumor suppressor activity of p53 and allow cell cycle progression even with compromised genomic integrity after genotoxic dam-

age<sup>73,74</sup>. We examined these important genes of cell cycle pathway to elucidate the association of their common alleles with breast cancer susceptibility. Our study shows potential additive associations between the common p21, p53 and CCND1 polymorphisms and susceptibility to developing breast cancer.

The changes in p21 gene are rarely found associated with human cancers<sup>18</sup> despite the importance of p21 in cell cycle regulation. Polymorphisms of p21 gene, which is under transcriptional control of p53, negatively impacts tumor suppressor function of the p53 pathway and critical cel-

lular processes like growth halt and apoptosis in the wake of genomic damage. The C93A SNP of the p21 gene is linked with developing some human cancers including that of colon<sup>75</sup>, soft tissue<sup>76</sup>, breast<sup>77</sup>, prostate<sup>78</sup> and head and neck<sup>79</sup>. Inconsistent reports<sup>80,81,82,83,84,21</sup> regarding association of Arg allele of the p21 codon 31 polymorphisms with human cancers exist in the literature.

Contradictory reports regarding the association of p21 C93A variants with high cancer risk in humans<sup>70</sup> was an impetus for our further study. We found no association of p21 C93A SNP with an elevated risk of developing breast cancer, in

**Table V.** Frequencies of CCND1 (G870A) alleles and genotypes in control and breast cancer patients.

Total women	Patient frequency (n= 115)	Control frequency (n= 115)	Odds ratio (Confidence interval 95%)	p-value
Allelic Frequency (Total alleles)				
G	0.40 (92)	0.53 (122)	Ref	0.007*
A	0.60 (138)	0.47 (108)	1.694 (1.171-2.451)	
Genotype Frequency (Total genotypes)				
GG	0.20 (23)	0.24 (28)	Ref	
GA	0.40 (46)	0.58 (66)	0.84 (0.43-1.65)	0.75
AA	0.40 (46)	0.18 (21)	2.66 (1.25-5.67)	0.017*
GA+ AA	0.80 (92)	0.76 (87)	1.287 (0.693-2.392)	0.52
Premenopausal women	Patient frequency (n= 69)	Case Control frequency (n= 69)	Odds ratio (OR) (95%)	p-value
Allelic Frequency (Total alleles)				
G	0.36 (50)	0.52 (72)	Ref	0.011*
A	0.64 (88)	0.48 (66)	1.920 (1.187-3.104)	
Genotype Frequency (Total genotypes)				
GG	0.16 (11)	0.23 (16)	Ref	
GA	0.41 (28)	0.58 (40)	1.01 (0.41-2.52)	0.84
AA	0.43 (30)	0.19 (13)	3.35 (1.22-9.18)	0.031*
GA+ AA	0.84 (58)	0.77 (53)	1.59 (0.687-3.682)	0.391
Premenopausal women	Patient frequency (n= 46)	Case Control frequency (n= 46)	Odds ratio (OR) (95% CI)	p-value
Allelic Frequency (Total alleles)				
G	0.46 (42)	0.54 (50)	Ref	0.302
A	0.54 (50)	0.46 (42)	1.417	
Genotype Frequency (Total genotypes)				
GG	0.26 (12)	0.26 (12)	Ref	
GA	0.39 (18)	0.57 (26)	0.69 (0.25-1.88)	0.63
AA	0.35 (16)	0.17 (8)	2.00 (0.62-6.42)	0.38
GA+ AA	0.74 (34)	0.74 (34)	1.00 (0.400-2.501)	0.80

**Table VI.** Combined genotypic frequencies of p21 rs1801270 and p53 1042522 among breast cancer cases and controls in Indian females.

Total cohort	Case frequency (n= 115)	Control frequency (n= 115)	Odds ratio (CI 95%)	p-value
CC:GG	0.45 (52)	0.21 (24)	Ref	
CC:GC	0.16 (19)	0.58 (67)	0.13 (0.06 – 0.26)	<0.0001*
CC:CC	0.21 (24)	0.11 (13)	0.85 (0.37 – 1.95)	0.86
CC:GC+CC	0.37 (43)	0.70 (80)	0.24 (0.13 – 0.45)	<0.0001*
CA:GG	0.08 (9)	0.06 (7)	0.59 (0.19 – 1.78)	0.51
CA:GC	0.05 (6)	0.03 (4)	0.69 (0.17 – 2.68)	NC
CA:CC	0.04 (5)	- (0)	NC	NC
CA:GC+CC	0.10 (11)	0.03 (4)	1.26 (0.36 – 4.39)	NC
Pre-menopausal women	Case frequency (n= 69)	Control frequency (n= 69)	Odds ratio (OR) (CI 95%)	p-value
CC:GG	0.42 (29)	0.25 (17)	Ref	
CC:GC	0.22 (15)	0.54 (37)	0.240 (0.115 – 0.502)	0.001*
CC:CC	0.19 (13)	0.12 (8)	0.95 (0.32 – 2.76)	0.86
CC:GC+CC	0.40 (28)	0.65 (45)	0.36 (0.183 – 0.78)	0.014*
CA:GG	0.09 (6)	0.09 (6)	0.58 (0.16 – 2.11)	NC
CA:GC	0.06 (4)	0.01 (1)	2.34 (0.24 – 22.73)	NC
CA:CC	0.03 (2)	- (0)	----	--
CA:GC+CC	0.09 (6)	0.01 (1)	3.51 (0.38 – 31.74)	NC
Pre-menopausal	Case frequency (n= 46)	Control frequency (n= 46)	Odds ratio (OR) (95% CI)	p-value
CC:GG	0.5 (23)	0.15 (7)	Ref	
CC:GC	0.09 (4)	0.65 (30)	0.04 (0.01-0.15)	<0.0001*
CC:CC	0.24 (11)	0.11 (5)	0.66 (0.17-2.59)	NC
CC:GC+CC	0.33 (15)	0.76 (35)	0.13 (0.04-0.36)	0.000*
CA:GG	0.06 (3)	0.02 (1)	0.91 (0.08-10.22)	NC
CA:GC	0.04 (2)	0.06 (3)	0.20 (0.02-1.46)	NC
CA:CC	0.06 (3)	- (0)	----	--
CA: GC+CC	0.11 (5)	0.06 (3)	0.50 (0.09-2.67)	NC

accordance with an early study conducted using cases of invasive breast carcinomas<sup>23</sup> and primary breast cancer<sup>85</sup>. However, frequent observation of Arg allele in breast cancer cases than controls among Indian females is noteworthy and needs to be studied. Alterations in p53 gene, including rs1042522 Arg72Pro polymorphism of exon 4, are the most commonly associated mutations found in human cancers. The variants differ in biochemical properties, transcription control modulation, signaling DNA repair or apoptosis thereby reducing genomic instability and suppressing uncontrolled cellular proliferation<sup>86-89</sup>. Frequent observation of Arg or Pro alleles in cases of breast cancer is reported by some studies while others have shown no preferential retention of these alleles<sup>90-93</sup>. Similarly, studies reporting the association of rs1042522 SNP with breast cancer

risk have remained discordant<sup>80,94,95</sup>. Conflicting reports from Indian population showing an association of Arg72 variant with the cancer of oral cavity<sup>96</sup> and Pro72 variant with urinary bladder<sup>97</sup> and breast cancer<sup>98</sup> exist in the literature. This discrepancy might be because of reports from different ethnicities, for example, Syeed et al<sup>98</sup> studied ethnically diverse Kashmiri population. Furthermore, gene environment interactions might also have modified the effect of TSP53 variants. We observed p53 heterozygous arginine variant as protective against developing breast cancer in all cases. These findings are in agreement with early studies showing that codon 72 Arginine has a protective effect owing to an increased apoptotic potential induced by G allele<sup>87,99-101</sup>. Therefore, G allele possibly serves as a risk allele for breast cancer development in Indian ethnicity. Although

G/G homozygous genotype have been shown to elevate breast cancer risk in Caucasians and Turk ethnicities<sup>90,95</sup>, few studies conducted in Japanese populations have shown the association of C/C genotype with an increased risk of breast cancer in ER-positive postmenopausal women<sup>101,102</sup>.

