Role of microRNA in prostate cancer stem/progenitor cells regulation

Z.-Q. TAO¹, A.-M. SHI², R. LI³, Y.-Q. WANG⁴, X. WANG⁵, J. ZHAO⁶

¹Department of Science and Education, Xuzhou Central Hospital, Xuzhou

²School of Public Health of Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, China

³Central Laboratory, Xuzhou Central Hospital, Xuzhou

⁴Department of Oncology Surgery, Xuzhou Central Hospital, Xuzhou, Jiangsu, China

⁵Department of Thoracic surgery, Xuzhou Central Hospital, Xuzhou, Jiangsu,

⁶Department of Science and Education Division, Xuzhou Central Hospital, Xuzhou

Abstract. - Most of the human tumors contain a population of cells with stem cell properties, called cancer stem cel-Is (CSCs), which are believed to be responsible for tumor establishment, metastasis, and resistance to clinical therapy. It's crucial to understand the regulatory mechanisms unique to CSCs, in order to design CSC-specific therapeutics. Recent discoveries of microRNA (miR-NA) have provided a new avenue for understanding the regulatory mechanisms of cancer. The present review article will discuss important milestones associated with mircroRNA regulation during prostate carcinogenesis.

Key Words: Micro RNA, Prostate cancer, Cancer stem cells.

Introduction

Prostate cancer is one of the most common cancers among men and is the second leading cause of death following lung cancer¹. Despite the extensive studies on prostate cancer, there are still many uncertainties/questions about the origin of the prostate cancer, molecular mechanisms driving the cancer cells, tumor recurrence mechanisms and metastasis. The major cause of this spread is the failure of existing clinical therapies including surgical and chemical treatments such as androgen deprivation treatment (ADT). There is emerging evidence that a small population of cells with stem cell properties called cancer stem cells (CSCs) driving tumor initiation, progression, metastasis and response to the clinical treatments^{2,3}. The CSC hypothesis has provided significant implications for better understanding of the biology of cancer cells and helping in great extent in the development of new cures for cancer.

MicroRNAs (miRNA) are evolutionally conserved, small non-coding RNAs that are about 19-22 nucleotides (nt) long⁴. MiRNAs modulate gene expression by repressing their targets' translation or inducing mRNA degradation through binding to the complementary sequence in target messenger RNA. Although tiny, miRNAs have been shown to play important roles in regulating developmental, physiological and oncogenic processes. So far, there are 1921 potential mature miRNAs that have been reported in human (miRbase, v18, www.mirbase.org), and they are thought to be involved in the regulation of more than 60% genes coding for the human protein.

miRNA and Stem Cells

The discovery of the first miRNA was in association with stem cell (SC) development in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. This miRNA was required for the transition from the L1 to L2 stage⁵. Let-7-associated family members (miR-48, miR-84 and miR-241) were later shown to be involved in the transition from L2 to L3, further implicating miRNAs in the maintenance and progression of SCs. Let-7 itself is involved in the transition from L4 to adult phenotypes^{6,7}. In the absence of lin-4 and members of the let-7 family, the SC lineage fails to differentiate and continues a proliferative cycle. The fact that lin-4 and let-7 are conserved over a number of species suggested that their developmental regulatory functions mi-

ght also be conserved⁸. There are several additional examples of miRNAs being key regulators of cell proliferation and death⁹, cell differentiation¹⁰, skeletal and cardiac muscle development¹¹ and brain and neural development¹². Also, ongoing research is building solid evidence for the role of miRNAs in regulating SC division and other SC properties^{13,14}.

miRNA in Cancer

The first study of miRNA in cancer discovered that miR-15a/16-1 is often lost in chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL)¹⁵. The advances in profiling techniques such as high-throughput screening and miRNA microarray in the past few years have facilitated investigator to identify aberrant expression of miRNAs in lung cancer, breast cancer, glioblastomas, pancreatic tumors and prostate cancers¹⁶. Differential miRNA expression profiles were also observed between primary tumors and metastatic tumors. These differential expression profiles of miRNA are informative for the diagnosis as well as prognosis of cancer¹⁷. The mechanisms involved in the differential expression of miRNA included the genetic location of the miRNA at cancer-related regions¹⁸, the epigenetic regulation of miRNA expression¹⁹, or the abnormalities in genes and proteins involved in miRNA maturation processes. MiRNA could be also regulated by an important oncogenes or tumor suppressor genes with transcription activities²⁰ such as p53, Myc and lin-28.

