Hepatitis C virus infection among hemodialysis patients in Asia: a meta-analysis

Y.-B. LIU, J.-Z. XIE, C.-J. ZHONG, K. LIU

Centre of Infectious Diseases, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China

Abstract. – OBJECTIVE: Current studies reported that patients with hemodialysis duration for three years or more were prone to infection than those with less than three years. This metaanalysis was to assess the prevalence rate and explore the risk factors of hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection among hemodialysis patients in Asia population.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: Embase and PubMed databases were retrieved in 16 September, 2013 for cross-sectional studies, retrospective cohort studies or prospective cohort study about the prevalence of HCV infection of hemodialysis patients in Asian region. The prevalence rate and 95% confidence interval (CI) were used for pooled analysis.

RESULTS: The combined effect of overall HCV prevalence rate in hemodialysis patients of Asian countries was 0.31 (95% CI: 0.24-0.38). Subgroup analysis showed that the prevalence rate among men was 0.28 (95% CI: 0.20-0.35), while the prevalence rate among women was 0.23 (95% CI: 0.17-0.30). Male were more vulnerable to be infected than female population (RR = 1.24, 95% CI: 1.08-1.42). Blood transfusion elevated the risk of HCV infection (RR = 0.82, 95% CI: 0.70-0.95). Short-term hemodialysis population had lower risk of infection than those who receiving the long-term hemodialysis (RR = 0.55, 95% CI: 0.34-0.91).

CONCLUSIONS: The overall HCV prevalence rate of hemodialysis patients in Asian region remained at a high level of 31%, which might be increased by long-term hemodialysis and blood transfusion than people without hemodialysis. More attention and effective preventive measures are necessary.

Key Words:

Asian hemodialysis patients, Hepatitis C virus, Infection, Meta-analysis.

Introduction

Hepatitis C virus (HCV) is a major human bloodborne pathogen transmitted mainly through intravenous infusions¹ and has infected more than 170 million persons alive today². Currently, HCV has become one of the primary causes of acute and chronic hepatitis in end-stage renal disease patients³. In Asia, HCV infection has become a serious public health problem because it is difficult to identify carriers of HCV and take effective security measures in the hemodialysis center, so patients undergoing dialysis are often exposed to HCV⁴.

Epidemiological studies on the potentially infectious risk factors have found that HCV transmission is mainly by the parenteral exposure to contaminated blood or blood products or by the illegal drug injection⁵. Some scholars reported that patients with hemodialysis duration of three or more years are prone to be infected than those shorter^{6,7}. In addition, hemodialysis patients with transfusion have a higher risk of HCV infection than those without⁸⁻¹⁰. However, conclusions on whether long-term hemodialysis and blood transfusion have significant influence are still not consistent.

We, therefore, conducted a meta-analysis of HCV infection among hemodialysis patients in Asia to explore the impacts of hemodialysis duration, blood transfusions and other factors on HCV infection in Asia population.

Materials and Methods

Search Strategy

A computer retrieval of PubMed and Embase databases with ("viral hepatitis C" OR "hepatitis C virus") AND "hemodialysis" as key words was performed for literature search. The last search was performed on 16 September, 2013. In addition, manual search was also conducted for relevant paper documents.

Eligibility Criteria for Study Screening

The inclusion criteria were: (1) the studies must be performed in Asian region; (2) study ob-

jects should be hemodialysis patients; (3) study design was designed as a cross-sectional study, retrospective or prospective studies; (4) the outcome was HCV infection; (5) the diagnostic criteria of HCV infection was anti-HCV antibody test; (6) the language was limited to English.

The exclusion criteria were: (1) the studies that are not research articles were excluded such as review, letters, comments; (2) the literature with incomplete data or duplication were excluded.

Two investigators independently screened all titles and abstracts from the databases which met our key words and reviewed full texts of the potential eligible studies in accordance with the eligibility criteria.

Data Extraction

Two investigators independently extracted the valuable data from the included studies including the name of the first author, year of publication, region of the study performed, age of the subjects, type of study, size of the sample, and number of the infected cases and so on. Inconsistencies were solved by discussion.

Statistical Analysis

This study investigated the HCV infection of hemodialysis patients in Asian region, so the prevalence rate of 95% CI (confidence interval) was used as the evaluation index. Heterogeneity among the included studies were assessed by Cochrane Q statistic and I² test¹¹. p < 0.05 of Q statistic and $I^2 > 50\%$ indicated an existence of significant heterogeneity among studies; then, a random-effects model was used for combination of effect size; $p \ge 0.05$ and $I^2 \le 50\%$ indicated a homogeneity among studies, then a fixed-effects model was used for combination of effect size, otherwise, a randomized-effects model was used. Cumulative meta-analysis was conducted to observe the changes of overall prevalence rate over time. In addition, the subgroup analysis was conducted among type of study, area and gender. Impacts of gender, blood transfusion and hemodialysis duration on the risk of HCV prevalence were assessed. The risk of bias were assessed by Egger test¹². All statistical analysis was performed by Stata 11.0 software.

Results

Literature Search

Literature screening process was shown in Figure 1. A total of 946 documents were obtained by search of Embase database, and 906 documents were obtained from PubMed database. After removing the duplicate literatures, 1509 articles were remained. Then 1405 were ex-



Figure 1. Literature search and study selection.

cluded by browsing the title of the literature. Among the 104 remaining articles, 78 were excluded after reading the abstracts or the full text, including 5 reviews, 67 non-Asian region studies and 6 conference abstracts. No eligible literature for meta-analysis was retrieved by artificial retrieval method. Finally, a total of 26 literatures^{4,6-}^{10,13-32} were included in this meta-analysis.

Characteristics of the Included Studies

Among the 26 eligible literatures, Almawi et al¹⁴ and Taziki and Espahbodi³⁰ both reported two separate studies, so there were a total of 28 studies, including 18 cross-sectional studies, 7 prospective studies and 3 retrospective studies. Total 11 of them were from a single hemodialysis unit and 17 were from multiple (two or more)

hemodialysis units. This meta-analysis involved 1856 hepatitis C virus infections and 5239 controls. Basic information extracted from the 26 included documents was summarized in Table I. The articles were published during 1994-2013, and the regions of the studies carried out were distributed in China, Japan, Saudi Arabia, Iran and other nine Asian countries.