CCND1 play a critical role in breast cancer etiology. G870A (rs603965) SNP leads to the formation of Cyclin D1 transcript-b harboring a PEST-rich region by modulating the splicing at the exon 4-intron 4 boundaries. The alternate transcript bestows a longer half-life of CCND1 and can also evade the G1/S- checkpoint of cell cycle<sup>45</sup>. Multiple molecular epidemiological reports regarding the association of rs603965 with breast cancer susceptibility return inconclusive findings<sup>103</sup>. However, involvement of CCND1 is strongly suggested

by the over expression of CCND1 gene found in almost 20% of breast cancer and 50% of mammary tumors<sup>104,105</sup>, that seems like an early event in breast cancer formation<sup>106</sup>. Our study found association of A/A genotype of rs603965 SNP with increased risk of developing breast cancer in total cohort and premenopausal cases. Our findings corroborate with many early reports suggesting the relationship of this SNP with susceptibility to developing cancers of urinary bladder<sup>47</sup>, esophagus and stomach<sup>48</sup>, prostate<sup>107</sup>, head and neck<sup>50</sup>, colon<sup>51</sup> and cervix<sup>108</sup>. Several reports from India also suggest a link between rs603965 SNP and an elevated risk for developing cancers of cervix<sup>109</sup>, prostate<sup>110</sup>, urinary bladder<sup>111</sup> and esophagus<sup>112</sup>.

Multiple reports have shown the association of polymorphic variants of p21 at codon 31,

**Table VII.** Combined genotype frequency of p21 rs1801270 and CCND1 rs603965 among breast cancer cases and controls.

Total cohort	Case frequency (n= 115)	Control frequency (n= 115)	Odds ratio (CI 95%)	p-value
CC:GG	0.16 (19)	0.22 (25)	Ref	
CC:GA	0.32 (37)	0.50 (58)	0.83 (0.40 – 1.73)	0.777
CC:AA	0.34 (39)	0.18 (21)	2.44 (1.09 – 5.42)	0.044*
CC:GA+AA	0.66 (76)	0.69 (79)	1.26 (0.64 – 2.48)	0.61
CA:GG	0.03 (4)	0.003 (3)	1.75 (0.35 – 8.78)	NC
CA:GA	0.08 (9)	0.07 (8)	1.48 (0.48 – 4.55)	0.68
CA:AA	0.06 (7)	- (0)	NC	NC
CA:GA+AA	0.14 (16)	0.07 (8)	2.63 (0.93 – 7.42)	0.11
Premenopausal women	Case frequency (n= 69)	Control frequency (n= 69)	Odds ratio (OR) (CI 95%)	p-value
CC:GG	0.12 (8)	0.22 (15)	Ref	
CC:GA	0.36 (25)	0.49 (34)	1.37 (0.50 – 3.75)	0.70
CC:AA	0.35 (24)	0.19 (13)	3.46 (1.16 – 10.31)	0.044*
CC:GA+AA	0.71 (49)	0.68 (47)	1.95 (0.75 – 5.03)	0.24
CA:GG	0.04 (3)	0.01 (1)	5.62 (0.5 – 63.28)	NC
CA:GA	0.04 (3)	0.09 (6)	0.93 (0.18 – 4.78)	NC
CA:AA	0.09 (6)	- (0)	NC	NC
CA:GA+AA	0.13 (9)	0.09 (6)	2.81 (0.73 – 10.77)	0.23
Premenopausal	Case frequency (n= 46)	Control frequency (n= 46)	Odds ratio (OR) (95% CI)	p-value
CC:GG	0.24 (11)	0.22 (10)	Ref	
CC:GA	0.26 (12)	0.52 (24)	0.45 (0.15 – 1.36)	0.25
CC:AA	0.33 (15)	0.17 (8)	1.70 (0.50 – 5.72)	0.57
CC:GA+AA	0.59 (27)	0.69 (32)	0.76 (0.28 – 2.08)	0.79
CA:GG	0.02 (1)	0.04 (2)	0.45 (0.03 – 5.81)	NC
CA:GA	0.13 (6)	0.04 (2)	2.72 (0.44 – 16.74)	NC
CA:AA	0.02 (1)	- (0)	NC	NC
CA:GA+AA	0.15 (7)	0.04 (2)	3.18 (0.53 – 19.05)	NC
CA: GC+CC	0.11 (5)	0.06 (3)	0.50 (0.09-2.67)	NC

**Table VIII.** Combinational genotypic frequencies of p53 rs1042522 and CCND1 rs603965 among breast cancer cases and controls.

