Nucleosome assembly protein 1-like 1 (NAP1L1) in colon cancer patients: a potential biomarker with diagnostic and prognostic utility

M.A. AYDIN¹, G. GUL², R. KIZILTAN³, S. ALGUL⁴, O. KEMIK³

¹Department of General Surgery, Altinbas University Faculty of Medicine Medical Park Bahcelievler Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey

²Clinic of General Surgery, Private Goztepe Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey

³Department of General Surgery Yuzuncu Yil University Faculty of Medicine, Van, Turkey

⁴Department of Physiology, Yuzuncu Yil University Faculty of Medicine, Van, Turkey

Abstract. – OBJECTIVE: To evaluate the diagnostic and prognostic utility of nucleosome assembly protein 1-like 1 (NAP1L1) in colon cancer patients.

PATIENTS AND METHODS: A total of 95 patients with colon cancer [mean (SD) age: 61.0 (1.7) years, 58.9% were males] and 50 healthy individuals [mean (SD) age: 61.0 (2.3) years, 52.0% were males] were included in this prospective multicenter study. Data on patient demographics (age, gender) and serum NAP1L1 levels were recorded in both control and colon cancer groups. In colon cancer patients, serum NAP1L1 levels were further analyzed with respect to TNM stages and tumor size.

RESULTS: Serum NAP1L1 levels were significantly higher in colon cancer patients as compared with control subjects [median (min-max) 14(12-16) *vs.* 2(1-2) ng/mL, p<0.001]. In colon cancer patients, serum NAP1L1 levels were significantly higher for tumor size of >4 cm *vs.* <4 cm [15(12-16) *vs.* 12(12-14) ng/mL), p<0.001] and for M1 *vs.* M0 stage [15(12-16) *vs.* 12(12-14) ng/mL), p<0.001]. Serum NAP1L1 levels were significantly higher in T4 stage tumors *vs.* T1, T2 and T3 stage tumors (p<0.001 for each), in T3 stage tumors *vs.* T1 and T2 stage tumors (p<0.001 for each) and in N2 stage tumors *vs.* N0 and N1 stage tumors (p<0.001 for each).

CONCLUSIONS: Our findings revealed for the first time the substantial rise in serum NAP1L1 levels among colon cancer patients as compared to controls and as correlated with the disease progression. Accordingly, NAP1L1 seems to be a potential biomarker for colon cancer, offering clinically important information on early diagnosis and risk stratification.

Key Words:

Colon cancer, NAP1L1, Marker, Early diagnosis, Risk stratification, Stage, Controls.

Introduction

Colon cancer is a common and highly fatal malignancy worldwide^{1,2}. A mass screening of average-risk adults over age 50 for colon cancer is recommended due to asymptomatic course of early stage disease and the premalignant adenomatous polyps¹⁻³. Accordingly, risk stratification is considered crucial in the management of colon cancer, to be able to implement therapeutic strategies tailored to select patients⁴. Exploring drivers of early-stage disease through high-predictive markers for the early recognition of colon cancer has therefore become increasingly addressed by substantial clinical investigation^{4,5}.

The colon cancer diagnosis is based on bloodtest profiles for diagnostic biomarkers (i.e., serum cancer antigens, circulating tumor cells), colonoscopy, and barium meal imaging⁶⁻¹⁰. Carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) and carbohydrate antigen 19-9 (CA 19-9) are the most widely used cancer antigen biomarkers for monitoring colon cancer^{11,12}. Circulating tumor cells originate from primary or metastatic tumor sites that can directly constitute the state of the disease, whereas the number of circulating tumor cells is very low^{13,14}. DNA-based and RNA-based molecular methods are more sensitive than circulating tumor cells for the investigation of molecular heterogeneity and clonal disagreement, whereas they have limited use in clinical practice¹⁵. Although colonoscopy is the mainstay in diagnosis and monitoring of colon cancer, the patient discomfort during procedure limits its early diagnostic value¹⁶. Hence, there is a prompt need for a reliable and stable biomarker of prognostic value in the early diagnosis of colon cancer patients.