The Prevalence of HCV Infection Among Hemodialysis Patients in Asia

In the meta-analysis of prevalence rate of HCV (Figure 2), a significant heterogeneity was observed among studies ($I^2 = 98.3\%$, p < 0.01), so a random-effects model was used and a combined prevalence rate of 0.31 (95% CI, 0.24-0.38; p < 0.01) was generated. From the

Table I. Characteristics of 26 studies on hepatitis C virus and hemodialysis patients.

id	Author	Year of publication	Year of study	Country	Age (ys) mean	Age (ys) range	Type of study	Case	N	Patients source
1	Kumar	1994	1993-1996	Saudi Arabia	44.1	16-85	Cross-sectional	24	47	Single HD unit
2	Said	1995	1994	Jordan	_	-	Cross-sectional	67	273	3 HD units
3	El-Reshaid	1995	1994	Kuwait	50	2-86	Retrospectively	81	181	2 dialysis units
4	Al-Muhanna	1995	-	Saudi Arabia	_	_	Retrospectively	70	162	6 HD units
5	Shaheen	1995	_	Saudi Arabia	42.9	12-75	Cross-sectional	295	408	4 dialysis centers
6	Bernieh	1995	1991	Saudi Arabia	47.2	-	Cross-sectional	56	94	Single HD unit
7	Shohaib	1995	1992	Saudi Arabia	-	-	Cross-sectional	73	139	3 Different renal units
8	Shahat	1995	1991-1993	United Arab Emirates	41.8	-	Prospective	64	262	Single HD unit
9	Wang	1997	1994	China	51	_	Cross-sectional	32	79	4 dialysis centers
10	Kobayashi	1998	1990-1995	Japan	54.7	28-87	Prospective	169	634	7 HD units
11	Morikawa	1999	-	Japan	55	27-88	Cross-sectional	34	125	Single HD unit
12	Bdour	2002	_	Jordan	-	9-80	Cross-sectional	98	283	6 HD units
13	Kashem	2003	2002	Saudi Arabia	45	18-75	Cross-sectional	42	90	Artificial kidney
										units of two hospitals
14	Al-Jiffri	2003	_	Saudi Arabia	_	_	Retrospectively	180	248	Single HD unit
15	Almawi	2003	_	Bahraini	_	_	Cross-sectional	6	81	Single HD unit
10		2001		Saudi Arabia	_	_	Cross-sectional	5	34	Single HD unit
16	El-Amin	2007	2005	Sudan	43.6	11-76	Cross-sectional	56	236	2 HD units
17	Taziki	2008	2006	Iran	47.3	_	Prospective	61	497	10 HD units
			2001	Iran	47.3	_	Prospective	64	348	
18	Khattab	2008	2003-2005	Iraqi	36	14-67	Prospective	12	169	Single HD unit
19	Assarehzadega	n 2009	2005-2006	Iran	37.3	8-60	Cross-sectional	17	214	Single HD unit
20	Al-Jamal	2009	2007-2008	Jordan	52.9	14-84	Cross-sectional	34	120	3 dialysis units
21	Ohsawa	2010	2003-2004	Japan	_	22-95	Prospective	134	1214	25 HD units
22	El-Ottol	2010	2007	Palestine	46.7	6-80	Cross-sectional	54	246	4 HD centers
23	Selm	2010	2007	Yemen	_	_	Cross-sectional	32	51	Single Center
24	Joukar	2011	2009	Iran	54.8	11-66	Cross-sectional	61	514	11 Different HD units
25	Zahedi	2012	-	Iran	51	-	Cross-sectional	16	228	7 Hemodialysis centers
26	Mittal	2013	_	India	50	17-83	Prospective	19	118	Single HD unit

ys: years; HD: hemodialysis.