miRNA as an Oncogene or Tumor Suppressor Gene

The aberrant expressions of miRNAs in cancer suggested that these miRNAs might be involved in a pathological process of cancer development. Indeed, more and more studies showed that miR-NAs might function as either oncogene or tumor suppressor gene. For example, miR-21, which is upregulated in cancers of the breast, lung, prostate, colon and glioblastomas, has been shown to be self-sufficient in promoting cancer cell proliferation and could evade apoptosis by targeting several tumor suppressors like PTEN and PDCD4²¹. Moreover, transgenic over-expression of miR-21 resulted in B cell lymphoma²². Many other miRNAs that display oncogenic functions included miR-17-92 cluster, which are transactivated by oncogene c-Myc. These mirRNAS have been reported to promote tumor growth in a lymphoma mouse model²³. On the other hand, many miRNAs that are under-expressed in cancers might exert tumor suppressor functions. For example, loss of miR-15 and miR-16 is often found in CLL patients. It has been shown that miR-15/16 cluster is often deleted in various cancers including CLL, prostate cancer, and pancreatic cancer. Moreover, this loss of miR-15/16 is negatively correlated with the level of antiapoptotic protein BCL2 and is therefore associated with evasion of apoptosis²⁴. Other important tumor suppressive miRNAs included the let-7 family, which is widely underexpressed miRNAs in various tumors, and can suppress tumor development by targeting multiple oncogenic factors involved in tumor initiation and progression such as RAS, MYC and HMGA2²⁵.

miRNA in Cancer Therapeutics

It is a well-known fact now that miRNAs are differentially expressed in cancer compared to normal tissue and are involved in various aspects of cancer development. So, investigators are in the process of development of miRNA-based anti-cancer diagnostics and therapeutics²⁶. Primarily, miRNA expression profiles could be utilized as informative biomarkers in cancer diagnosis and prognosis. In a study in the recent past, miRNA scored better in comparison with traditional mRNA diagnosis in studying classification as well as the correlation of cancer with tissue origin. Also, miRNA levels in serum and other body fluids have been observed to be associated well with the metastatic status of cancer and are being developed as powerful biomarkers for cancer diagnosis²⁷.

Researchers are working also on new techniques to either replace tumor suppressive miRNAs or target oncogenic miRNAs so as to negatively regulate tumor development. The advantage of using miRNAs as therapeutic targets is understandable. Each miRNA has the ability to target multiple factors in an oncogenic pathway, therefore, might exert a better synergistic effect as compared to the traditional therapeutic methods, which generally target a single molecule. The miRNA mimic oligonucleotides (oligos), which are synthetic double-stranded RNA oligos that mimic the mature endogenous miRNAs²⁸. On the other hand, anti-sense oligos against miRNAs (antagomiRs) have been developed to block the oncogenic activities of the over-expressed miRNAs. For example, systemic delivery of antagomir miR-10b, which has been shown to promote metastasis in breast cancer, has successfully suppressed the metastasis of breast cancer cells to the lung²⁹.