NAP1L1 (nucleosome assembly protein 1-like 1), a member of NAP1L family, is a highly conserved protein involved in nucleosome assembly, cell cycle progression and cell proliferation.^{17,18}Although it is expressed ubiquitously in most human tissues and cell lines, the increased levels are commonly detected in rapidly proliferating cells¹⁷⁻²⁰. Oncogenic role of NAP1L1 has been suggested in several tumors based on its overexpression²¹⁻²⁴, while its diagnostic and prognostic role in colon cancer remains unclarified^{25,26}.

This study was therefore designed to evaluate the diagnostic and prognostic potential of NAP1L1 in colon cancer patients by analysis of serum levels in comparison to control subjects and in relation to clinicopathological variables.

Patients and Methods

Study Population

A total of 95 patients with newly-diagnosed colon cancer (56 men and 39 women; mean age, 61±1.7 years) and 50 healthy individuals (26 men and 24 women; mean age, 61±2.3 years) were included in this cross-sectional multicenter study. Colon cancer was diagnosed and staged using the American Joint Commission on Cancer (AJCC) TNM system²⁷. Patients with other malignancies, active infection and connective tissue diseases and patients who received adjuvant/neoadjuvant radiotherapy or chemotherapy before surgery were excluded from the study. Control group consisted of age-matched and gender-matched individuals without clinical and laboratory signs of any malignant or inflammatory disease.

Written informed consent was obtained from each subject following a detailed explanation of the objectives and protocol of the study which was conducted in accordance with the Ethical Principles stated in the "Declaration of Helsinki" and approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee.

Assessments

Data on patient demographics (age, gender) and serum NAP1L1 levels were recorded in both control and colon cancer groups. In colon cancer patients, serum NAP1L1 levels were further analyzed with respect to TNM stages and tumor size. Colon cancer staging was based on TNM staging system, using standard radiological examinations: chest x-ray, ultrasound (US) and computed tomography (CT) of the abdomen and, if necessary, other radiological imaging. In patients who underwent surgery, the pathological diagnosis was performed.

Serum NAP1L1 Analysis

Blood samples (3 mL) were collected using blood collection tube (Blood Collection Tubes with Polymer Gel for serum Separation), and then, immediately transported to the laboratory for centrifugation at 4°C for 20 min at 1000 g. The 1.5 mL supernatant was transferred into micro-tube and kept at -80°C until analysis.

Serum NAP1L1 levels were measured using an enzyme linked immunosorbent assay kit (ELISA) for in vitro quantitative measurement of NAP1L1 (Lifespan Biosciences, Inc. Catalog No: LS-F6872). Each well of the supplied microtiter plate has been pre-coated with a target specific capture antibody. Standards and samples were added to the wells and the target antigen bind to the capture antibody. After, an avidin-horseradish peroxidase conjugate, TMB substrate, and a sulfuric acid stop solution were added to terminate color development reaction, and then, the optical density of the well was measured by a wavelength of 450 nm±2 nm. Detection range is 0.313-20 ng/mL (intra-assay CV<10%; inter-assay CV<12%).

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was made using NCSS 10 (2015, Kaysville, UT, USA) software program. Yates corrected Chi-square (χ^2) test was used for the comparison of categorical data, while numerical data were analyzed using Mann-Whitney U and Kruskal Wallis tests with post-hoc Dunn's test. Data were expressed as "mean (standard deviation; SD)", minimum-maximum and percent (%) where appropriate. p<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Patient Demographics and Serum NAP1L1 Levels in Study Groups

In the colon cancer group, mean (SD) age was 61.3(1.7) years and 58.9% of patients were males, while in the control group, mean(SD) age was 61.0(2.4) years and 52.0% of subjects were males. No significant difference was noted between control and colon cancer groups in terms of age and gender (Table I).

		Control (n = 50)	Colon cancer (n = 95)	<i>p</i> -value
Age (year)	Mean (SD) Median (min max)	61.0 (2.4)	61.3 (1.7)	0.2991
Gender, n (%)	Female	24 (48.0)	39 (41.1)	0.5312
NAP1L1 (ng/mL)	Male Mean(SD)	26 (52.0) 1.8 (0.4)	56 (58.9) 14.1 (1.5)	< 0.0011

Table I. Patient demographics and serum NAP1L1 levels in study groups.