1 Kumar 1994 0.51 (0.37, 0.65) 3.11 2 Said 1995 0.25 (0.19, 0.30) 3.6 3 El-Reshaid 1995 0.45 (0.38, 0.52) 3.5 4 Al-Muhanna 1995 0.43 (0.36, 0.51) 3.5 5 Shaheen 1995 0.60 (0.50, 0.69) 3.44 7 Shohaib 1995 0.60 (0.50, 0.69) 3.44 7 Shohaib 1995 0.60 (0.50, 0.69) 3.44 10 Kobayashi 1998 0.41 (0.30, 0.51) 3.44 10 Kobayashi 1998 0.27 (0.19, 0.35) 3.55 12 Bdour 2002 0.35 (0.29, 0.40) 3.66 13 Kashem 2003 0.47 (0.36, 0.57) 3.44 14 Al-Jiffri 2003 0.47 (0.36, 0.57) 3.44 14 Al-Sifti 2 2008 0.47 (0.36, 0.57) 3.44 15 Almawi 200	% eight				
2 Said 1995 0.25 (0.19, 0.30) 3.6 3 El-Reshaid 1995 0.45 (0.38, 0.52) 3.5 4 Al-Muhanna 1995 0.43 (0.36, 0.51) 3.5 5 Shaheen 1995 0.72 (0.68, 0.77) 3.6 6 Bernieh 1995 0.60 (0.50, 0.69) 3.4 7 Shohaib 1995 0.24 (0.19, 0.30) 3.6 9 Wang 1997 0.41 (0.30, 0.51) 3.44 10 Kobayashi 1998 0.27 (0.23, 0.30) 3.6 11 Morikawa 1999 0.27 (0.19, 0.35) 3.55 12 Bdour 2002 0.35 (0.29, 0.40) 3.6 13 Kashem 2003	19				
3 EI-Reshaid 1995 4 Al-Muhanna 1995 5 Shaheen 1995 6 Bernieh 1995 7 Shohaib 1995 8 Shahat 1995 9 Wang 1997 10 Kobayashi 1998 11 Morikawa 1999 12 Bdour 2002 13 Kashem 2003 14 Al-Jiffri 2003 15 Almawi 2004 16 Almawi 2004 17 EI-Amin 2007 18 Taziki1 2008 18 Taziki1 2008 14 Assarehzadegan 2009 12 Al-Jamal 2009 13 Assarehzadegan 2009 14 Al-Jamal 2009 16 Almawi 2008 17 EI-Ottol 2010 18 Colongo 0.15 3.57 19 Taziki2 2008	64				
4 Al-Muhanna 1995 0.43 (0.36, 0.51) 3.55 5 Shaheen 1995 0.60 (0.50, 0.69) 3.44 7 Shohaib 1995 0.60 (0.50, 0.69) 3.44 7 Shohaib 1995 0.27 (0.68, 0.77) 3.66 9 Wang 1995 0.24 (0.19, 0.30) 3.64 10 Kobayashi 1998 0.27 (0.23, 0.30) 3.66 11 Morikawa 1999 0.41 (0.30, 0.51) 3.51 12 Bdour 2002 0.35 (0.29, 0.40) 3.66 13 Kashem 2003 0.47 (0.36, 0.57) 3.44 14 Al-Jiffri 2003 0.47 (0.36, 0.57) 3.44 14 Al-Jiffri 2003 0.47 (0.36, 0.57) 3.44 14 Al-Jiffri 2004 0.15 (0.03, 0.27) 3.3 15 Almawi 2004 0.12 (0.09, 0.15) 3.70 18 Taziki1 2008 0.18 (0.14, 0.22)	57				
5 Shaheen 1995 0.72 (0.68, 0.77) 3.6 Bernieh 1995 Shahat 1995 Shahat 1995 Shahat 1995 Shahat 1995 0.60 (0.50, 0.69) 3.4 0.53 (0.44, 0.61) 3.5 0.24 (0.19, 0.30) 0.24 (0.19, 0.30) 0.27 (0.23, 0.30) 3.6 0.27 (0.29, 0.40) 3.5 2.8 0.27 (0.29, 0.40) 3.6 0.27 (0.29, 0.40) 3.6 0.35 (0.29, 0.40) 3.6 0.35 (0.29, 0.40) 3.6 0.35 (0.29, 0.40) 0.15 (0.03, 0.27) 0.33 (0.67, 0.78) 0.15 (0.03, 0.27) 0.12 (0.09, 0.15) 0.12 (0.09, 0.15) 0.12 (0.09, 0.15) 0.12 (0.09, 0.15) 0.12 (0.09, 0.15)	55				
6 Bernieh 1995 → 0.60 (0.50, 0.69) 3.44 7 Shohaib 1995 → 0.53 (0.44, 0.61) 3.56 8 Shahat 1995 0.24 (0.19, 0.30) 3.66 9 Wang 1997 0.41 (0.30, 0.51) 3.44 10 Kobayashi 1998 0.27 (0.23, 0.30) 3.66 11 Morikawa 1999 → 0.27 (0.23, 0.30) 3.66 11 Morikawa 1999 → 0.27 (0.29, 0.40) 3.66 13 Kashem 2002 → 0.35 (0.29, 0.40) 3.66 13 Kashem 2003 → 0.47 (0.36, 0.57) 3.44 14 Al-Jiffri 2003 → 0.73 (0.67, 0.78) 3.66 15 Almawi 2004 → 0.07 (0.02, 0.13) 3.67 16 Almawi 2004 → 0.15 (0.03, 0.27) 3.3 17 El-Amin 2007 → 0.24 (0.18, 0.29) 3.67 18 Taziki2 2008 → 0.18 (0.14, 0.22) 3.67	67				
7 Shohaib 1995 0.53 (0.44, 0.61) 3.56 8 Shahat 1995 0.24 (0.19, 0.30) 3.6 9 Wang 1997 0.41 (0.30, 0.51) 3.44 10 Kobayashi 1998 0.27 (0.23, 0.30) 3.6 11 Morikawa 1999 0.35 (0.29, 0.40) 3.6 12 Bdour 2002 0.35 (0.29, 0.40) 3.6 13 Kashem 2003 0.47 (0.36, 0.57) 3.44 14 Al-Jiffri 2003 0.47 (0.36, 0.57) 3.44 14 Al-Jiffri 2003 0.73 (0.67, 0.78) 3.63 15 Almawi 2004 0.15 (0.03, 0.27) 3.3 16 Almawi 2007 0.24 (0.18, 0.29) 3.63 18 Taziki1 2008 0.18 (0.14, 0.22) 3.63 20 Khatab 2008 0.08 (0.04, 0.12) 3.63 21 Assarehzadegan 2009 0.28 (0.20, 0.36) <t< td=""><td>45</td></t<>	45				
8 Shahat 1995 - 0.24 (0.19, 0.30) 3.6 9 Wang 1997 - 0.41 (0.30, 0.51) 3.4 10 Kobayashi 1998 0.27 (0.23, 0.30) 3.6 11 Morikawa 1999 - 0.27 (0.23, 0.30) 3.6 12 Bdour 2002 - 0.35 (0.29, 0.40) 3.6 13 Kashem 2003 - 0.47 (0.36, 0.57) 3.4 14 Al-Jiffri 2003 - 0.47 (0.36, 0.57) 3.4 14 Al-Jiffri 2003 - 0.73 (0.67, 0.78) 3.6 15 Almawi 2004 - 0.15 (0.03, 0.27) 3.3 16 Almawi 2004 - 0.15 (0.03, 0.27) 3.3 17 El-Amin 2007 - 0.12 (0.09, 0.15) 3.7 19 Taziki2 2008 - 0.18 (0.14, 0.22) 3.6 20 Khattab 2008 - 0.08 (0.04, 0.12) 3.6 21 Assarehzadegan 2009 - 0.28 (0.20, 0.	52				
9 Wang 1997 0.41 (0.30, 0.51) 3.44 10 Kobayashi 1998 0.27 (0.23, 0.30) 3.60 11 Morikawa 1999 0.27 (0.19, 0.35) 3.50 12 Bdour 2002 0.35 (0.29, 0.40) 3.60 13 Kashem 2003 0.47 (0.36, 0.57) 3.44 14 Al-Jiffri 2003 0.47 (0.36, 0.57) 3.44 14 Al-Jiffri 2003 0.47 (0.36, 0.57) 3.44 16 Almawi 2004 0.07 (0.02, 0.13) 3.60 16 Almawi 2007 0.15 (0.03, 0.27) 3.36 17 El-Amin 2007 0.15 (0.03, 0.27) 3.36 18 Taziki1 2008 0.12 (0.09, 0.15) 3.77 19 Taziki2 2008 0.07 (0.03, 0.11) 3.66 20 Khattab 2009 0.28 (0.20, 0.36) 3.55 23 Ohsawa 2010 0.11 (0.09, 0.13) 3.77 24 El-Ottol 2010 0.03 (0.49, 0.76) 3.27 25 Selm	64				
10 Kobayashi 1998 0.27 (0.23, 0.30) 3.60 11 Morikawa 1999 0.27 (0.19, 0.35) 3.50 12 Bdour 2002 0.35 (0.29, 0.40) 3.60 13 Kashem 2003 - 0.47 (0.36, 0.57) 3.40 14 Al-Jiffri 2003 - 0.47 (0.36, 0.57) 3.40 15 Almawi 2004 - 0.07 (0.02, 0.13) 3.60 16 Almawi 2007 - 0.15 (0.03, 0.27) 3.30 16 Almawi 2007 - 0.15 (0.03, 0.27) 3.30 17 El-Amin 2007 - 0.24 (0.18, 0.29) 3.60 18 Taziki1 2008 - 0.12 (0.09, 0.15) 3.70 19 Taziki2 2008 - 0.18 (0.14, 0.22) 3.60 20 Khattab 2008 - 0.28 (0.20, 0.36) 3.51 21 Assarehzadegan 2009 - 0.28 (0.20, 0.36) 3.51 23 Ohsawa 2010 - 0.63 (0.49, 0.76)	40				