Total cohort	Case frequency (n= 115)	Control frequency (n= 115)	Odds ratio (CI 95%)	p-value
GG:GG	0.13 (15)	0.11 (13)	Ref	
GG:GA	0.19 (22)	0.13 (15)	1.27 (0.47 – 3.42)	0.82
GG:AA	0.21 (24)	0.03 (3)	6.93 (1.69 – 28.44)	0.009*
GG:GA+AA	0.40 (46)	0.16 (18)	2.21 (0.88 – 5.56)	0.141
GC:GG	0.03 (4)	0.10 (12)	0.28 (0.07 – 1.11)	0.127
GC:GA	0.12 (14)	0.41 (47)	0.25 (0.09 – 0.66)	0.008*
GC:AA	0.06 (7)	0.10 (12)	0.50 (0.15 – 1.66)	0.406
GC:GA+AA	0.18 (21)	0.51 (59)	0.30 (0.12 – 0.75)	0.016*
CC:GG	0.03 (4)	0.03 (3)	1.15 (0.21 – 6.14)	NC
CC:GA	0.09 (10)	0.03 (4)	2.16 (0.54 – 8.58)	0.43
CC:AA	0.13 (15)	0.05 (6)	2.16 (0.65 – 7.21)	0.329
CC:GA+AA	0.22 (25)	0.08 (10)	2.16 (0.76 – 6.15)	0.23
Premenopausal	Case frequency (n= 69)	Control frequency (n= 69)	Odds ratio (OR) (CI 95%)	p-value
GG:GG	0.12 (8)	0.12 (8)	Ref	
GG:GA	0.19 (13)	0.17 (12)	1.08 (0.30-3.80)	0.84
GG:AA	0.20 (14)	0.04 (3)	4.66 (0.95-22.79)	0.10
GG:GA+AA	0.39 (27)	0.22 (15)	1.8 (0.56-5.77)	0.48
GC:GG	0.04 (3)	0.10 (7)	0.42 (0.08-2.27)	NC
GC:GA	0.15 (10)	0.36 (25)	0.4 (0.11-1.36)	0.24
GC:AA	0.09 (6)	0.09 (6)	1.00 (0.22- 4.46)	0.69
GC:GA+AA	0.24 (16)	0.45 (31)	0.51 (0.16-1.63)	0.40
CC:GG	- (0)	0.01 (1)	NC	NC
CC:GA	0.07 (5)	0.04 (3)	1.66 (0.29-9.44)	NC
CC:AA	0.15 (10)	0.06 (4)	2.5 (0.54-11.41)	0.40
CC:GG+AA	0.22 (15)	0.10 (7)	2.14 (0.50-8.09)	0.42
Premenopausal	Case frequency (n= 46)	Control frequency (n= 46)	Odds ratio (OR) (95% CI)	p-value
GG:GG	0.15 (7)	0.15 (5)	Ref	
GG:GA	0.20 (9)	0.06 (3)	2.14 (0.37-12.19)	NC
GG:AA	0.22 (10)	- (0)	NC	NC
GG:GA+AA	0.41 (19)	0.06 (3)	4.52 (0.84-24.11)	NC
GC:GG	0.02 (1)	0.11 (5)	0.14 (0.01-1.63)	NC
GC:GA	0.09 (4)	0.48 (22)	0.12 (0.02-0.62)	NC
GC:AA	0.02 (1)	0.13 (6)	0.11 (0.01-1.32)	NC
GC:GA+AA	0.11 (5)	0.61(28)	0.12 (0.02-0.56)	NC
CC:GG	0.09 (4)	0.04 (2)	1.42 (0.18-11.08)	NC
CC:GA	0.11 (5)	0.02 (1)	3.57 (0.31-40.77)	NC
CC:AA	0.11(5)	0.04 (2)	1.78 (0.24-13.21)	NC
CC:GA+AA	0.22 (10)	0.06 (3)	2.38 (0.42-13.38)	NC

and p53 at codon 72, with an increased cancer risk. However, limited studies have explored their cumulative effect on susceptibility of developing breast cancer. We investigated every probable genotypic combination of p21 and p53 variants in total cohort as well as in premenopausal and postmenopausal cases to elucidate any possible interaction between p21 codon 31

and p53 codon 72 polymorphisms modulating the breast cancer risk. A protective association of CC genotype of p21 SNP (rs1801270) and GC genotype of p53 SNP (rs1042522) detected in total cohort of cases including premenopausal and postmenopausal females underlines the importance of combinatorial analysis as CC or CA genotype of p21 gene showed no associa-

tion with susceptibility to breast cancer risk when studied alone. Our findings contrast a recent report probing the role of same SNPs of p53 and p21 gene in breast cancer risk among a German population<sup>113</sup>. Nevertheless, another study<sup>114</sup> showed that combinations of p21 and p53 SNPs are strongly associated with elevated susceptibility to developing cervical cancer.

On further analysis we found that p21 CC genotype along with GC and CC genotype of p53 gene was strongly associated with decreased susceptibility to breast cancer across the whole cohort of premenopausal and postmenopausal women. Contrary, CC genotype of p21 SNP (rs1801270) along with AA genotype of CCND1 SNP (rs603965) was found to increase risk of developing breast cancer risk, significantly. Similarly, GG genotype of p53 SNP (rs1042522) in combination with AA genotype of CCND1 SNP (rs603965) increased risk of developing breast cancer among total cohort and premenopausal females. However, GC genotype of p53 gene in combination of GA and GA+AA genotype of CCND1 gene were found to decrease susceptibility to breast cancer in total cohort.

Gene mutations having different levels of penetrance can increase the disease susceptibility greatly. Studies have shown that mutation of high-penetrance susceptibility gene (like BRCA1, BRCA2, p53, CDH1, PTEN) increases cancer risk significantly<sup>9,13</sup>. Although mutations/changes located in low penetrance genes contribute less to disease susceptibility, however, they may contribute significantly to the risk in combination with other low or high penetrance genes<sup>72,74</sup>. Identification of low- and moderate- penetrance gene mutations is equally important for cancer prevention, surveillance, and management.

Presence of additional common epigenomic changes, intra-tumor heterogeneity in a polygenic and multifactorial disease like cancer makes it imperative to assess risk factors in a holistic manner despite their apparently low influence on susceptibility<sup>14</sup>. Our study revealed the increased risk for developing breast cancer associated with gene variants with low, moderate and high susceptibility effects by analyzing multiplicative gene-gene interactions. These findings may prime the development of wide-ranging polygenic breast cancer risk models by including gene-environment interactions. Future analysis may elucidate the relative modifications in susceptibility associated with heterogeneity present in a particular ethnicity

and help in developing preventative or therapeutic measures among people at high-risk.

## Conclusions

Alterations in cell- cycle genes and genes involved in apoptosis pathway significantly contribute to onset and progression of breast tumorigenesis. Combinatorial analyses of SNPs present in p21, p53 and CCND1 genes namely rs1801270, rs1042522 and rs603965, respectively, revealed significant association with susceptibility to developing breast cancer. Current findings elucidate the combined role of low-penetrance mutant alleles of key cell cycle and tumor suppressor genes in elevated risk of breast cancer. However, further case-control studies considering gene-environment interactions conducted in diverse ethnicities will confirm putative associations between currently studied polymorphisms and breast cancer risk.

## Conflict of Interest

The Authors declare that they have no conflict of interests.

## Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank all the subjects included in the study. The authors also gratefully acknowledge the financial support provided by the Deanship of Scientific Research (DSR), King Abdul Aziz University, Jeddah under the grant number DF-713-130-1441.

## References

- 1) Youlten DR, Cramb SM, Yip CH, Baade PD. Incidence and mortality of female breast cancer in the Asia-Pacific region. *Cancer Biol Med* 2014; 11: 101-115.
- 2) Anderson BO, Distelhorst SR. Guidelines for International Breast Health and Cancer Control--Implementation. Introduction. *Cancer* 2008; 113: 2215-2216.
- 3) Fan L, Goss PE, Strasser-Weippl K. Current Status and Future Projections of Breast Cancer in Asia. *Breast Care (Basel)* 2015; 10: 372-378.
- 4) Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2018; 68: 394-424.
- 5) Ferlay J, Colombet M, Soerjomataram I, Mathers C, Parkin DM, Pineros M, Znaor A, Bray F. Estimating the global cancer incidence and mortality in 2018: GLOBOCAN sources and methods. *Int J Cancer* 2019; 144: 1941-1953.