¹Mann-Whitney U test, ²Chi square test.

Serum NAP1L1 levels were significantly higher in colon cancer patients as compared with control subjects (median (min-max) 14(12-16) vs. 2(1-2) ng/mL, p<0.001) (Table I, Figure 1). On ROC analysis a serum NAP1L1 cut-off value of 10.5 ng/ml provided the best discrimination between colon cancer patients and controls.

Preoperative Serum NAP1L1 Levels in Colon Cancer Patients According to Clinicopathologic Variables

Most of the patients had a tumor with >4 cm tumor size (70.5%) and M1 stage (78.9%), T4 stage (42.1%) and N2 stage (63.1%) tumors (Table II).

In colon cancer patients, serum NAP1L1 levels were significantly higher for tumor size of >4 cm vs. <4 cm [15(12-16) vs. 12(12-14) ng/mL, p<0.001] and for M1 vs. M0 stage [15(12-16) vs. 12(12-14) ng/mL, p<0.001] (Table II).

Serum NAP1L1 levels were significantly higher in T4 stage tumors than in T1, T2 and T3 stage tumors (p<0.001 for each) and in T3 stage tumors than in T1 and T2 stage tumors (p<0.001 for each) (Table II, Figure 2).

Serum NAP1L1 levels were significantly higher in N2 stage tumors than in N0 and N1 stage tumors (p<0.001 for each) (Table II).

Gender is distributed homogenously between T stages, tumor size, distant metastasis and lymph node metastasis (p=0.793, p=0.834, p=0.746, p=0.823, respectively).

Discussion

Our findings revealed significantly higher serum levels for NAP1L1 in colon cancer patients than in control subjects and association of high serum NAP1L1 levels with increasing tumor burden in terms of advanced tumor stage and presence of local and distant metastases. Accordingly, our findings provide data for the first time in the literature on potential diagnostic, as well as prognostic role of NAP1L1 as a non-invasive biomarker in colon cancer patients.

Similarly, in a past study on analysis of genes expressed in colon adenocarcinoma, authors noted that NAP1L1 genes are overexpressed in tumors than in the adjacent non-cancerous tissues along with presence of serological responses to NAP1L1 antigens mainly in serum of cancer patients²⁵. However, in contrast to our findings, in past study²⁶ on assessment of Affymetrix transcriptional profiling by QRT-PCR in small intestinal carcinoid (SIC), liver and lymph node (LN) metastases, colorectal carcinomas and healthy tissues, authors²⁶ reported that NAP1L1 was significantly overexpressed in SIC compared with colorectal carcinomas and healthy tissue as well



Figure 1. The histogram of NAP1L1 levels in study groups.

	Ν	NAP1L1 levels (ng/mL), median (min-max)	<i>p</i> -value
Tumor size			
< 4 cm	28 (29.5)	12 (12-14)	$< 0.001^{1}$
>4 cm	67 (70.5)	15 (12-16)	
M Stage			
M0	20 (21.1)	12 (12-14)	$< 0.001^{1}$
M1	75 (78.9)	15 (12-16)	
T stage			
T1	19 (20.0)	12 (12-14)* ^{,q}	$< 0.001^{2}$
T2	16 (16.8)	12 (12-14)* ^{,q}	
Т3	20 (21.1)	14 (14-15)*	
T4	40 (42.1)	16 (14-16)	
N Stage			
NO	20 (21.1)	12 (12-14) ^w	$< 0.001^{2}$
N1	15 (15.8)	12 (12-14) ^w	
N2	60 (63.1)	15 (14-16)	

Table II. Preoperative serum NAP1L1 levels in colon cancer patients according to clinicopathologic variables (n=95).