11 Morikawa 1999 12 Bdour 2002 13 Kashem 2003 14 Al-Jiffri 2003 15 Almawi 2004 16 Almawi 2007 17 El-Amin 2007 18 Taziki1 2008 20 Khattab 2008 20 Khattab 2008 20 Khattab 2008 20 Khattab 2009 22 Al-Jamal 2009 23 Ohsawa 2010 24 El-Ottol 2010 25 Selm 2010 26 Leukrar 2011	69				
12 Bdour 2002 - 0.35 (0.29, 0.40) 3.63 13 Kashem 2003 - 0.47 (0.36, 0.57) 3.44 14 Al-Jiffri 2003 - 0.73 (0.67, 0.78) 3.63 15 Almawi 2004 - 0.07 (0.02, 0.13) 3.63 16 Almawi 2004 - 0.15 (0.03, 0.27) 3.34 16 Almawi 2007 - 0.15 (0.03, 0.27) 3.34 17 El-Amin 2007 - 0.24 (0.18, 0.29) 3.63 18 Taziki1 2008 - 0.12 (0.09, 0.15) 3.74 19 Taziki2 2008 - 0.18 (0.14, 0.22) 3.63 20 Khattab 2008 - 0.08 (0.04, 0.12) 3.63 21 Assarehzadegan 2009 - 0.28 (0.20, 0.36) 3.53 23 Ohsawa 2010 - 0.22 (0.17, 0.27) 3.64 24 El-Ottol 2010 - 0.63 (0.49, 0.76) 3.27 26 Joukez 2011 -<	55				
13 Kashem 2003 → 0.47 (0.36, 0.57) 3.44 14 Al-Jiffri 2003 → 0.73 (0.67, 0.78) 3.66 15 Almawi 2004 → 0.07 (0.02, 0.13) 3.66 16 Almawi 2004 → 0.15 (0.03, 0.27) 3.34 16 Almawi 2004 → 0.15 (0.03, 0.27) 3.34 17 El-Amin 2007 → 0.24 (0.18, 0.29) 3.66 18 Taziki1 2008 → 0.12 (0.09, 0.15) 3.70 19 Taziki2 2008 → 0.18 (0.14, 0.22) 3.66 20 Khattab 2008 → 0.18 (0.14, 0.22) 3.66 20 Khattab 2008 → 0.07 (0.03, 0.11) 3.66 21 Assarehzadegan 2009 → 0.08 (0.04, 0.12) 3.66 22 Al-Jamal 2009 → 0.28 (0.20, 0.36) 3.57 23 Ohsawa 2010 → 0.22 (0.17, 0.27) 3.66 25 Selm 2010 →	63				
14 Al-Jiffri 2003 → 0.73 (0.67, 0.78) 3.63 15 Almawi 2004 → 0.07 (0.02, 0.13) 3.63 16 Almawi 2004 → 0.15 (0.03, 0.27) 3.3 17 El-Amin 2007 → 0.24 (0.18, 0.29) 3.63 18 Taziki1 2008 → 0.12 (0.09, 0.15) 3.70 19 Taziki2 2008 → 0.18 (0.14, 0.22) 3.63 20 Khattab 2008 → 0.07 (0.03, 0.11) 3.66 20 Khattab 2008 → 0.18 (0.14, 0.22) 3.67 20 Khattab 2008 → 0.07 (0.03, 0.11) 3.66 21 Assarehzadegan 2009 → 0.08 (0.04, 0.12) 3.67 22 Al-Jamal 2009 → 0.28 (0.20, 0.36) 3.57 23 Ohsawa 2010 → 0.22 (0.17, 0.27) 3.64 24 El-Ottol 2010 → 0.63 (0.49, 0.76) 3.27 26 Joukear 2011	43				
15 Almawi 2004 → 0.07 (0.02, 0.13) 3.6 16 Almawi 2004 → 0.15 (0.03, 0.27) 3.3 17 El-Amin 2007 → 0.24 (0.18, 0.29) 3.6 18 Taziki1 2008 → 0.12 (0.09, 0.15) 3.7 19 Taziki2 2008 → 0.18 (0.14, 0.22) 3.6 20 Khattab 2008 → 0.07 (0.03, 0.11) 3.6 20 Khattab 2008 → 0.08 (0.04, 0.12) 3.6 21 Assarehzadegan 2009 → 0.28 (0.20, 0.36) 3.5 23 Ohsawa 2010 → 0.22 (0.17, 0.27) 3.6 24 El-Ottol 2010 → 0.63 (0.49, 0.76) 3.2 26 Jaukrar 2010 → 0.12 (0.09, 0.15) 3.7	63				
16 Almawi 2004 → 0.15 (0.03, 0.27) 3.3 17 El-Amin 2007 → 0.24 (0.18, 0.29) 3.6 18 Taziki1 2008 → 0.12 (0.09, 0.15) 3.7 19 Taziki2 2008 → 0.18 (0.14, 0.22) 3.6 20 Khattab 2008 → 0.07 (0.03, 0.11) 3.6 21 Assarehzadegan 2009 → 0.08 (0.04, 0.12) 3.6 22 Al-Jamal 2009 → 0.28 (0.20, 0.36) 3.5 23 Ohsawa 2010 → 0.22 (0.17, 0.27) 3.6 25 Selm 2010 → 0.63 (0.49, 0.76) 3.2 26 Jaukar 2011 → 0.12 (0.09, 0.15) 3.7	63				
17 El-Amin 2007 → 0.24 (0.18, 0.29) 3.67 18 Taziki1 2008 0.12 (0.09, 0.15) 3.77 19 Taziki2 2008 → 0.18 (0.14, 0.22) 3.67 20 Khattab 2008 → 0.07 (0.03, 0.11) 3.67 21 Assarehzadegan 2009 → 0.08 (0.04, 0.12) 3.67 22 Al-Jamal 2009 → 0.28 (0.20, 0.36) 3.57 23 Ohsawa 2010 → 0.28 (0.20, 0.36) 3.57 24 El-Ottol 2010 → 0.22 (0.17, 0.27) 3.66 25 Selm 2010 → 0.63 (0.49, 0.76) 3.27 26 Jouker 2011 → 0.63 (0.49, 0.76) 3.27	34				
18 Taziki1 2008 ● 0.12 (0.09, 0.15) 3.74 19 Taziki2 2008 ● 0.18 (0.14, 0.22) 3.64 20 Khattab 2008 ● 0.07 (0.03, 0.11) 3.64 21 Assarehzadegan 2009 ● 0.08 (0.04, 0.12) 3.64 22 Al-Jamal 2009 ● 0.28 (0.20, 0.36) 3.55 23 Ohsawa 2010 ● 0.11 (0.09, 0.13) 3.77 24 El-Ottol 2010 ● 0.63 (0.49, 0.76) 3.29 25 Selm 2010 ● 0.63 (0.49, 0.76) 3.27 26 Jaukar 2011 ● 0.12 (0.00, 0.15) 3.77	63				
19 Taziki2 2008 ◆ 0.18 (0.14, 0.22) 3.6 20 Khattab 2008 ◆ 0.07 (0.03, 0.11) 3.6 21 Assarehzadegan 2009 ◆ 0.08 (0.04, 0.12) 3.6 22 Al-Jamal 2009 ◆ 0.28 (0.20, 0.36) 3.5 23 Ohsawa 2010 ◆ 0.11 (0.09, 0.13) 3.7 24 El-Ottol 2010 ◆ 0.63 (0.49, 0.76) 3.29 25 Selm 2010 ◆ 0.63 (0.49, 0.76) 3.29	70				
20 Khattab 2008 ● 0.07 (0.03, 0.11) 3.64 21 Assarehzadegan 2009 ● 0.08 (0.04, 0.12) 3.64 22 Al-Jamal 2009 ● 0.28 (0.20, 0.36) 3.55 23 Ohsawa 2010 ● 0.11 (0.09, 0.13) 3.77 24 El-Ottol 2010 ● 0.22 (0.17, 0.27) 3.64 25 Selm 2010 ● 0.63 (0.49, 0.76) 3.29 26 Jouker 2011 ● 0.12 (0.09, 0.15) 3.77	67				
21 Assarehzadegan 2009 ◆ 0.08 (0.04, 0.12) 3.6 22 Al-Jamal 2009 ◆ 0.28 (0.20, 0.36) 3.5 23 Ohsawa 2010 ● 0.11 (0.09, 0.13) 3.7 24 El-Ottol 2010 ● 0.22 (0.17, 0.27) 3.6 25 Selm 2010 ● 0.63 (0.49, 0.76) 3.2 26 Jouker 2011 ● 0.12 (0.09, 0.15) 3.7	68				
22 Al-Jamal 2009 → 0.28 (0.20, 0.36) 3.50 23 Ohsawa 2010 ● 0.11 (0.09, 0.13) 3.70 24 El-Ottol 2010 ● 0.22 (0.17, 0.27) 3.64 25 Selm 2010 ● 0.63 (0.49, 0.76) 3.22 26 Jouker 2011 ● 0.12 (0.09, 0.15) 3.70	68				
23 Ohsawa 2010 ● 0.11 (0.09, 0.13) 3.7 24 El-Ottol 2010 ● 0.22 (0.17, 0.27) 3.6 25 Selm 2010 ● 0.63 (0.49, 0.76) 3.2 26 Jouker 2011 ● 0.12 (0.00, 0.15) 3.7	53				
24 El-Ottol 2010 • 0.22 (0.17, 0.27) 3.64 25 Selm 2010 • 0.63 (0.49, 0.76) 3.29 26 Jouker 2011 • 0.12 (0.09, 0.15) 3.71	71				
25 Selm 2010	64				
26 Joultar 2011	25				
20 JOURAI 2011	70				
27 Zahedi 2012 🗢 0.07 (0.04, 0.10) 3.69	69				
28 Mittal 2013 - 0.16 (0.09, 0.23) 3.59	59				
Overall (I-squared = 98.3%, p = 0.000)	0.00				
NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis					

