Role of insulin resistance and adipocytokines on serum alanine aminotransferase in obese patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus
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Abstract. - BACKGROUND AND OBJECTIVE: The aim of our study was to study the association of insulin resistance expressed by HOMA and adipokines in obese type 2 diabetic patients with or without hyper-transaminasemia.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS: A population of 72 obese patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus was analyzed. HOMA-IR was calculated as indicator of insulin-resistance. Adipocytokines blood levels were measured.

RESULTS: Patients were classified as group I (n=37) when serum ALT activity was normal or group II (NAFLD patients: n=35) when serum ALT activity was greater than the median value of the group (≥ 28 UI/L). In NAFLD group, BMI, weight, fat mass, waist to hip ratio, waist circumference, triglycerides, HOMA and insulin levels were higher than control group.

In the logistic regression analysis with a dependent variable (ALT) and the statistical univariant variables as independent variables, the HOMA-IR remained in the model, with an Odd’s ratio of 1.21 (CI:95%: 1.11-1.35) to have a high ALT level with each 1 unit of HOMA-IR adjusted by age, sex, weight, and dietary intake.

CONCLUSIONS: Some metabolic parameters are associated with elevated ALT in female obese patients. However, adjusted by other variables, only insulin resistance remained associated.
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Introduction

Non-alcoholic fat liver disease (NAFLD) is a common liver disease characterized by elevated serum aminotransferase levels, hepatomegaly and accumulation of fat in liver accompanied by inflammation and necrosis resembling alcoholic hepatitis in the absence of heavy alcohol consumption. The natural history of NAFLD is not well defined; obesity is considered the most important risk factor.

There are a lot of reasons for the association of overweight with NAFLD. In different studies, waist to hip circumference ratio was correlated with degree of steatosis on liver biopsy. Insulin resistance has been associated with fat liver and NAFLD, too. The association with insulin resistance and obesity has also suggested that NAFLD should be considered part of the metabolic syndrome with hyperlipidemia, glucose intolerance, hypertension, and obesity. This implies that the increased mortality rate among NAFLD patients is partly due to these associations.

Adipose tissue secretes several bioactive proteins or adipokines, that regulate the metabolism. These adipokines include leptin, resistin, adiponectin and tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α). Leptin suppresses food intake and increases energy expenditure by enhancing thermogenesis and metabolic rate. Recent reports suggest that leptin contributes to atherosclerosis and cardiovascular disease in obese patients. Adiponectin is an adipocyte-derived collagen like protein identified through an extensive search of adipose tissue. Hypoadiponectinemia increased risk of coronary artery disease toghether with the presence of multiple risk factors, indicating that adiponectin is a key factor of the metabolic syndrome. Resistin is a 12.5 KD, cysteine-rich protein indentified by screening for the genes that are induced during the differentiation of the adipocytes with a questionable role in human obesity. TNF alpha and interleukin 6 are increased in most animal and human models with obesity and insulin resistance. Some studies have demonstrated an association of ALT with low levels of adiponectin and insulin resistance, too. However, this type of studies on liver mark-
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Colorimetry (Insulin, WAKO Pure-Chemical Industries, Osaka, Japan) and the homeostasis model assessment for insulin sensitivity (HOMA) was calculated using these values\textsuperscript{10} with a normal value of (2-14 UI/L) and analytical sensitivity 0.5 UI/L.

CRP was measured by immunoturbimetry (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany), with a normal range of (0-7 mg/dl) and analytical sensitivity 0.5 mg/dl.

Alanine aminotransferase and aspartate aminotransferase activities were determined by automated enzymatic colorimetric assay Hitachi 917 (Roche Diagnostics, Geneve, Switzerland).

Resistin was measured by ELISA (Biovendor Laboratory, Inc., Brno, Czech Republic) with a sensitivity of 0.2 ng/ml with a normal range of 4-12 ng/ml. Leptin was measured by ELISA (Diagnostic Systems Laboratories, Inc., Minneapolis, MN, USA) with a sensitivity of 0.05 ng/ml and a normal range of 10-100 ng/ml. Adiponectin was measured by ELISA (R&D Systems, Inc., Minneapolis, MN, USA) with a sensitivity of 0.246 ng/ml and an normal range of 865-21424 ng/ml. TNF alpha was measured by ELISA (R&D Systems, Inc., Minneapolis, MN, USA) with a sensitivity of 0.7 pg/ml and 0.5 pg/ml, respectively. Normal values of TNF-alpha was (0.5-15.6 pg/ml).