- 6) el-Deiry WS, Tokino T, Velculescu VE, Levy DB, Parsons R, Trent JM, Lin D, Mercer WE, Kinzler KW, Vogelstein B. WAF1, a potential mediator of p53 tumor suppression. *Cell* 1993; 75: 817-825.
- 7) Abbas T, Dutta A. p21 in cancer: intricate networks and multiple activities. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2009; 9: 400-414.
- 8) Golias CH, Charalabopoulos A, Charalabopoulos K. Cell proliferation and cell cycle control: a mini review. *Int J Clin Pract* 2004; 58: 1134-1141.
- 9) Guo K, Zhao C, Lang B, Wang H, Zheng H, Zhang F. Regulator of chromosome condensation 2 modulates cell cycle progression, tumorigenesis, and therapeutic resistance. *Front Mol Biosci* 2020; 7: 620973.
- 10) Bartek J, Lukas J. Cell cycle. Order from destruction. *Science* 2001; 294: 66-67.
- 11) Leijen S, Beijnen JH, Schellens JH. Abrogation of the G2 checkpoint by inhibition of Wee-1 kinase results in sensitization of p53-deficient tumor cells to DNA-damaging agents. *Curr Clin Pharmacol* 2010; 5: 186-191.
- 12) Meulmeester E, Jochemsen AG. p53: a guide to apoptosis. *Curr Cancer Drug Targets* 2008; 8: 87-97.
- 13) Zeng F, Huang L, Cheng X, Yang X, Li T, Feng G, Tang Y, Yang Y. Overexpression of LASS2 inhibits proliferation and causes G0/G1 cell cycle arrest in papillary thyroid cancer. *Cancer Cell Int* 2018; 18: 151.
- 14) Al Bitar S, Gali-Muhtasib H. The role of the cyclin dependent kinase inhibitor p21(cip1/waf1) in targeting cancer: molecular mechanisms and novel therapeutics. *Cancers (Basel)* 2019; 11.
- 15) Abbas T, Sivaprasad U, Terai K, Amador V, Pagan M, Dutta A. PCNA-dependent regulation of p21 ubiquitylation and degradation via the CRL4Cdt2 ubiquitin ligase complex. *Genes Dev* 2008; 22: 2496-2506.
- 16) Xiong Y, Hannon GJ, Zhang H, Casso D, Kobayashi R, Beach D. p21 is a universal inhibitor of cyclin kinases. *Nature* 1993; 366: 701-704.
- 17) Chen J, Jackson PK, Kirschner MW, Dutta A. Separate domains of p21 involved in the inhibition of Cdk kinase and PCNA. *Nature* 1995; 374: 386-388.
- 18) Shamloo B, Usluer S. p21 in Cancer Research. *Cancers (Basel)* 2019; 11.
- 19) Akhter N, Akhtar MS, Ahmad MM, Haque S, Siddiqui S, Hasan SI, Shukla NK, Husain SA. Association of mutation and hypermethylation of p21 gene with susceptibility to breast cancer: a study from north India. *Mol Biol Rep* 2014; 41: 2999-3007.
- 20) Choi YY, Kang HK, Choi JE, Jang JS, Kim EJ, Cha SI, Lee WK, Kam S, Kim CH, Han SB, Jung TH, Park JY. Comprehensive assessment of P21 polymorphisms and lung cancer risk. *J Hum Genet* 2008; 53: 87-95.
- 21) Milner BJ, Brown I, Gabra H, Kitchener HC, Parkin DE, Haites NE. A protective role for common p21WAF1/Cip1 polymorphisms in human ovarian cancer. *Int J Oncol* 1999; 15: 117-119.
- 22) Milner BJ, Hosking L, Sun S, Haites NE, Foulkes WD. Polymorphisms in P21CIP1/WAF1 are not correlated with TP53 status in sporadic ovarian tumours. *Eur J Cancer* 1996; 32A: 2360-2363.
- 23) Lukasz J, Groshen S, Saffari B, Niu N, Reles A, Wen WH, Felix J, Jones LA, Hall FL, Press MF. WAF1/Cip1 gene polymorphism and expression in carcinomas of the breast, ovary, and endometrium. *Am J Pathol* 1997; 150: 167-175.
- 24) Ressiniotis T, Griffiths PG, Keers SM, Chinnery PF, Birch M. A polymorphism at codon 31 of gene p21 is not associated with primary open angle glaucoma in Caucasians. *BMC Ophthalmol* 2005; 5: 5.
- 25) Tornesello ML, Buonaguro L, Cristillo M, Biryahwaho B, Downing R, Hatzakis A, Alessi E, Cusini M, Ruocco V, Viviano E, Romano N, Katongole-Mbidde E, Buonaguro FM. MDM2 and CDKN1A gene polymorphisms and risk of Kaposi's sarcoma in African and Caucasian patients. *Biomarkers* 2011; 16: 42-50.
- 26) Cao Q, Wang Y, Song X, Yang W. Association between MDM2 rs2279744, MDM2 rs937283, and p21 rs1801270 polymorphisms and retinoblastoma susceptibility. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2018; 97: e13547.
- 27) Chen WC, Wu HC, Hsu CD, Chen HY, Tsai FJ. p21 gene codon 31 polymorphism is associated with bladder cancer. *Urol Oncol* 2002; 7: 63-66.
- 28) Tsai MH, Chen WC, Tsai FJ. Correlation of p21 gene codon 31 polymorphism and TNF-alpha gene polymorphism with nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *J Clin Lab Anal* 2002; 16: 146-150.
- 29) Hsieh YY, Chang CC, Bau DT, Tsai FJ, Tsai CH, Chen CP. The p21 codon 31\* C- and DRD2 codon 313\* T-related genotypes/alleles, but not XRCC1 codon 399, hOGG1 codon 326, and DRD1-48 polymorphisms, are correlated with the presence of leiomyoma. *Fertil Steril* 2009; 91: 869-877.
- 30) Liu F, Li B, Wei Y, Chen X, Ma Y, Yan L, Wen T. P21 codon 31 polymorphism associated with cancer among white people: evidence from a meta-analysis involving 78,074 subjects. *Mutagenesis* 2011; 26: 513-521.
- 31) Staalesen V, Knappskog S, Chrisanthar R, Nordgard SH, Lokkevik E, Anker G, Ostenstad B, Lundgren S, Risberg T, Mjaaland I, Gram IT, Kristensen VN, Borresen-Dale AL, Lillehaug JR, Lonning PE. The novel p21 polymorphism p21G251A is associated with locally advanced breast cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* 2006; 12: 6000-6004.
- 32) Buchman VL, Chumakov PM, Ninkina NN, Samarina OP, Georgiev GP. A variation in the structure of the protein-coding region of the human p53 gene. *Gene* 1988; 70: 245-252.
- 33) Saksela K, Cheng G, Baltimore D. Proline-rich (PxxP) motifs in HIV-1 Nef bind to SH3 domains of a subset of Src kinases and are required for the enhanced growth of Nef+ viruses but not for down-regulation of CD4. *EMBO J* 1995; 14: 484-491.
- 34) Perez-Villasenor G, Tovar AR, Moranchel AH, Hernandez-Pando R, Hutson SM, Torres N. Mitochondrial branched chain aminotransferase gene expression in AS-30D hepatoma rat cells and during liver regeneration after partial hepatectomy in rat. *Life Sci* 2005; 78: 334-339.
- 35) Bougeard G, Baert-Desurmont S, Tournier I, Vasseur S, Martin C, Brugieres L, Chompret A, Bressac-de Paillerets B, Stoppa-Lyonnet D, Bonaiti-Pellie C, Frebourg T. Impact of the MDM2 SNP309 and p53 Arg72Pro polymorphism on age