miRNA in Development Regulation

Lin-4 and let-7 are the first two miRNAs to be identified involved in the development process. Interestingly, both of them were discovered during Caenorhabditis elegans (C. elegans) development. Lin-4 controlled the larval stage transition from L1 to L2, by repressing lin-14, a factor required for proper developmental timing³⁰. Since then, evidence from several systems has implicated that miRNA might play an important role in regulating embryonic stem cell (ESC) and embryonic development. The global functions of miRNA have been evaluated by the consequences of Dicer and DGCR8 mutants in human and mouse ESCs. Specifically, complete blockage of miRNA maturation by deletion of Dicer in mouse caused embryonic lethality³¹. Both dicer deficient, as well as DGCR8-deficient mouse ESCs, exhibited a defect in differentiation and G1 cell-cycle arrest³². In addition to the overall involvement of the miRNA pathway in ESCs, other researchers have also revealed the specific miRNA expressions and functions in ESCs. For example, a set of 32 miRNAs differentially expressed in human ESCs was reported³³. In addition, miR-290-295 cluster, miR-296, miR-302, miR-17-92 cluster and miR-15b-16 cluster have been reported to be over-expressed in ESCs. However, reports also confirmed that these miR-NA got decreased during differentiation and are absent in adult tissue, whereas miR-21 and 22 are found abundantly expressed in differentiated and adult tissue³⁴. Of note, several regulatory circuits between miRNAs and the pluripotent genes required for maintaining the stemness have been reported, revealing an amazing network of stem cell regulation. For example, the core transcription factors of stem cells pluripotency, OCT-4, NANOG, SOX2, TCF3, occupied the promoter regions of a set of miRNAs that are preferentially expressed in mouse ESCs such as miR-290-295 cluster and transcriptionally activated their expressions³⁵. On the other hand, some of these pluripotent genes are regulated by miRNAs at the post-transcriptional level as well³⁶.

miRNA in Cancer Stem Cells Regulation

Increasing evidence suggested that miRNAs might also be involved in regulating CSC properties. First of all, miRNA expression signature specific for CSC populations has been confirmed in several cancers. In breast cancer, Yu et al³⁷ reported that let-7, as well as some of other miR-

NAs including miR-16, miR-107, miR-128 and miR-20b, were significantly reduced in breast CSC (BCSC) enriched by consecutively passaging breast cancer cell line SKBR3 in mice treated with chemotherapy. Clarke's group used the cell surface marker CD44 and CD24 expression to enrich BCSC, and identified a set of 37 miR-NAs to be a differentially expression in CD44+/ CD24-/lo BCSC population, in which three clusters, miR-200c-141, miR-200b-200a-429, and miR-183-96-182 were significantly downregulated³⁸. MiRNA deregulation has also been reported in glioblastoma and other brain CSCs³⁹. In hepatic CSC identified by EpCAM+AFP+ profile, researchers also discovered a unique miRNA signature in which miR-181 family and several miR-17-92 cluster members were up-regulated in the CSC population⁴⁰. Furthermore, miRNAs have been shown to regulate various CSCs properties, including self-renewal and differentiation, tumorigenesis, metastasis and chemoresistance. For instance, under-expression of let-7 in BCSCs seems to be important for maintaining the stem cell properties. Thus, overexpression of let-7 with let-7-lentivirus inhibited proliferation, mammosphere formation, tumor formation and metastasis in NOD/SCID mice. In contrast, antagonizing let-7 enhanced the in vitro self-renewal of non-CSC. Interestingly, over-expression of miR-30, another miRNA that was also markedly reduced in BC-SCs, not only inhibited their ability of self-renewal, but also inhibited anoikis resistance and increased apoptosis by directly targeting UBC9 (ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme 9) and ITGB3 (integrin β 3)⁴¹. Importantly, a complete inhibition of self-renewal and mammosphere formation in BC-SC was observed when introducing both let-7 and miR-30 at the same time. This synergistic inhibitory effect of let-7 and miR-30 on self-renewal indicated that multiple miRNAs distinctively and concertedly regulated CSC properties.

Several other miRNAs like miR-200c, miR-128 have been reported to be under-expressed in BCSCs, normal mammary stem cells and in glioma stem cells⁴². miR-34, a p53 downstream target, has also been shown to negatively regulate the stem cell properties of pancreatic and gastric CSCs; thus, over-expression of miR-34 inhibited sphere formation in vitro and tumor formation in vivo via modulating downstream targets viz. Bcl-2, NOTCH, and HMGA2⁴³. miRNAs such as miR-205 and miR-200 might have been observed to be involved in regulation of metastasis, by affecting epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT)⁴⁴. Moreover, the overexpression of miR-200 negatively regulated the expression of EMT-activator ZEB1 and ZEB2. These findings established a negative feedback loop between miR-200 family and ZEB1/ZEB2 that regulated important biological processes in cancer metastasis⁴⁵.

