Abstract. – AIM: To determine the larvicidal activity of various extracts of Gymnema (G.) sylvestre against the Japanese Encephalitis vector, Culex tritaeniorhynchus in Tamilnadu, India. To identify the active principle present in the promising fraction obtained in Chloroform:Methanol extract of Fraction 2.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: The G. Sylvestre leaf extracts were tested, employing WHO procedure against fourth instar larvae of C. tritaeniorhynchus and the larval mortalities were recorded at various concentrations (6.25 microg/ml); the 24h LC50 values of the G. Sylvestre leaf extracts were determined following Probit analysis. It was noteworthy, that treatment level 100 ppm exhibited highest mortality rates for the three different crude extracts and was significantly different from the mean mortalities recorded for the other concentrations.

RESULTS: The LC50 values of 34.756 microg/ml (24.475-51.41), 31.351 microg/ml (20.634-47.043) and 28.577 microg/ml (25.159-32.308) were calculated in acetone, chloroform and methanol extract with the chi-square values of 10.301, 31.351 and 4.093 respectively.

CONCLUSIONS: The present investigation proved that Gymnema sylvestre could be possibly utilized as an important component in the Vector control Programme.

Key Words: Gymnema sylvestre, Culex tritaeniorhynchus, Larvicidal activity, Saponin.

Introduction

Mosquitoes are vectors of many different disease agents around the world. Depending on the species, mosquitoes are vectors of protozoa (e.g. Plasmodium species) that cause malaria, the nematode worms that cause filariasis (e.g. Wuchereria brugia) and a large number of arboviruses (e.g. yellow fever and dengue viruses) including two of great impact in the tropical and subtropical regions (i.e. yellow fever and dengue viruses). Service(1) recorded 2 million people primarily in tropical countries as being at risk from mosquito – borne diseases. Though, mosquito – borne diseases currently represent a greater health problem in tropical and subtropical climates, no part of the world is immune to this risk(2). Control of mosquito – borne diseases is becoming increasingly difficult because of increasing resistance of mosquitoes to pesticides(3). However, the discovery of the insecticide Dichloro – diphenyl – trichloroethane (DDT) in the 1940s was a major breakthrough in the control of vector borne diseases. The insecticide was highly effective for killing indoor – resting mosquitoes when it was sprayed on the walls of houses. Moreover, it was cheap to produce and active over a period of time.

The application of these chemical insecticides, which has been in use for decades, has met with setbacks in the light of the development of vector resistance and some attendant environmental hazards. Don – Pedro and Adegbite(4) showed the ease with which resistance develops in their study in the health center and surroundings of the University of Lagos, where despite spraying Dichlovos insecticides on a two weekly basis for four years, a high mosquito population still persisted. In addition, Don – Pedro and Adigbite(4) also reported other deleterious effects of insecticides on non – target organisms. With these problems in focus, it becomes increasingly necessary to search for an alternative in the development of environmentally safe; biodegradable, low cost, indigenous methods for mosquito control which can be used with mini-
mum care by individuals and communities in specific situations. This work aims at determining the efficacy of Gymnema sylvestre acetone, chloroform and methanolic leaf extracts on Culex tritaeniorhynchus.

_Culex tritaeniorhynchus_ is a vector of Japanese encephalitis. A human orbivirus is also been isolated from this species in China. Isolates of Getah, Sindbis, Tembusu and dengue virus have also been found in this species. Larvae are found in many temporary, semi-permanent and permanent ground water habitats such as rice paddies, streams, swamps, shallow marshes, low salinity tidal marshes, ponds, wells, ditches, puddles containing fresh or slightly polluted water. In southern India this species is predominantly collected resting outdoors. However, it has been found occurring in unusually high numbers resting indoors during the day time. _C. tritaeniorhynchus_ bites throughout the night with minor peaks in activity at 9 pm and 2.30 pm. Females have preference cattle and pigs, but will also occasionally feed on birds and humans. In India, it was observed to predominantly feed on cattle, lesser extent on humans. Multiple feeding from two to three distinct host was observed during this study.