Figure 2. Pooled prevalence rate with 95% confidence interval of hepatitis C virus infection among hemodialysis patients.

cumulative meta-analysis results (Figure 3), since 1997, the overall prevalence rate shown a downward trend until 2010 and maintained in about 32%.

Subgroup Analysis of Type, Region, Subject Gender

The prevalence rate of different types of studies, regions of the studies performed and gender of the subjects were analyzed by subgroup analysis (Table II). The combined prevalence rate of cross-sectional studies was 0.33 (95% CI, 0.23-0.43) with little difference to the overall combined prevalence rate (0.31, 95% CI, 0.24-0.38); and the prevalence rate of prospective studies and retrospective studies was 0.16 (95% CI, 0.11-0.22) and 0.54 (95% CI, 0.33-0.74), respectively. The pooled prevalence rate of Iran, Japan, Jordan and Saudi Arabia was 0.11 (95% CI, 0.08-0.15), 0.21 (95% CI, 0.09-0.34), 0.29 (95% CI, 0.23-0.36) and 0.52 (95% CI, 0.40-0.64). A total of 13 studies reported separated prevalence rate of male and female, and the pooled prevalence rate of male and female was 0.28 (95% CI, 0.20-0.35) and 0.23 (95% CI, 0.17-0.30), respectively. Subgroup analysis showed that the prevalence rate of single hemodialysis unit (0.32; 95% CI, 0.17, 0.46) is similar with multi-center group (0.30; 95% CI, 0.22, 0.38).