*p<0.001 compared to T4 stage, p<0.001 compared to T3 stage and "p<0.001 compared to N2 stage. ¹Mann-Whitney U test, ²Kruskal Wallis test with post-hoc Dunn's test.

as in both liver and LN metastases compared with healthy tissue, whereas levels in colorectal carcinomas were similar to healthy mucosa.

Nonetheless, the association of NAP1L1 expression pattern with aggressive tumor pathology in colon cancer patients in the current study seems in line with data from a past study²³ among hepatocellular cancer (HCC) patients indicated that NAP1L1 expression was significantly upregulated in HCC



Figure 2. The histogram of the NAP1L1 levels in colon cancer patients according to tumor stage.

when compared to the adjacent non-tumor hepatocytes along with association of high NAP1L1 expression with aggressive clinicopathologic features, such as serum AFP levels, larger tumor size and late clinical stage. The authors also reported upregulated NAP1L1 expression to be an independent determinant of poor prognosis in HCC after curative resection and to predict poorer overall survival for TNM stage I-II patients²³. Likewise, higher NAP1L1 levels were noted in colon cancer patients, regardless of the disease stage, as compared to controls in the current study. Moreover, advanced-stage metastatic disease was apparent in most of our patients at the time of initial diagnosis along with significantly higher NAP1L1 levels in advanced-stage vs. early-stage disease. These findings seem to emphasize the clinical relevance of serum NAP1L1 in early detection for colon cancer.

Indeed, the potential role of NAP1L1 in oncogenesis has been reported in the literature with several studies indicating high NAP1L1expression in some tumor tissues, such as renal cell carcinoma²¹, pancreatic neuroendocrine neoplasm metastasis²⁴, appendiceal tumor²² and hepatocellular carcinoma²³. Moreover, NAP1L1 has been suggested to be involved in stress response and apoptosis, while NAP1L1 downregulation was reported to be associated with increased cell vulnerability to apoptotic cell death through attenuation of NF-κB transcriptional activity on the anti-apoptotic Mcl-1 gene²⁸.

NAP1L1 has also been suggested to be involved in AKT and ERK signaling in induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSC)²⁹, while NAP1L1 overexpression was noted in pancreatic neuroendocrine neoplasm metastases and considered to epigenetically promote the cell proliferation through regulation of p57 (Kip2) promoter methylation²⁴.

Given that NAP1L1 is considered a neoplasia-related gene associated with mitotic regulation, increase in serum levels with T3-T4 stage, N2 stage and M1 stage tumors as compared to lower TNM stages in the current study seems to emphasize the potential utility of NAP1L1 as an oncofetal protein in identifying the tumors' propensity to metastasize and poor oncological outcome among early stage colon cancer patients.

Certain limitations to this study should be considered. First, due to the cross-sectional design it is impossible to establish any cause and effect relationships. Secondly, given the relatively low sample size and the ethnic and racial diversity in colon cancer stage at diagnosis, our findings may not be generalizable to the entire colon cancer population. Third, serum NAP1L1 levels were measured only once at the time of initial diagnosis and the lack of data on longitudinal sequential measurements in relation to treatment is therefore another limitation which otherwise would extend the knowledge achieved in the current study. Nevertheless, despite these certain limitations, given the restricted amount of data available on the prognostic role of NAP1L1 in colon cancer, providing evidence regarding the utility of NAP1L1 as an oncofetal protein in diagnosis and identification of metastatic potential and poor oncological outcome among early stage colon cancer patients, our findings represent a valuable contribution to the literature.

Conclusions

In brief, our findings revealed substantial rise in serum NAP1L1 levels among colon cancer patients as compared to controls, as also correlated with the disease progression. Accordingly, NAP1L1 seems to be a potential biomarker for colon cancer, offering clinically important information on early diagnosis and risk stratification. Further larger scale prospective studies are required to achieve high level evidence on the potential utility of NAP1L1 in risk assessment of patients with early-stage colon cancer.

Conflict of Interest

The Authors declare that they have no conflict of interests.