- of tumour onset in Li-Fraumeni syndrome. *J Med Genet* 2006; 43: 531-533.
- 36) Kim JG, Sohn SK, Chae YS, Song HS, Kwon KY, Do YR, Kim MK, Lee KH, Hyun MS, Lee WS, Sohn CH, Jung JS, Kim GC, Chung HY, Yu W. TP53 codon 72 polymorphism associated with prognosis in patients with advanced gastric cancer treated with paclitaxel and cisplatin. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol* 2009; 64: 355-360.
  - 37) Henriquez-Hernandez LA, Murias-Rosales A, Gonzalez-Hernandez A, de Leon AC, Diaz-Chico N, Fernandez-Perez L. Distribution of TYMS, MTHFR, p53 and MDR1 gene polymorphisms in patients with breast cancer treated with neoadjuvant chemotherapy. *Cancer Epidemiol* 2010; 34: 634-638.
  - 38) Huang ZH, Hua D, Li LH, Zhu JD. Prognostic role of p53 codon 72 polymorphism in gastric cancer patients treated with fluorouracil-based adjuvant chemotherapy. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol* 2008; 134: 1129-1134.
  - 39) Khrunin AV, Moisseev A, Gorbunova V, Limborska S. Genetic polymorphisms and the efficacy and toxicity of cisplatin-based chemotherapy in ovarian cancer patients. *Pharmacogenomics J* 2010; 10: 54-61.
  - 40) Khrunin A, Ivanova F, Moisseev A, Khokhrin D, Sleptsova Y, Gorbunova V, Limborska S. Pharmacogenomics of cisplatin-based chemotherapy in ovarian cancer patients of different ethnic origins. *Pharmacogenomics* 2012; 13: 171-178.
  - 41) Sherr CJ. Cancer cell cycles. *Science* 1996; 274: 1672-1677.
  - 42) Malumbres M, Barbacid M. To cycle or not to cycle: a critical decision in cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2001; 1: 222-231.
  - 43) Zhou P, Jiang W, Weghorst CM, Weinstein IB. Overexpression of cyclin D1 enhances gene amplification. *Cancer Res* 1996; 56: 36-39.
  - 44) Radu A, Neubauer V, Akagi T, Hanafusa H, Georgescu MM. PTEN induces cell cycle arrest by decreasing the level and nuclear localization of cyclin D1. *Mol Cell Biol* 2003; 23: 6139-6149.
  - 45) Betticher DC, Thatcher N, Altermatt HJ, Hoban P, Ryder WD, Heighway J. Alternate splicing produces a novel cyclin D1 transcript. *Oncogene* 1995; 11: 1005-1011.
  - 46) Solomon DA, Wang Y, Fox SR, Lambeck TC, Giesting S, Lan Z, Senderowicz AM, Conti CJ, Knudsen ES. Cyclin D1 splice variants. Differential effects on localization, RB phosphorylation, and cellular transformation. *J Biol Chem* 2003; 278: 30339-30347.
  - 47) Wang L, Habuchi T, Takahashi T, Mitsumori K, Kamoto T, Kakehi Y, Kakinuma H, Sato K, Nakamura A, Ogawa O, Kato T. Cyclin D1 gene polymorphism is associated with an increased risk of urinary bladder cancer. *Carcinogenesis* 2002; 23: 257-264.
  - 48) Zhang J, Li Y, Wang R, Wen D, Sarbia M, Kuang G, Wu M, Wei L, He M, Zhang L, Wang S. Association of cyclin D1 (G870A) polymorphism with susceptibility to esophageal and gastric cardiac carcinoma in a northern Chinese population. *Int J Cancer* 2003; 105: 281-284.
  - 49) Wang L, Habuchi T, Mitsumori K, Li Z, Kamoto T, Kinoshita H, Tsuchiya N, Sato K, Ohyama C, Nakamura A, Ogawa O, Kato T. Increased risk of prostate cancer associated with AA genotype of cyclin D1 gene A870G polymorphism. *Int J Cancer* 2003; 103: 116-120.
  - 50) Zheng Y, Shen H, Sturgis EM, Wang LE, Eicher SA, Strom SS, Frazier ML, Spitz MR, Wei Q. Cyclin D1 polymorphism and risk for squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck: a case-control study. *Carcinogenesis* 2001; 22: 1195-1199.
  - 51) Lewis RC, Bostick RM, Xie D, Deng Z, Wargovich MJ, Fina MF, Roufail WM, Geisinger KR. Polymorphism of the cyclin D1 gene, CCND1, and risk for incident sporadic colorectal adenomas. *Cancer Res* 2003; 63: 8549-8553.
  - 52) Ni J, Wang M, Fu S, Zhou D, Zhang Z, Han S. CCND1 G870A polymorphism and cervical cancer risk: a case-control study and meta-analysis. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol* 2011; 137: 489-494.
  - 53) Weinhold N, Johnson DC, Chubb D, Chen B, Forsti A, Hosking FJ, Broderick P, Ma YP, Dobbins SE, Hose D, Walker BA, Davies FE, Kaiser MF, Li NL, Gregory WA, Jackson GH, Witzens-Harig M, Neben K, Hoffmann P, Nothen MM, Muhleisen TW, Eisele L, Ross FM, Jauch A, Goldschmidt H, Houlston RS, Morgan GJ, Hemminki K. The CCND1 c.870G>A polymorphism is a risk factor for t(11;14)(q13;q32) multiple myeloma. *Nat Genet* 2013; 45: 522-525.
  - 54) Kong S, Wei Q, Amos CI, Lynch PM, Levin B, Zong J, Frazier ML. Cyclin D1 polymorphism and increased risk of colorectal cancer at young age. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2001; 93: 1106-1108.
  - 55) Joseph Sambrook DWR. Molecular cloning. A laboratory manual. USA: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press 2001.
  - 56) Prevalence and penetrance of BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations in a population-based series of breast cancer cases. Anglian Breast Cancer Study Group. *Br J Cancer* 2000; 83: 1301-1308.
  - 57) Antoniou AC, Easton DF. Models of genetic susceptibility to breast cancer. *Oncogene* 2006; 25: 5898-5905.
  - 58) Meijers-Heijboer H, van den Ouweland A, Klijn J, Wasielewski M, de Snoo A, Oldenburg R, Holl-estelle A, Houben M, Crepin E, van Veghel-Plandsoen M, Elstrodt F, van Duijn C, Bartels C, Meijers C, Schutte M, McGuffog L, Thompson D, Easton D, Sodha N, Seal S, Barfoot R, Mangion J, Chang-Claude J, Eccles D, Eeles R, Evans DG, Houlston R, Murday V, Narod S, Peretz T, Peto J, Phelan C, Zhang HX, Szabo C, Devilee P, Goldgar D, Futreal PA, Nathanson KL, Weber B, Rahman N, Stratton MR. Low-penetrance susceptibility to breast cancer due to CHEK2(\*)1100delC in noncarriers of BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutations. *Nat Genet* 2002; 31: 55-59.
  - 59) Thompson D, Duedal S, Kirner J, McGuffog L, Last J, Reiman A, Byrd P, Taylor M, Easton DF. Cancer risks and mortality in heterozygous ATM mutation carriers. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2005; 97: 813-822.
  - 60) Renwick A, Thompson D, Seal S, Kelly P, Chagtai T, Ahmed M, North B, Jayatilake H, Barfoot R, Spanova K, McGuffog L, Evans DG, Eccles D, Easton DF, Stratton MR, Rahman N. ATM muta-