year	author	ES (95% CI)	ES (95% CI)
year 1994 1995 1995 1995 1995 1995 1995 1995 1997 1998 1999 2002 2003 2003 2004 2004 2004 2004 2007 2008 2008	author Kumar Said El-Reshaid Al-Muhanna Shaheen Bernieh Shohaib Shahat Wang Kobayashi Morikawa Bdour Kashem Al-Jiffri Almawi Almawi El-Amin Taziki1 Taziki2	ES (95% CI)	ES (95% CI) 0.51 (0.37, 0.65) 0.37 (0.11, 0.63) 0.39 (0.23, 0.56) 0.40 (0.28, 0.53) 0.47 (0.26, 0.68) 0.49 (0.31, 0.67) 0.50 (0.34, 0.65) 0.46 (0.31, 0.61) 0.46 (0.32, 0.60) 0.44 (0.31, 0.56) 0.42 (0.31, 0.54) 0.42 (0.31, 0.52) 0.42 (0.32, 0.52) 0.42 (0.32, 0.52) 0.44 (0.34, 0.55) 0.42 (0.31, 0.53) 0.40 (0.30, 0.51) 0.39 (0.29, 0.49) 0.38 (0.28, 0.48) 0.37 (0.27, 0.46)
2008 2009 2009 2010 2010 2010 2011 2012 2013	Taziki2 Khattab Assarehzadegan Al-Jamal Ohsawa El-Ottol Selm Joukar Zahedi Mittal	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	0.37 (0.27, 0.46) 0.35 (0.26, 0.44) 0.34 (0.25, 0.43) 0.33 (0.25, 0.42) 0.32 (0.24, 0.41) 0.32 (0.24, 0.40) 0.33 (0.25, 0.41) 0.32 (0.25, 0.39) 0.31 (0.24, 0.38) 0.31 (0.24, 0.38)

Figure 3. Cumulative meta-analysis of hepatitis C virus infection among hemodialysis patients.

Risk Factors for HCV Infection Among Hemodialysis Patients in Asia

There were thirteen studies referred to the numbers of cases and controls of male and female. The result indicated that infection risk of male hemodialysis patients was significantly higher than women (RR = 1.24; 95% CI, 1.08-1.42; p = 0.003). Six studies reported the HCV infection of hemodialysis patients with or without blood transfusion. Patients without blood transfusion shown a lower prevalence rate than those with it, but the difference was not significant (RR = 0.82; 95% CI, 0.70-0.95; p = 0.008, data not shown). In addition, short-term hemodialysis population had a significantly lower risk of HCV infection than those who receiving long-term hemodialysis (RR = 0.55; 95% CI, 0.34-0.91; p = 0.020).

Risk of Bias Assessment

Egger test result was p = 0.231, indicating that there was no significant publication bias among studies.

			Heterogeneity test	
Group	No. of studies	Prevalence rate (95% CI)	ρ	I² (%)
All studies	28	0.31 (0.24, 0.38)	< 0.01	98.3
Type of study				
Cross-sectional	18	0.33 (0.23-0.43)	< 0.01	98.2
Prospective	7	0.16 (0.11-0.22)	< 0.01	93.8
Retrospective	3	0.54 (0.33-0.74)	< 0.01	96.3
Area				
Iran	5	0.11 (0.08-0.15)	< 0.01	81.8
Japan	3	0.21 (0.09-0.34)	< 0.01	97.3
Jordan	3	0.29 (0.23-0.36)	0.031	71.1
Saudi Arabia	8	0.52 (0.40-0.64)	< 0.01	94.8
Gender				
Male	13	0.28 (0.20- 0.35)	< 0.01	95.2
Female	13	0.23 (0.17-0.30)	< 0.01	92.8
Patients source				
single HD unit	11	0.32 (0.17-0.46)	< 0.01	98.3
Multi-center	17	0.30 (0.22-0.38)	< 0.01	98.4

Table II. Subgroup analysis of study type, area and gender.

Discussion

Annually, HCV causes substantial morbidity and mortality worldwide and it is transmitted continuously unabated in many countries³³. Apart from the illicit injection drug use, iatrogenic exposures (such as hemodialysis) has become the predominant risk factor for HCV transmission, especially in developing countries³⁴. Explore of the risk factors of HCV infection among hemodialysis patients were critical for further targeted preventions. The present meta-analysis included 28 studies of 26 documents (involving 1856 HCV infections and 5239 controls) about HCV infection among hemodialysis patients in Asia. The overall HCV prevalence rate among hemodialysis patients in Asia was 31%. Males were more susceptible than females to HCV. Both blood transfusion and long term duration of hemodialysis were risk factors of HCV infection.

WHO have reported that the hepatitis C virus is usually spread when blood from an infected person to the body of a susceptible person³⁵. We, therefore, assessed the impacts of blood transfusion and duration of hemodialysis on prevalence rate in the present meta-analysis. Our found suggested that the duration of hemodialysis and whether receiving blood transfusion may affect the prevalence rate of HCV^{36,37}. Duration of hemodialysis treatment is clearly correlated with HCV positivity³⁸, which will increase the chance of exposure to the virus and the inadequate sterilized or contaminated medical equipment³⁹. Studies also found that the patients with blood transfusion were more prone to infected by HCV than those without blood transfusion^{40,41}. In conclusion, long-term of hemodialysis and blood transfusion may increase the risk of prevalence of HCV.

Gender may affect the sensitivity of people to HCV⁴², since the prevalence rate of males hemodialysis patients were much higher than females to HCV. There is also a region-specific distribution of HCV prevalence⁴³. The global prevalence of HCV infection is estimated to be 2.35%⁴⁴, amounting to approximate 130 million HCV-positive persons, among which, northern Africa and Asia has more HCV infection than Northern Europe (< 1.0%)⁴⁵. Dussol et al⁴⁶ found that the HCV prevalence rate in hemodialysis patients is 5 to 25% higher than the general population because that they have significantly higher possibility of exposure to the HCV virus. Besides, the prevalence of HCV infection on longterm dialysis patients in Europe and USA (5%-10% or less) is lower than that in many countries of the developing world, including north Africa, Asia⁴⁷. In this study, the 31% of pooled HCV prevalence rate in recent years were found by cumulative meta-analysis. The prevalence rates of different regions were quite varied, and this might be affected by the disparity of medical conditions in developed countries and developing countries. For example, the prevalence rate in Iran, Japan, Jordan, and Saudi Arabia were 11%, 21%, 29%, and 52% respectively in this study. Furthermore, the overall prevalence rate showed a downward trend until 2010 and maintained in about 32%, which probably because the medical standards were continuously improved and gradually converged of these countries.

Above all, long-term hemodialysis and blood transfusion were important factors to increase the risk of hemodialysis patients to HCV. Some other factors, such as gender and regions may also contribute to it. Currently, people have realized that and some specific measures are undergoing to reduce the spread of HCV in dialysis units. For example, HCV can be prevented by avoiding of unnecessary and unsafe injections or blood products and treated with antiviral medicines³⁵. So the modern antiviral treatments (such as pegylated interferon plus ribavirin) were suggested⁴⁷. Sun et al⁴⁸ also thought that the key to reduce the incidence of viral hepatitis in hemodialysis patients is to control contagion and reduce the frequency of blood transfusion and cross-infection. Consequently, combined with our findings, seriously attention should be paid on keeping clean of blood and relative medical equipment to prevent HCV infection during hemodialysis.