Authors' Contribution

MAA, GG, RK contributed to conception/design of the research; MAA, RK and SA contributed to acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of the data; OK and MAA drafted the manuscript; OK and GG critically revised the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

References

- LI T, QIAN Y, LI H, DENG J. Combination of serum lipids and cancer antigens as a novel marker for colon cancer diagnosis. Lipids Health Dis 2018; 17: 261.
- BHURGRI H, SAMIULLAH S. Colon cancer screening-is it time yet? J Coll Physicians Surg Pak 2017; 27: 327-328.
- CAPPELL MS. Pathophysiology, clinical presentation, and management of colon cancer. Gastroenterol Clin North Am 2008; 37: 1-5.
- DIENSTMANN R, SALAZAR R, TABERNERO J. Personalizing colon cancer adjuvant therapy: selecting optimal treatments for individual patients. J Clin Oncol 2015; 33: 1787-1796.
- MAHASNEH A, AL-SHABERI F, JAMAL E. Molecular biomarkers for an early diagnosis, effective treatment and prognosis of colorectal cancer: current updates. Exp Mol Pathol 2017; 102: 475-483.
- PRATT VM. Are we ready for a blood-based test to detect colon cancer? Clin Chem 2014; 60: 1141-1142.
- 7) LAWRENCE N, HINDER V, MURRAY M, MACAPAGAL J, THOMPSON P, SHARPLES K, FINDLAY M. Transient elevation in serum carcinoembryonic antigen while on adjuvant chemotherapy for colon cancer: is this of prognostic importance? Asia Pac J Clin Oncol 2017; 13: e124-e131.
- TAN Y, WU H. The significant prognostic value of circulating tumor cells in colorectal cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Curr Probl Cancer 2018; 42: 95-106.
- 9) RUTTER MD, IOSIF B, ROLAND V, MO CH, CORLEY DA, CUATRECASAS M, DEKKER E, FORSBERG A, GORE-BOOTH J, HAUG U, KAMINSKI MF, MATSUDA T, MEIJER GA, MORRIS E, PLUMB AA, RABENECK L, ROBERTSON DJ, SCHOEN RE, SINGH H, TINMOUTH J, YOUNG GP, SANDULEANU S. WORld endoscopy organization consensus statements on post-colonoscopy and post-imaging colorectal cancer. Gastroenterology 2018; 155: 909-925.
- LAUENSTEIN TC, GOEHDE SC, RUEHM SG, HOLTMAN G, DEBATIN JF. MR colonography with barium-based fecal tagging: initial clinical experience. Radiology 2002; 223: 248-254.
- DAS V, KALITA J, PAL M. Predictive and prognostic biomarkers in colorectal cancer: a systematic review of recent advances and challenges. Biomed Pharmocother 2017; 87: 8-19.
- 12) GAWEL SH, LUCHT M, GOMER H, TREADO P, CHRISTENSEN LJ, NIELSEN HJ, DAVIS GJ; DANISH RESEARCH GROUP ON EARLY DETECTION OF COLORECTAL CANCER. EVALUATION

of algorithm development approaches: development of biomarker panels for early detection of colorectal lesions. Clin Chim Acta 2019; 498: 108-115.