Conclusions

It is evident from above literature that different miRNAs are involved in the regulation of different aspects of tumor development. Also, they showed distinct CSC properties, and work coordinately in order to control the tumor progression. However, there is a need for more comprehensive studies to elucidate more detailed mechanistic information on the role of miRNAs in prostate cancer progression as well as metastasis.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- CARY KC, PUNNEN S, ODISHO AY, LITWIN MS, SAIGAL CS, COOPERBERG MR. Nationally representative trends and geographic variation in treatment of localized prostate cancer: the Urologic Diseases in America project. Prostate Cancer Prostatic Dis 2015; 18: 149-154.
- VISVADER JE, LINDEMAN GJ. Cancer stem cells in solid tumours: accumulating evidence and unresolved questions. Nat Rev Cancer 2008; 8: 755-768.
- ROSEN JM, JORDAN CT. The increasing complexity of the cancer stem cell paradigm. Science 2009; 324: 1670-1673
- KHANMI K, IGNACIMUTHU S, PAULRAJ MG. MicroRNA in prostate cancer. Clin Chim Acta 2015; 451(Pt B): 154-160.
- 5) WIGHTMAN B, HA I, RUVKUN G. Posttranscriptional regulation of the heterochronic gene lin-14 by lin-4 mediates temporal pattern formation in C. elegans. Cell 1993; 75: 855-862.
- REINHART BJ, SLACK FJ, BASSON M, PASQUINELLI AE, BET-TINGER JC,. ROUGVIE AE,. HORVITZ HR, RUVKUN G. The 21-nucleotide let-7 RNA regulates developmental timing in Caenorhabditis elegans. Nature 2000; 403: 901-906.
- SLACK FJ, BASSON M, LIU Z, AMBROS V, HORVITZ HR, RUVKUN G. The lin-41 RBCC gene acts in the C. elegans heterochronic pathway between the let-7 regulatory RNA and the LIN-29 transcription factor. Mol Cell 2000; 5: 659-669.

- ALVAREZ-GARCIA I, MISKA EA. MicroRNA functions in animal development and human disease. Development 2005; 132: 4653-4662.
- BRENNECKE J, HIPFNER DR, STARK A, RUSSELL RB, COHEN SM. Bantam encodes a developmentally regulated microRNA that controls cell proliferation and regulates the proapoptotic gene hid in Drosophila. Cell 2003; 113: 25-36.
- CHANG S, JOHNSTON RJ JR, FRØKJAER-JENSEN C, LOCKERY S, HOBERT O. MicroRNAs act sequentially and asymmetrically to control chemosensory laterality in the nematode. Nature 2004; 430: 785-789.
- CHEN JF, MANDEL EM, THOMSON JM, WU Q, CALLIS TE, HAMMOND SM, CONLON FL, WANG DZ. The role of microRNA-1 and microRNA-133 in skeletal muscle proliferation and differentiation. Nat Genet 2006; 38: 228-233.
- 12) GIRALDEZ AJ, CINALLI RM, GLASNER ME, ENRIGHT AJ, THOMSON JM, BASKERVILLE S, HAMMOND SM, BARTEL DP, SCHIER AF. MicroRNAs regulate brain morphogenesis in zebrafish. Science 2005; 308: 833-838.
- 13) GEORGANTAS RW 3[™], HILDRETH R, MORISOT S, ALDER J, LIU CG, HEIMFELD S, CALIN GA, CROCE CM, CIVIN CI. CD34+ hematopoietic stem-progenitor cell microRNA expression and function: a circuit diagram of differentiation control. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2007; 104: 2750-2755.
- RUVKUN G. The perfect storm of tiny RNAs. Nat Med 2008; 14: 1041-1045.
- 15) CALIN GA, DUMITRU CD, SHIMIZU M, BICHI R, ZUPO S, NOCH E, ALDLER H, RATTAN S, KEATING M, RAI K, RASSENTI L, KIPPS T, NEGRINI M, BULLRICH F, CROCE CM. Frequent deletions and down-regulation of micro-RNA genes miR15 and miR16 at 13q14 in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2002; 99: 15524-15529.
- CALIN GA, CROCE CM. MicroRNA signatures in human cancers. Nat Rev Cancer 2006; 6: 857-866.
- 17) LU J, GETZ G, MISKA EA, ALVAREZ-SAAVEDRA E, LAMB J, PECK D, SWEET-CORDERO A, EBERT BL, MAK RH, FER-RANDO AA, DOWNING JR, JACKS T, HORVITZ HR, GOLUB TR. MicroRNA expression profiles classify human cancers. Nature 2005; 435: 834-838.
- 18) CALIN GA, SEVIGNANI C, DUMITRU CD, HYSLOP T, NOCH E, YENDAMURI S, SHIMIZU M, RATTAN S, BULLRICH F, NE-GRINI M, CROCE CM. Human microRNA genes are frequently located at fragile sites and genomic regions involved in cancers. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2004; 101: 2999-3004.
- ESTELLER M. Epigenetics in cancer. N Engl J Med 2008; 358: 1148-1159.
- 20) CHANG TC, YU D, LEE YS, WENTZEL EA, ARKING DE, WEST KM, DANG CV, THOMAS-TIKHONENKO A, MENDELL JT. Widespread microRNA repression by Myc contributes to tumorigenesis. Nat Genet 2008; 40: 43-50.
- CHAN JA, KRICHEVSKY AM, KOSIK KM. MicroRNA-21 is an antiapoptotic factor in human glioblastoma cells. Cancer Res 2005; 65: 6029-6033.