However, there are some inevitable limitations in the present meta-analysis. First, the included studies were all observational studies and no confounding factors were corrected, which may result in the significant heterogeneity. Second, the study sample size is relatively small. Third, although there no significant publication bias was found by Egger test and a subgroup analysis was conducted, there is still high heterogeneity among studies.

Conclusions

The present meta-analysis of 26 studies indicates that the overall HCV prevalence rate among Asian hemodialysis patients was 31%, which maintained at a fairly high level. The prevalence rate was possibly influenced by region, blood transfusion and long-term hemodialysis. It's necessary to pay more attention on the HCV infection during hemodialysis and take effective preventive strategies.

Conflict of Interest

The Authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

References

- BEHRENDT P, DOERRBECKER J, RIEBESEHL N, WILHELM C, CIESEK S, ERICHSEN TJ, STEINMANN J, OTT M, MANNS MP, PIETSCHMANN T. Stability and transmission of hepatitis C virus in different anesthetic agents. Am J Infact Control 2013; 41: 942-943.
- ALVISI G, MADAN V, BARTENSCHLAGER R. Hepatitis C virus and host cell lipids: an intimate connection. RNA Biol 2011; 8: 258-269.
- WEINSTEIN T, TUR-KASPA R, CHAGNAC A, KORZETS A, ORI Y, ZEVIN D, HERMAN M, GAFTER U. Hepatitis C infection in dialysis patients in Israel. Isr Med Assoc J 2001; 3: 174-177.
- AL-JAMAL M, AL-QUDAH A, AL-SHISHI KF, AL-SARAYREH A, AL-QURAAN L. Hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection in hemodialysis patients in the south of Jordan. Saudi J Kidney Dis Transpl 2009; 20: 488-492.
- LAVANCHY D. The global burden of hepatitis C. Liver Int 2009; 29: 74-81.
- 6) AL SHOHAIB SS, ABDELAAL MA, ZAWAWI TH, ABBAS FM, SHAHEEN FA, AMOAH E. The prevalence of hepatitis C virus antibodies among hemodialysis patients in jeddah area, saudi arabia. Saudi J Kidney Dis Transpl 1995; 6: 128-131.
- 7) AssareHzadegan MA, SHAKERINEJAD G, NOROOZKOHNE-JAD R, AMINI A, RAHIM REZAEE SA. Prevalence of hepatitis C and B infection and HC V genotypes among hemodialysis patients in Khuzestan province, southwest Iran. Saudi J Kidney Dis Transpl 2009; 20: 681-684.
- KHATTAB OS. Prevalence and risk factors for hepatitis C virus infection in hemodialysis patients in an Iraqi renal transplant center. Saudi J Kidney Dis Transpl 2008; 19: 110-115.
- 9) SHAHEEN FA, HURAIB SO, AL-RASHED R, ALDREES A, ARIF M, AL JEFFRY M, TASHKANDY MA, SAFWAT M. Prevalence of hepatitis C antibodies among hemodialysis patients in the Western province of saudi arabia. Saudi J Kidney Dis Transpl 1995; 6: 136-139.
- BERNIEH B, ALLAM M, HALEPOTA A, MOHAMED AO, PARKAR J, TABBAKH A. Prevalence of hepatitis C virus antibodies in hemodialysis patients in madinah Al munawarah. Saudi J Kidney Dis Transpl 1995; 6: 132-135.
- HIGGINS JP, THOMPSON SG, DEEKS JJ, ALTMAN DG. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. Br Med J 2003; 327: 557-560.
- EGGER M, DAVEY SMITH G, SCHNEIDER M, MINDER C. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. Br Med J 1997; 315: 629-634.
- 13) AL-JIFFRI AM, FADAG RB, GHABRAH TM, IBRAHIM A. Hepatitis C virus infection among patients on hemodialysis in jeddah: a single center experience. Saudi J Kidney Dis Transpl 2003; 14: 84-89.
- 14) ALMAWI WY, QADI AA, TAMIM H, AMEEN G, BU-ALI A, ARRAYID S, ABOU JAOUDE MM. Seroprevalence of hepatitis C virus and hepatitis B virus among dialysis patients in Bahrain and Saudi Arabia. Transplant Proc 2004; 36: 1824-1826.

- AL-MUHANNA FA. Hepatitis C virus infection among hemodialysis patients in the eastern region of saudi arabia. Saudi J Kidney Dis Transpl 1995; 6: 125-127.
- BDOUR S. Hepatitis C virus infection in Jordanian haemodialysis units: Serological diagnosis and genotyping. J Med Microbiol 2002; 51: 700-704.
- 17) EL SHAHAT YI, VARMA S, BARI MZ, SHAH NAWAZ M, AB-DULRAHMAN S, PINGLE A. Hepatitis C virus infection among dialysis patients in United arab emirates. Saudi J Kidney Dis Transpl 1995; 6: 157-162.
- 18) EL-AMIN HH, OSMAN EM, MEKKI MO, ABDELRAHEEM MB, ISMAIL MO, YOUSIF ME, ABASS AM, EL-HAJ HS, AMMAR HK. Hepatitis C virus infection in hemodialysis patients in Sudan: two centers' report. Saudi J Kidney Dis Transpl 2007; 18: 101-106.
- 19) EL-OTTOL AEKY, ELMANAMA AA, AYESH BM. Prevalence and risk factors of hepatitis B and C viruses among haemodialysis patients in Gaza strip, Palestine. Virol J 2010; 7: 210.
- 20) EL-RESHAID K, KAPOOR M, SUGATHAN T, AL-MUFTI S, AL-HILALI N. Hepatitis C virus infection in patients on maintenance dialysis in kuwait: epidemiological profile and efficacy of prophylaxis. Saudi J Kidney Dis Transpl 1995; 6: 144-150.
- 21) JOUKAR F, BESHARATI S, MIRPOUR H, MANSOUR-GHANAEI F. Hepatitis C and hepatitis B seroprevalence and associated risk factors in hemodialysis patients in Guilan province, north of Iran: HCV and HBV seroprevalence in hemodialysis patients. Hepat Mon 2011; 11: 178-181.
- 22) KASHEM A, NUSAIRAT I, MOHAMAD M, RAMZY M, NEMMA J, KARIM MR, DIVAKARAN MP, TAYAAB AS. Hepatitis C virus among hemodialysis patients in najran: prevalence is more among multi-center visitors. Saudi J Kidney Dis Transpl 2003; 14: 206-211.
- 23) KOBAYASHI M, TANAKA E, OGUCHI H, HORA K, KIYOSAWA K. Prospective follow-up study of hepatitis C virus infection in patients undergoing maintenance haemodialysis: Comparison among haemodialysis units. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 1998; 13: 604-609.
- KUMAR R. Hepatitis C virus infection among hemodialysis patients in the Najran Region of Saudi Arabia. Saudi J Kidney Dis Transpl 1997; 8: 134-137.
- 25) MITTAL G, GUPTA P, THAKURIA B, MUKHIYA GK, MITTAL M. Profile of hepatitis B virus, hepatitis C virus, hepatitis D virus and human immunodeficiency virus infections in hemodialysis patients of a tertiary care hospital in Uttarakhand. J Clin Exp Hepatol 2013; 3: 24-28.
- 26) MORIKAWA T, NAKATA K, HAMASAKI K, TSURUTA S, KATO Y, NAKAO K, OHTSUBO T, EGUCHI K. Prevalence and characterization of hepatitis C virus in hemodialysis patients. Intern Med 1999; 38: 626-631.
- 27) Ohsawa M, Kato K, Itai K, Tanno K, Fujishima Y, Konda R, Okayama A, Abe K, Suzuki K, Nakamura M, Onoda T, Kawamura K, Sakata K, Akiba T, Fujio-