- tions that cause ataxia-telangiectasia are breast cancer susceptibility alleles. *Nat Genet* 2006; 38: 873-875.
- 61) Seal S, Thompson D, Renwick A, Elliott A, Kelly P, Barfoot R, Chagtai T, Jayatilake H, Ahmed M, Spanova K, North B, McGuffog L, Evans DG, Eccles D, Easton DF, Stratton MR, Rahman N. Truncating mutations in the Fanconi anemia J gene BRIP1 are low-penetrance breast cancer susceptibility alleles. *Nat Genet* 2006; 38: 1239-1241.
- 62) Cox A, Dunning AM, Garcia-Closas M, Balasubramanian S, Reed MW, Pooley KA, Scollen S, Baynes C, Ponder BA, Chanock S, Lissowska J, Brinton L, Peplonska B, Southey MC, Hopper JL, McCredie MR, Giles GG, Fletcher O, Johnson N, dos Santos Silva I, Gibson L, Bojesen SE, Nordestgaard BG, Axelsson CK, Torres D, Hamann U, Justenhoven C, Brauch H, Chang-Claude J, Kropp S, Risch A, Wang-Gohrke S, Schurmann P, Bogdanova N, Dork T, Fagerholm R, Aaltonen K, Blomqvist C, Nevanlinna H, Seal S, Renwick A, Stratton MR, Rahman N, Sangrajrang S, Hughes D, Odefrey F, Brennan P, Spurdle AB, Chenevix-Trench G, Beesley J, Mannermaa A, Hartikainen J, Kataja V, Kosma VM, Couch FJ, Olson JE, Goode EL, Broeks A, Schmidt MK, Hogervorst FB, Van't Veer LJ, Kang D, Yoo KY, Noh DY, Ahn SH, Wedren S, Hall P, Low YL, Liu J, Milne RL, Ribas G, Gonzalez-Neira A, Benitez J, Sigurdson AJ, Stredrick DL, Alexander BH, Struewing JP, Pharoah PD, Easton DF. A common coding variant in CASP8 is associated with breast cancer risk. *Nat Genet* 2007; 39: 352-358.
- 63) Erkkö H, Xia B, Nikkila J, Schleutker J, Syrjäkoski K, Mannermaa A, Kallioniemi A, Pylkas K, Karpinen SM, Rapakko K, Miron A, Sheng Q, Li G, Mattila H, Bell DW, Haber DA, Grip M, Reiman M, Jukkola-Vuorinen A, Mustonen A, Kere J, Aaltonen LA, Kosma VM, Kataja V, Soini Y, Drapkin RI, Livingston DM, Winqvist R. A recurrent mutation in PALB2 in Finnish cancer families. *Nature* 2007; 446: 316-319.
- 64) Rahman N, Seal S, Thompson D, Kelly P, Renwick A, Elliott A, Reid S, Spanova K, Barfoot R, Chagtai T, Jayatilake H, McGuffog L, Hanks S, Evans DG, Eccles D, Breast Cancer Susceptibility C, Easton DF, Stratton MR. PALB2, which encodes a BRCA2-interacting protein, is a breast cancer susceptibility gene. *Nat Genet* 2007; 39: 165-167.
- 65) Zhang H, Ahearn TU, Lecarpentier J, Barnes D, Beesley J, Qi G, Jiang X, O'Mara TA, Zhao N, Bolla MK, Dunning AM, Dennis J, Wang Q, Ful ZA, Aittomäki K, Andrulis IL, Anton-Culver H, Arndt V, Aronson KJ, Arun BK, Auer PL, Azzollini J, Barrowdale D, Becher H, Beckmann MW, Behrens S, Benitez J, Bermisheva M, Bialkowska K, Blanco A, Blomqvist C, Bogdanova NV, Bojesen SE, Bonanni B, Bondavalli D, Borg A, Brauch H, Brenner H, Briceno I, Broeks A, Brucker SY, Bruning T, Burwinkel B, Buys SS, Byers H, Caldes T, Caligo MA, Calvello M, Campa D, Castela JE, Chang-Claude J, Chanock SJ, Christiaens M, Christiansen H, Chung WK, Claes KBM, Clarke CL, Cornelissen S, Couch FJ, Cox A, Cross SS, Czene K, Daly MB, Devilee P, Diez O, Domchek SM, Dork T, Dwek M, Eccles DM, Ekici AB, Evans DG, Fasching PA, Figueroa J, Foretova L, Fostira F, Friedman E, Frost D, Gago-Dominguez M, Gapstur SM, Garber J, Garcia-Saenz JA, Gaudet MM, Gayther SA, Giles GG, Godwin AK, Goldberg MS, Goldgar DE, Gonzalez-Neira A, Greene MH, Gronwald J, Guenel P, Haberle L, Hahnen E, Haiman CA, Hake CR, Hall P, Hamann U, Harkness EF, Heemskerk-Gerritsen BAM, Hillemanns P, Hogervorst FBL, Holleczeck B, Hollestelle A, Hooning MJ, Hoover RN, Hopper JL, Howell A, Huebner H, Hulick PJ, Ilyanov EN, kConFab I, Investigators A, Isaacs C, Izatt L, Jager A, Jakimovska M, Jakubowska A, James P, Janavicius R, Janni W, John EM, Jones ME, Jung A, Kaaks R, Kapoor PM, Karlan BY, Keeman R, Khan S, Khusnutdinova E, Kitahara CM, Ko YD, Konstantopoulou I, Koppert LB, Koutros S, Kristensen VN, Laenkholm AV, Lambrechts D, Larsson SC, Laurent-Puig P, Lazaro C, Lazarova E, Lejbkovicz F, Leslie G, Lesueur F, Lindblom A, Lissowska J, Lo WY, Loud JT, Lubinski J, Lukomska A, MacInnis RJ, Mannermaa A, Manoochehri M, Manoukian S, Margolin S, Martinez ME, Matricardi L, McGuffog L, McLean C, Mebirouk N, Meindl A, Menon U, Miller A, Mingazheva E, Montagna M, Mulligan AM, Mulot C, Muranen TA, Nathanson KL, Neuhäuser SL, Nevanlinna H, Neven P, Newman WG, Nielsen FC, Nikitina-Zake L, Nodora J, Offit K, Olah E, Olopade OI, Olsson H, Orr N, Papi L, Papp J, Park-Simon TW, Parsons MT, Peissel B, Peixoto A, Peshkin B, Peterlongo P, Peto J, Phillips KA, Piedmonte M, Plaseska-Karanfilska D, Prajzandanc K, Prentice R, Prokofyeva D, Rack B, Radice P, Ramus SJ, Rantala J, Rashid MU, Rennert G, Rennert HS, Risch HA, Romero A, Rookus MA, Rubner M, Rudiger T, Saloustros E, Sampson S, Sandler DP, Sawyer EJ, Scheuner MT, Schmutzler RK, Schneeweiss A, Schoemaker MJ, Schottker B, Schurmann P, Senter L, Sharma P, Sherman ME, Shu XO, Singer CF, Smichkoska S, Soucy P, Southey MC, Spinelli JJ, Stone J, Stoppa-Lyonnet D, Study E, Collaborators GS, Swerdlow AJ, Szabo CI, Tamimi RM, Tapper WJ, Taylor JA, Teixeira MR, Terry M, Thomassen M, Thull DL, Tischkowitz M, Toland AE, Tollenaar R, Tomlinson I, Torres D, Troester MA, Truong T, Tung N, Untch M, Vachon CM, van den Ouweland AMW, van der Kolk LE, van Veen EM, vanRensburg EJ, Vega A, Wappenschmidt B, Weinberg CR, Weitzel JN, Wildiers H, Winqvist R, Wolk A, Yang XR, Yannoukakos D, Zheng W, Zorn KK, Milne RL, Kraft P, Simard J, Pharoah PDP, Michailidou K, Antoniou AC, Schmidt MK, Chenevix-Trench G, Easton DF, Chatterjee N, Garcia-Closas M. Genome-wide association study identifies 32 novel breast cancer susceptibility loci from overall and subtype-specific analyses. *Nat Genet* 2020; 52: 572-581.
- 66) Hunter DJ, Kraft P, Jacobs KB, Cox DG, Yeager M, Hankinson SE, Wacholder S, Wang Z, Welch R, Hutchinson A, Wang J, Yu K, Chatterjee N, Orr N, Willett WC, Colditz GA, Ziegler RG, Berg CD, Buys SS, McCarty CA, Feigelson HS, Calle EE, Thun