- 22) MEDINA PP, NOLDE M, SLACK FJ. OncomiR addiction in an in vivo model of microRNA-21-induced pre-B-cell lymphoma. Nature 2010; 467: 86-90.
- MENDELL JT. miRiad roles for the miR-17-92 cluster in development and disease. Cell 2008; 133: 217-222.
- 24) CALIN GA, CROCE CM. MicroRNA signatures in human cancers. Nat Rev Cancer 2006; 6: 857-866
- 25) SAMPSON VB, RONG NH, HAN J, YANG Q, ARIS V, SO-TEROPOULOS P, PETRELLI NJ, DUNN SP, KRUEGER LJ. MicroRNA let-7a down-regulates MYC and reverts MYC-induced growth in Burkitt lymphoma cells. Cancer Res 2007; 67: 9762-9770.
- 26) KASINSKI AL, SLACK FJ. Epigenetics and genetics. MicroRNAs en route to the clinic: progress in validating and targeting microRNAs for cancer therapy. Nat Rev Cancer 2011; 11: 849-864.
- 27) CHEN X, BA Y, MA L, CAI X, YIN Y, WANG K, GUO J, ZHANG Y, CHEN J, GUO X, LI O, LI X, WANG W, ZHANG Y, WANG J, JIANG X, XIANG Y, XU C, ZHENG P, ZHANG J, LI R, ZHANG H, SHANG X, GONG T, NING G, WANG J, ZEN K, ZHANG J, ZHANG CY. Characterization of microRNAs in serum: a novel class of biomarkers for diagnosis of cancer and other diseases. Cell Res 2008; 18: 997-1006.
- 28) ESQUELA-KERSCHER A, TRANG P, WIGGINS JF, PATRAWALA L, CHENG A, FORD L, WEIDHAAS JB, BROWN D, BADER AG, SLACK FJ. The let-7 microRNA reduces tumor growth in mouse models of lung cancer. Cell Cycle 2008; 7: 759-764.
- 29) MA L, REINHARDT F, PAN E, SOUTSCHEK J, BHAT B, MAR-CUSSON EG, TERUYA-FELDSTEIN J, BELL GW, WEINBERG RA. Therapeutic silencing of miR-10b inhibits metastasis in a mouse mammary tumor model. Nat Biotechnol 2010; 28: 341-347.
- OLSEN PH, AMBROS V. The lin-4 regulatory RNA controls developmental timing in Caenorhabditis elegans by blocking LIN-14 protein synthesis after the initiation of translation. Dev Biol 1999; 216: 671-680.
- 31) BERNSTEIN E, KIM SY, CARMELL MA, MURCHISON EP, AL-CORN H, LI MZ, MILLS AA, ELLEDGE SJ, ANDERSON KV, HANNON GJ. Dicer is essential for mouse development. Nat Genet 2003; 35: 215-217.
- 32) WANG Y, MEDVID R, MELTON C, JAENISCH R, BLELLOCH R. DGCR8 is essential for microRNA biogenesis and silencing of embryonic stem cell self-renewal. Nat Genet 2007; 39: 380-385.
- 33) SUH MR, LEE Y, KIM JY, KIM SK, MOON SH, LEE JY, CHA KY, CHUNG HM, YOON HS, MOON SY, KIM VN, KIM KS. Human embryonic stem cells express a unique set of microRNAs. Dev Biol 2004; 270: 488-498.
- 34) CALABRESE JM, SEILA AC, YEO GW, SHARP PA. RNA sequencem analysis defines Dicer's role in mouse embryonic stem cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2007; 104: 18097-18102.
- 35) Marson A, Levine SS, Cole MF, Frampton GM, Brambrink T, Johnstone S, Guenther MG, Johnston