**Anthropometric Measurements**

Body weight was measured to an accuracy of 0.01 Kg and height on meters, body mass index (BMI) computed as body weight/(height\textsuperscript{2}). Waist (narrowest diameter between xiphoid process and iliac crest) and hip (widest diameter over greater trochanters) circumferences were measured and waist-to hip ratio (WHR) were measured, too. Bipolar body electrical bioimpedance was used to determine body composition (fat mass and fat free mass)\textsuperscript{11}. An electric current of 0.8 mA and 50 kHz was produced by a calibrated signal generator (Biodynamics Model 310e, Seattle, WA, USA) and applied to the skin using adhesive electrodes placed on right-side limbs. Resistance and reactance were used to calculate total body water, fat and fat-free mass.

Blood pressure was measured twice after a 10 minutes rest with a random zero mercury sphygmomanometer, and averaged.

**Dietary Intake**

Patients received prospective serial assessment of nutritional intake with 3 days written food
records. All enrolled subjects received instruction to record their daily dietary intake for three days including a weekend day. Handling of the dietary data was by means of a personal computer equipped with personal software, incorporating use of food scales and models to enhance portion size accuracy. Records were reviewed by a dietitian and analyzed with a computer-based data evaluation system. National composition food tables were used validated as reference12. Physical activity was assayed by a validated questionnaire fulfilled by the patients.

Statistical Analysis
The results were expressed as average ± standard deviation. The distribution of variables was analyzed with Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Quantitative variables with normal distribution were analyzed with a two-tailed, unpaired Student’s *t* test with Bonferroni corrections as needed. Non-parametric variables were analyzed with the Mann Whitney *U* test. Qualitative variables were analyzed with the chi-square test, with Yates correction as necessary, and Fisher’s test. Correlation analysis was realized with Pearson and Spearman tests. A logistic regression model was used to study the dependent variable (ALT) (group 1 vs group 2). A *p* value ≤ 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results
Seventy two patients (28 males and 44 females) gave informed consent and were enrolled in the study. The mean age was 57.5 ± 15.7 years and the mean BMI 37.8 ± 6.4.

Anthropometric measurements showed an average waist circumference (115.4 ± 14.1 cm), waist-to-hip ratio (0.95 ± 0.9), and average weight (95.3 ± 17.3 kg). Tetrapolar body electrical bioimpedance showed the next data: fat free mass (51.4 ± 14.1 kg) and fat mass (51.4 ± 14.3 kg). Serial assessment of nutritional intake with 3 days written food records showed a caloric intake of 1634 ± 521 kcal/day, a carbohydrate intake of 164.9 ± 62.6 g/day, a fat intake of 70.7 ± 31.6 g/day and a protein intake of 82.1 ± 23.2 g/day.

Patients were classified as group I (n=37, 15 males and 22 females) when serum ALT activity was normal or group II (NAFLD patients; n=35, 13 males and 22 females) when serum ALT activity was greater than the median value of the group (≥ 28 UI/L).

Table I shows the differences in anthropometric variables. In NAFLD group, BMI, weight, fat mass, waist to hip ratio and waist circumference were higher than control group.

Table II shows the differences in classic cardiovascular risk factors. In NAFLD group, triglycerides, HOMA and insulin levels were higher than control group. Caloric intake and physical activity were similar in both groups (Table III).

Table IV shows the blood adipocytokines levels. Adipocytokines levels were similar in both groups.

In the logistic regression analysis with a dicotomic dependent variable (ALT, group 2 vs group 1) and the statistical univariant variables as independent variables, the HOMA-IR remained in the model, with an Odd’s ratio of 1.21 (CI:95%: 1.11-1.35) to have a high ALT level (group 2) with each 1 unit of HOMA-IR adjusted by age, sex, weight, and dietary intake.

Discussion

The present study demonstrates that anthropometric parameters, triglyceride levels and higher levels of HOMA are associated to higher values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>(Group 1) [n = 37]</th>
<th>(Group 2) [n = 35]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>91.4 ± 16.3</td>
<td>99.3 ± 17.4*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>37.9 ± 6.4</td>
<td>39.6 ± 6.4*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat mass (kg)</td>
<td>45.1 ± 9.4</td>
<td>58.3 ± 15.1*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference</td>
<td>114.6 ± 14.4</td>
<td>116.2 ± 13.9*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist to hip ratio</td>
<td>0.94 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.97 ± 0.08*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI: Body mass index. (*p < 0.05), significant differences between groups.
of serum alanine aminotransferase (ALT). However, in a logistic regression only HOMA remained in the model as a predictor to have high levels of ALT.