- MJ, Hayes RB, Tucker M, Gerhard DS, Fraumeni JF, Jr., Hoover RN, Thomas G, Chanock SJ. A genome-wide association study identifies alleles in FGFR2 associated with risk of sporadic postmenopausal breast cancer. *Nat Genet* 2007; 39: 870-874.
- 67) Qing T, Mohsen H, Marczyk M, Ye Y, O'Meara T, Zhao H, Townsend JP, Gerstein M, Hatzis C, Kluger Y, Puzstai L. Germline variant burden in cancer genes correlates with age at diagnosis and somatic mutation burden. *Nat Commun* 2020; 11: 2438.
- 68) Howard A, Pelc SR. Synthesis of nucleoprotein in bean root cells. *Nature* 1951; 167: 599-600.
- 69) Pardee AB. G1 events and regulation of cell proliferation. *Science* 1989; 246: 603-608.
- 70) Ma H, Zhou Z, Wei S, Wei Q. Association between p21 Ser31Arg polymorphism and cancer risk: a meta-analysis. *Chin J Cancer* 2011; 30: 254-263.
- 71) Weber BL, Nathanson KL. Low penetrance genes associated with increased risk for breast cancer. *Eur J Cancer* 2000; 36: 1193-1199.
- 72) Pott J, Bae YJ, Horn K, Teren A, Kuhnappel A, Kirsten H, Ceglarek U, Loeffler M, Thiery J, Kratzsch J, Scholz M. Genetic association study of eight steroid hormones and implications for sexual dimorphism of coronary artery disease. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2019; 104: 5008-5023.
- 73) Toufektchan E, Toledo F. The guardian of the genome revisited: p53 downregulates genes required for telomere maintenance, DNA repair, and centromere structure. *Cancers (Basel)* 2018; 10.
- 74) Mansilla SF, de la Vega MB, Calzetta NL, Siri SO, Gottifredi V. CDK-Independent and PCNA-Dependent Functions of p21 in DNA Replication. *Genes (Basel)* 2020; 11.
- 75) Shiohara M, Koike K, Komiya A, Koeffler HP. p21WAF1 mutations and human malignancies. *Leuk Lymphoma* 1997; 26: 35-41.
- 76) Mousses S, Ozcelik H, Lee PD, Malkin D, Bull SB, Andrulis IL. Two variants of the CIP1/WAF1 gene occur together and are associated with human cancer. *Hum Mol Genet* 1995; 4: 1089-1092.
- 77) Knappskog S, Chrisanthar R, Staalesen V, Borresen-Dale AL, Gram IT, Lillehaug JR, Lonning PE. Mutations and polymorphisms of the p21B transcript in breast cancer. *Int J Cancer* 2007; 121: 908-910.
- 78) Gao X, Chen YQ, Wu N, Grignon DJ, Sakr W, Porter AT, Honn KV. Somatic mutations of the WAF1/CIP1 gene in primary prostate cancer. *Oncogene* 1995; 11: 1395-1398.
- 79) Erber R, Klein W, Andl T, Enders C, Born AI, Conradt C, Bartek J, Bosch FX. Aberrant p21(CIP1/WAF1) protein accumulation in head-and-neck cancer. *Int J Cancer* 1997; 74: 383-389.
- 80) Sjalander A, Birgander R, Rannug A, Alexandrie AK, Tornling G, Beckman G. Association between the p21 codon 31 A1 (arg) allele and lung cancer. *Hum Hered* 1996; 46: 221-225.
- 81) Shih CM, Lin PT, Wang HC, Huang WC, Wang YC. Lack of evidence of association of p21WAF1/CIP1 polymorphism with lung cancer susceptibility and prognosis in Taiwan. *Jpn J Cancer Res* 2000; 91: 9-15.
- 82) Hachiya T, Kuriaki Y, Ueoka Y, Nishida J, Kato K, Wake N. WAF1 genotype and endometrial cancer susceptibility. *Gynecol Oncol* 1999; 72: 187-192.
- 83) Roh J, Kim M, Kim J, Park N, Song Y, Kang S, Lee H. Polymorphisms in codon 31 of p21 and cervical cancer susceptibility in Korean women. *Cancer Lett* 2001; 165: 59-62.
- 84) Rodrigues FC, Kawasaki-Oyama RS, Fo JF, Ukuyama EE, Antonio JR, Bozola AR, Romeiro JG, Rahal P, Tajara EH. Analysis of CDKN1A polymorphisms: markers of cancer susceptibility? *Cancer Genet Cytogenet* 2003; 142: 92-98.
- 85) Powell BL, van Staveren IL, Roosken P, Grieu F, Berns EM, Iacopetta B. Associations between common polymorphisms in TP53 and p21WAF1/Cip1 and phenotypic features of breast cancer. *Carcinogenesis* 2002; 23: 311-315.
- 86) Thomas M, Kalita A, Labrecque S, Pim D, Banks L, Matlashewski G. Two polymorphic variants of wild-type p53 differ biochemically and biologically. *Mol Cell Biol* 1999; 19: 1092-1100.
- 87) Dumont P, Leu JI, Della Pietra AC, 3rd, George DL, Murphy M. The codon 72 polymorphic variants of p53 have markedly different apoptotic potential. *Nat Genet* 2003; 33: 357-365.
- 88) Pim D, Banks L. p53 polymorphic variants at codon 72 exert different effects on cell cycle progression. *Int J Cancer* 2004; 108: 196-199.
- 89) Siddique M, Sabapathy K. Trp53-dependent DNA-repair is affected by the codon 72 polymorphism. *Oncogene* 2006; 25: 3489-3500.
- 90) Langerod A, Bukholm IR, Bregard A, Lonning PE, Andersen TI, Rognum TO, Meling GI, Lothe RA, Borresen-Dale AL. The TP53 codon 72 polymorphism may affect the function of TP53 mutations in breast carcinomas but not in colorectal carcinomas. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2002; 11: 1684-1688.
- 91) Bonafe M, Ceccarelli C, Farabegoli F, Santini D, Taffurelli M, Barbi C, Marzi E, Trapassi C, Storci G, Olivieri F, Franceschi C. Retention of the p53 codon 72 arginine allele is associated with a reduction of disease-free and overall survival in arginine/proline heterozygous breast cancer patients. *Clin Cancer Res* 2003; 9: 4860-4864.
- 92) Siddique MM, Balram C, Fiszer-Maliszewska L, Aggarwal A, Tan A, Tan P, Soo KC, Sabapathy K. Evidence for selective expression of the p53 codon 72 polymorphs: implications in cancer development. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2005; 14: 2245-2252.
- 93) Kyndi M, Alsner J, Hansen LL, Sorensen FB, Overgaard J. LOH rather than genotypes of TP53 codon 72 is associated with disease-free survival in primary breast cancer. *Acta Oncol* 2006; 45: 602-609.
- 94) Papadakis EN, Dokianakis DN, Spandidos DA. p53 codon 72 polymorphism as a risk factor in the development of breast cancer. *Mol Cell Biol Res Commun* 2000; 3: 389-392.
- 95) Buyru N, Tigli H, Dalay N. P53 codon 72 polymorphism in breast cancer. *Oncol Rep* 2003; 10: 711-714.
- 96) Mitra S, Sikdar N, Misra C, Gupta S, Paul RR, Roy B, Panda CK, Roychoudhury S. Risk assessment of p53 genotypes and haplotypes in tobacco-associated leukoplakia and oral cancer patients from eastern India. *Int J Cancer* 2005; 117: 786-793.
- 97) Pandith AA, Shah ZA, Khan NP, Rasool R, Afroze D, Yousuf A, Wani S, Siddiqi M. Role of TP53 Arg72Pro polymorphism in urinary bladder