KA T. Standardized prevalence ratios for chronic hepatitis C virus infection among adult Japanese hemodialysis patients. J Epidemiol 2010; 20: 30-39.

- SAID RA, HAMZEH YY, MEHYAR NS, RABABAH MS. Hepatitis C virus infection in hemodialysis patients in Jordan. Saudi J Kidney Dis Transpl 1995; 6: 140-143.
- 29) SELM SB. Prevalence of hepatitis C virus infection among hemodialysis patients in a single center in Yemen. Saudi J Kidney Dis Transpl 2010; 21: 1165-1168.
- TAZIKI O, ESPAHBODI F. Prevalence of hepatitis C virus infection in hemodialysis patients. Saudi J Kidney Dis Transpl 2008; 19: 475-478.
- 31) WANG Y, CHEN HS, FAN MH, LIU HL, AN P, SAWADA N, TANAKA T, TSUDA F, OKAMOTO H. Infection with GB virus C and hepatitis C virus in hemodialysis patients and blood donors in Beijing. J Med Virol 1997; 52: 26-30.
- 32) ZAHEDI MJ, DARVISH MOGHADDAM S, ALAVIAN SM, DALILI M. Seroprevalence of hepatitis biruses B, C, D and HIV infection among hemodialysis patients in kerman province, South-East Iran. Hepat Mon 2012; 12: 339-343.
- 33) AVERHOFF FM, GLASS N, HOLTZMAN D. Global burden of hepatitis C: considerations for healthcare providers in the United States. Clin Infect Dis 2012; 55: S10-S15.
- 34) ALTER MJ. HCV routes of transmission: what goes around comes around. Semin Liver Dis 2011; 31: 340-346.
- WHO. Hepatitis C. 2013; Available from: http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs164/ en/.
- HUANG CC. Hepatitis in patients with end-stage renal disease. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 1997; 12: S236-S241.
- 37) ALAVIAN SM, EINOLLAHI B, HAJARIZADEH B, BAKHTIARI S, NAFAR M, AHRABI S. Prevalence of hepatitis C virus infection and related risk factors among Iranian haemodialysis patients. Nephrology 2003; 8: 256-260.
- 38) SULTANA S, ALI M, SHAHA A, KHAN N, HUSAIN M. Evaluation of the antibody response against hepatitis C virus infection in patients on maintenance hemodialysis (MHD): a pilot study. Bangladesh J Med Biochem 2014; 7: 9-13.
- 39) DEMBRY L-M, TORRES-VIERA C, SIMJEE S, CHEN A, ZER-VOS M, FOLEY S. Infection Control Basics. Molecular Techniques for the Study of Hospital Acquired Infection 2011: p. 39.
- 40) KERSHENOBICH D, RAZAVI HA, SÁNCHEZ-AVILA JF, BESSONE F, COELHO HS, DAGHER L, GONÇALES FL, QUIROZ JF, RODRIGUEZ-PEREZ F, ROSADO B. Trends and projections of hepatitis C virus epidemiology in Latin America. Liver Int 2011; 31: 18-29.
- 41) BOUARE N, GOTHOT A, DELWAIDE J, BONTEMS S, VAIRA D, SEIDEL L, GERARD P, GERARD C. Epidemiological profiles of human immunodeficiency virus and he-

patitis C virus infections in Malian women: risk factors and relevance of disparities. World J Hepatol 2013; 5: 196.

- 42) LI WC, LEE YY, CHEN IC, WANG SH, HSIAO CT, LOKE SS. Age and gender differences in the relationship between hepatitis C infection and all stages of Chronic kidney disease. J Viral Hepat 2013, Dec 5. doi:10:1111/Jvh.12199 [Epub ahead of print].
- ALTER MJ. Epidemiology of hepatitis C virus infection. World J Gastroenterol 2007; 13: 2436.
- 44) LAVANCHY D. Evolving epidemiology of hepatitis C virus. Clin Microbiol Infect 2011; 17: 107-115.
- 45) HUTIN Y, KITLER M, DORE G, PERZ J, ARMSTRONG G, DUSHEIKO G, ISHIBASHI H, GROB P, KEW M, MARCELLIN

P. Global burden of disease (GBD) for hepatitis C. J Clin Pharmacol 2004; 44: 20-29.

- 46) DUSSOL B, BERTHEZÉNE P, BRUNET P, ROUBICEK C, BERLAND Y. Hepatitis C virus infection among chronic dialysis patients in the south of France: a collaborative study. Am J Kidney Dis 1995; 25: 399-404.
- FABRIZI F. Hepatitis C virus infection and dialysis: 2012 update. ISRN Nephrology 2013 ; 2013: 159760.
- 48) SUN J, YU R, ZHU B, WU J, LARSEN S, ZHAO W. Hepatitis C infection and related factors in hemodialysis patients in China: systematic review and meta-analysis. Ren Fail 2009; 31: 610-620.

3182