Insulin resistance was measured by the HOMA method; this method correlates with euglycemic glucose clamp. In univariate analysis, patients with high ALT levels had better metabolic and anthropometric profile. However in logistic regression model adjusted by other variables only insulin resistance remained in the model. Previously, Marchesini et al. have demonstrated a closely correlation between insulin resistance (HOMA) and NAFLD. Other authors have been detected this relation using the clamp technique with results supporting our conclusions. Insulin resistance has a key role in the development of hepatic steatosis and, potentially, steatohepatitis. Obesity and type 2 diabetes, conditions associated with peripheral insulin resistance, are frequently observed in patients with NAFLD. Resistance to the action of insulin results in important changes in lipid metabolism. These include enhanced peripheral lipolysis, increased triglyceride synthesis, and increased hepatic uptake of fatty acids. Each of these contribute to the accumulation of hepatocellular triglyceride, which in turn results in a preferential shift from carbohydrate to fatty acids beta-oxidation, an occurrence that has been demonstrated in patients with insulin resistance.

In our study, BMI and waist to hip ratio were not independently associated with ALT levels, suggesting that obesity and splanchnic fat distribution might also be effects of insulin resistance, rather than being directly involved in the etiology of fatty liver. The finding of NAFLD in lean patients without diabetes mellitus, reinforces the proposal that insulin resistance is the main key in NAFLD, rather than the degree of generalized adiposity alone.

The reasons for the association between ALT and serum levels of leptin, adiponectin, are not clear in other studies. However, physiological

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>(Group 1) [n = 37]</th>
<th>(Group 2) [n = 35]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Systolic BP (mmHg)</td>
<td>135.1 ± 15.9</td>
<td>139.8 ± 15.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic BP (mmHg)</td>
<td>84.6 ± 8.5</td>
<td>86.4 ± 10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (mg/dl)</td>
<td>126.4 ± 21.7</td>
<td>134.3 ± 26.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total ch. (mg/dl)</td>
<td>215.8 ± 41</td>
<td>212.4 ± 42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL ch. (mg/dl)</td>
<td>140.5 ± 39.8</td>
<td>137.8 ± 35.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL ch. (mg/dl)</td>
<td>53.5 ± 13.1</td>
<td>50.3 ± 12.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mg/dl)</td>
<td>125.9 ± 54.5</td>
<td>172.3 ± 70.4*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin (mU/L)</td>
<td>18.9 ± 12.1</td>
<td>28.8 ± 14.7*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP (mg/dl)</td>
<td>8.1 ± 5.8</td>
<td>6.2 ± 4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMA-IR</td>
<td>5.8 ± 3.6</td>
<td>10.1 ± 6.7*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table II. Cardiovascular risk factors.

CRP: C reactive protein. HOMA-IR (homeostasis model assessment–insulin resistance) BP: blood pressure. (*p < 0.05), significant differences between groups.

---

Table III. Dietary intakes and habits.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>(Group 1) [n = 37]</th>
<th>(Group 2) [n = 35]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Energy (kcal/d)</td>
<td>1576 ± 507</td>
<td>1685 ± 396</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrate (g/d)</td>
<td>163.4 ± 67.2</td>
<td>166.2 ± 54.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat (g/d)</td>
<td>69.5 ± 26.7</td>
<td>75.4 ± 22.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol (mg/d)</td>
<td>215.8 ± 39.3</td>
<td>212.4 ± 39.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein (g/d)</td>
<td>82.8 ± 26.1</td>
<td>81.4 ± 20.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hs. Aerobic exercise per week</td>
<td>0.8 ± 2.0</td>
<td>0.9 ± 1.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No statistical differences.
explanations are possible. Adiponectin has been found to improve hepatic steatosis\(^{18}\) and leptin has been shown to be associated with NAFLD\(^{19}\). Adiponectin inhibits liver TNF alpha expression and also inhibits expression of several cytokines in hepatic stellate cells\(^{20}\). In interpreting these differences, a number of points need to be kept in mind. First, the lack of association in multivariate analysis between adiponectin and ALT in our study may be adiponectin is a subrogate variable of insulin resistance. Second, the type of patients included in the studies are different, one study has been designed\(^{21}\) with only men without overweight, perhaps these healthy subjects have a preliminary influence of adipocytokines and our sample (with females and males with and average BMI of 37) has a more important influence of insulin resistance than in a healthy population.

**Conclusions**

Anthropometric parameters, triglyceride levels, insulin resistance and HOMA are associated with elevated serum alanine aminotransferase (ALT) in naive obese patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. However, in a logistic regression model only insulin resistance remained in the model. Further studies are needed to evaluate this complex interaction.
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