- cancer predisposition and predictive impact of proline related genotype in advanced tumors in an ethnic Kashmiri population. *Cancer Genet Cytogenet* 2010; 203: 263-268.
- 98) Syeed N, Sameer AS, Abdullah S, Husain SA, Siddiqi MA. A Case-Control Study of TP53 R72P Polymorphism in the Breast Cancer Patients of Ethnic Kashmiri Population. *World J Oncol* 2010; 1: 236-241.
- 99) Damin AP, Frazzon AP, Damin DC, Roehe A, Hermes V, Zettler C, Alexandre CO. Evidence for an association of TP53 codon 72 polymorphism with breast cancer risk. *Cancer Detect Prev* 2006; 30: 523-529.
- 100) Toyama T, Zhang Z, Nishio M, Hamaguchi M, Kondo N, Iwase H, Iwata H, Takahashi S, Yamashita H, Fujii Y. Association of TP53 codon 72 polymorphism and the outcome of adjuvant therapy in breast cancer patients. *Breast Cancer Res* 2007; 9: R34.
- 101) Noma C, Miyoshi Y, Taguchi T, Tamaki Y, Noguchi S. Association of p53 genetic polymorphism (Arg72Pro) with estrogen receptor positive breast cancer risk in Japanese women. *Cancer Lett* 2004; 210: 197-203.
- 102) Huang XE, Hamajima N, Katsuda N, Matsuo K, Hirose K, Mizutani M, Iwata H, Miura S, Xiang J, Tokudome S, Tajima K. Association of p53 codon Arg72Pro and p73 G4C14-to-A4T14 at exon 2 genetic polymorphisms with the risk of Japanese breast cancer. *Breast Cancer* 2003; 10: 307-311.
- 103) Sergentanis TN, Economopoulos KP. Cyclin D1 G870A polymorphism and breast cancer risk: a meta-analysis comprising 9,911 cases and 11,171 controls. *Mol Biol Rep* 2011; 38: 4955-4963.
- 104) Sutherland RL, Musgrove EA. Cyclin D1 and mammary carcinoma: new insights from transgenic mouse models. *Breast Cancer Res* 2002; 4: 14-17.
- 105) Sutherland RL, Musgrove EA. Cyclins and breast cancer. *J Mammary Gland Biol Neoplasia* 2004; 9: 95-104.
- 106) Alle KM, Henshall SM, Field AS, Sutherland RL. Cyclin D1 protein is overexpressed in hyperplasia and intraductal carcinoma of the breast. *Clin Cancer Res* 1998; 4: 847-854.
- 107) Wang Z, Lee HJ, Chai Y, Hu H, Wang L, Zhang Y, Jiang C, Lu J. Persistent p21Cip1 induction mediates G(1) cell cycle arrest by methylseleninic acid in DU145 prostate cancer cells. *Curr Cancer Drug Targets* 2010; 10: 307-318.
- 108) Ni J, Wang M, Wang M, Fu S, Zhou D, Zhang Z, Han S. CCND1 G870A polymorphism and cervical cancer risk: a case-control study and meta-analysis. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol* 2011; 137: 489-494.
- 109) Thakur N, Hussain S, Kohaar I, Tabassum R, Nasare V, Tiwari P, Batra S, Bhambhani S, Das BC, Basir SF, Bharadwaj D, Bharadwaj M. Genetic variant of CCND1: association with HPV-mediated cervical cancer in Indian population. *Biomarkers* 2009; 14: 219-225.
- 110) Mandal RK, Mittal RD. Are cell cycle and apoptosis genes associated with prostate cancer risk in North Indian population? *Urol Oncol* 2012; 30: 555-561.
- 111) Gangwar R, Mittal RD. Association of selected variants in genes involved in cell cycle and apoptosis with bladder cancer risk in North Indian population. *DNA Cell Biol* 2010; 29: 349-356.
- 112) Hussain S, M Y, Thakur N, Salam I, Singh N, Mir MM, Bhat MA, Siddiqi MA, Das BC, Bharadwaj M. Association of cyclin D1 gene polymorphisms with risk of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma in Kashmir Valley: a high risk area. *Mol Carcinog* 2011; 50: 487-498.
- 113) Ebner F, Schremmer-Danninger E, Rehbock J. The role of TP53 and p21 gene polymorphisms in breast cancer biology in a well specified and characterized German cohort. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol* 2010; 136: 1369-1375.
- 114) Jiang P, Liu J, Li W, Zeng X, Tang J. Role of p53 and p21 polymorphisms in the risk of cervical cancer among Chinese women. *Acta Biochim Biophys Sin (Shanghai)* 2010; 42: 671-676.