- 13) WENG WH, HO IL, PANG CC, PANG SN, PAN TM, LEUNG WH. Real-time circulating tumor cells detection via highly sensitive needle-like cytosensor-demonstrated by a blood flow simulation. Biosens Bioelevtron 2018; 116: 51-59.
- 14) DONG L, ZHANG Z, SMITH K, KUCZLER M, REYES D, AMAND SR, CHO YK, XUE W, PIENTA KJ. The combination of size-based separation and selection-free technology provides higher circulating tumour cells detection sensitivity than either method alone in patients with metastatic prostate cancer. BJU Int 2020; 126: 191-201.
- LIM SB, LIM CT, LIM WT. Single-cell analysis of circulating tumor cells: why heterogeneity matters. Cancers (Basel). 2019; 11: 1595.
- TREVISANI L, ZELANTE A, SARTORI S. Colonoscopy, pain and fears: is it an indissoluble trinomial? World J Gastrointest Endosc 2014; 6: 227-233.
- 17) ATTIA M, RACHEZ C, AVNER P, ROGNER UC. Nucleosome assembly proteins and their interacting proteins in neuronal differentiation. Arch Biochem Biophys 2013; 534: 20-26.
- 18) OKADA M, HOZUMI Y, ICHIMURA T, TANAKA T, HASEGA-WA H, YAMAMOTO M, TAKAHASHI N, ISEKI K, YAGISAWA H, SHINKAWA T, ISOBE T, GOTO K. Interaction of nucleosome assembly proteins abolishes nuclear localization of DGKzeta by attenuating its association with importins. Exp Cell Res 2011; 317: 2853-2863.
- 19) McCole RB, LOUGHRAN NB, CHAHAL M, FERNANDES LP, ROBERTS RG, FRATERNALI F, O'CONNELL MJ, OAKEY RJ. A case-by-case evolutionary analysis of four imprinted retrogenes. Evolution 2011; 65: 1413-1427.
- 20) CLARK J, ALVAREZ DF, ALEXEYEV M, KING JAC, HUANG L, YODER MC, STEVENS T. Regulatory role for nucleosome assembly protein-1 in the proliferative and vasculogenic phenotype of pulmonary endothelium. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol 2008; 294: L431-439.

- 21) ZHAI W, MA J, ZHU R, XU C, ZHANG J, CHEN Y, CHEN Z, GONG D, ZHENG J, CHEN C, LI S, LI B, HUANG Y, XUE W, ZHENG J. MIR-532-5p suppresses renal cancer cell proliferation by disrupting the ETS1-mediated positive feedback loop with the KRAS-NAP1L1/P-ERK axis. Br J Cancer 2018; 119: 591-604.
- 22) MODLIN IM, KIDD M, LATICH I, ZIKUSOKA MN, EICK GN, MANE SM, CAMP RL. Genetic differentiation of appendiceal tumor malignancy: a guide for the perplexed. Ann Surg 2006; 244: 52-60.
- 23) LE Y, KAN A, LI QJ, HE MK, CHEN HL, SHI M. NAP1L1 is a prognostic biomarker and contribute to doxorubicin chemotherapy resistance in human hepatocellular carcinoma. Cancer Cell Int 2019; 19: 228.
- 24) SCHIMMACK S, TAYLOR A, LAWRENCE B, ALAIMO D, SCHMITZ-WINNENTHAL H, BUCHLER MW, MODLIN IM, KIDD M. A mechanistic role for the chromatin modulator, NAP1L1, in pancreatic neuroendocrine neoplasm proliferation and metastases. Epigenetics Chromatin 2014; 7: 15.
- 25) LINE A, SLUCKA Z, STENGREVICS A, SILINA K, LI G, RE-ES RC. Characterisation of tumour-associated antigens in colon cancer. Cancer Immunol Immunother. 2002; 51: 574-582.
- 26) KIDD M, MODLIN IM, MANE SM, CAMP RL, EICK G, LATICH I. The role of genetic markers--NAP1L1, MAGE-D2, and MTA1--in defining small-intestinal carcinoid neoplasia. Ann Surg Oncol 2006; 13: 253-262.
- 27) HARI DM, LEUNG AM, LEE JH, SIM MS, VUONG B, CHIU CG, BILCHIK AJ. AJCC Cancer Staging Manual 7th edition criteria for colon cancer: do the complex modifications improve prognostic assessment? J Am Coll Surg 2013; 217: 181-190.
- 28) ΤΑΝΑΚΑ Τ, ΗΟΖUMI Υ, ΙΙΝΟ Μ, GOTO K. NAP1L1 regulates NF-κB signaling pathway acting on anti-apoptotic Mcl-1 gene expression. Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Cell Res 2017; 1864: 1759-1768.
- 29) YAN Y, YIN P, GONG H, XUE Y, ZHANG G, FANG B, CHEN Z, LI Y, YANG C, HUANG Z, YANG X, GE J, ZOU Y. Nucleosome assembly protein 1-like 1 (Nap1I1) regulates the proliferation of murine induced pluripotent stem cells. Cell Physiol Biochem 2016; 38: 340-350.