WK, WERNIG M, NEWMAN J, CALABRESE JM, DENNIS LM,VOLKERT TL, GUPTA S, LOVE J, HANNETT N, SHARP PA, BARTEL DP, JAENISCH R, YOUNG RA. Connecting microRNA genes to the core transcriptional regulatory circuitry of embryonic stem cells. Cell 2008; 134: 521-533.

- 36) TAY Y, ZHANG J, THOMSON AM, LIM B, RIGOUTSOS I. MicroRNAs to Nanog, Oct4 and Sox2 coding regions modulate embryonic stem cell differentiation. Nature 2008; 455: 1124-1128.
- 37) Yu F, Yao H, Zhu P, Zhang X, Pan Q, Gong C, Huang Y, Hu X, Su F, Lieberman J, Song E. let-7 regulates self renewal and tumorigenicity of breast cancer cells. Cell 2007; 131: 1109-1123.
- 38) SHIMONO Y, ZABALA M, CHO RW, LOBO N, DALERBA P, QIAN D, DIEHN M, LIU H, PANULA SP, CHIAO E, DIRBAS FM, SOMLO G, PERA RA, LAO K, CLARKE MF. DOWNRegulation of miRNA-200c links breast cancer stem cells with normal stem cells. Cell 2009; 138: 592-603.
- 39) GAL H, PANDI G, KANNER AA, RAM Z, LITHWICK-YANAI G, AMARIGLIO N, RECHAVI G, GIVOL D. MIR-451 and Imatinib mesylate inhibit tumor growth of Glioblastoma stem cells. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2008; 376: 86-90.
- 40) JI J, YAMASHITA T, BUDHU A, FORGUES M, JIA HL, LI C, DENG C, WAUTHIER E, REID LM, YE QH, QIN LX, YANG W, WANG HY, TANG ZY, CROCE CM, WANG XW. Identification of microRNA-181 by genome-wide screening as a critical player in EpCAM-positive hepatic cancer stem cells. Hepatology 2009; 50: 472-480
- 41) Yu F, DENG H, YAO H, LIU Q, SU F, SONG E. Mir-30 reduction maintains self-renewal and inhibits apoptosis in breast tumor-initiating cells. Oncogene 2010; 29: 4194-4204.
- 42) GODLEWSKI J, NOWICKI MO, BRONISZ A, WILLIAMS S, OTSUKI A, NUOVO G, RAYCHAUDHURY A, NEWTON HB, CHIOCCA EA, LAWLER S. Targeting of the Bmi-1 oncogene/stem cell renewal factor by microRNA-128 inhibits glioma proliferation and self-renewal. Cancer Res 2008; 68: 9125-9130.
- 43) JI Q, HAO X, ZHANG M, TANG W, YANG M, LI L, XIANG D, DESANO JT, BOMMER GT, FAN D, FEARON ER, LAWRENCE TS, XU L. MicroRNA miR-34 inhibits human pancreatic cancer tumor-initiating cells. PLoS One 2009; 4:e6816.
- 44) GREGORY PA, BERT AG, PATERSON EL, BARRY SC, TSYKIN A, FARSHID G, VADAS MA, KHEW-GOODALL Y, GOODALL GJ. The miR-200 family and miR-205 regulate epithelial to mesenchymal transition by targeting ZEB1 and SIP1. Nat Cell Biol 2008; 10: 593-601.
- 45) PARK SM, GAUR AB, LENGYEL E, PETER ME. The miR-200 family determines the epithelial phenotype of cancer cells by targeting the E-cadherin repressors ZEB1 and ZEB2. Genes Develop 2008; 22: 894-907.