_G. Sylvestre_, rooting at nodes, leaves elliptic, acuminate, base acute to acuminate, glabrous above sparsely or densely tomentose beneath; Flowers small, in axillary and lateral umbel like cymes, pedicels long; Calyx-lobes long, ovate, obtuse, pubescent; Corolla pale yellow campanulate, valvate, corona single, with 5 fleshy scales. Scales adnate to throat of corolla tube between lobes; Anther connective produced into a membranous tip, pollinia 2, erect, carpels 2, unilocular; locules many ovuled; Follicle long, fusiform

Vector control is facing a threat due to the emergence of resistance to synthetic insecticides. Insecticides of botanical origin may serve as suitable alternative biocontrol techniques in the future. Although several plants have been reported for mosquitocidal activity, only a few botanicals have moved from the laboratory to field use, because they are poorly characterized, in most cases active principals are not determined and most of the works are restricted to preliminary screening. _G. sylvestre_ is large climbers, common flora distributed in many parts of India with medicinal properties, but the larvicidal activity of this plant against the important Japanese Encephalitis vector, _C. tritaeniorhynchus_ has not been reported so far. Hence, the present study was undertaken.

### Materials and Methods

**Extraction Procedure**

The plant _G. sylvestre_ was collected from the foothills of Western Ghats in Theni District, Tamilnadu, India during January –March on frequent field visit. The leaves first washed with running tap water and then shade dried on blotting paper at room temperature (28±2°C) for 7 days. Then the dried leaves were powdered by an electrical blender. The powder was sieved with the help of fine mesh then it was sequentially extracted in Soxhlet apparatus with acetone, chloroform and methanol. The plant material (1 kg) was loaded in the inner tube of the Soxhlet apparatus and then fitted into a round bottomed flask containing acetone. The solvent was boiled gently (40°C) by heating the mantle using the adjustable rheostat. The extraction was continued until complete extraction was achieved and the solvent in the flask was removed then substantially filled with chloroform then methanol individually. The crude extracts were then condensed with the help of rotary vacuum evaporator to yield residue (acetone 10.25 g; chloroform 8.50 g and methanol 5.25 g).

**Larval Rearing**

The mosquito larvae were recruited from the egg colony held at the Unit of Vector control and Phytochemical Research, Department of Zoology, Annamalai University, Annamalainagar, Tamilnadu, India, where they were reared in the laboratory. The eggs were washed with 0.01% formaldehyde solution for 30–40 minutes as recommended by Al-Masghadani et al. This is necessary as a precaution against possible microsporidian infections which might interfere with the normal development of the immature stages of mosquitoes and soaked in water to facilitate hatching. After hatching, first instar larvae were distributed in bowls 30 cm in diameter and 12.5 m in depth. Care was taken to prevent overcrowding until development to early 4th instar larvae required for the study. The larvae were kept in the plastic buckets half filled with tap water and fed with dog biscuit once a day initially and twice during the later stages of development. Water in rearing container was refreshed every day by removing a little quantity of water from the rearing buckets and replacing with fresh water. This was aimed at preventing scum from forming on the water surface.
Bioassay

Larvicidal Bioassay

Standard methods for testing the susceptibility of mosquito larvae to insecticides as stipulated by WHO\textsuperscript{13} were followed. The bioassay were performed at a room temperature of 28 ± 2°C, Relative humidity 75-85%, Photoperiod 12:12 (light: dark) and pH 7.0 of distilled water. Twenty five\textsuperscript{25} larvae selected by means of rubber pipette were placed in 4 small separate specimen bottles containing 25 ml distilled water, and then exposed to each of the concentrations of the extracts in a final volume of 245 ml distilled water taken in 500 ml plastic bowls. This is done by tripping the contents of smaller specimen bottles into the 500 ml plastic bowls. The larvae in all the bowls were fed every twenty four hours on equal amount of dog biscuit which was spread evenly across the water surface.

Five replicates for each of the test concentration and control (without plant extracts) were tested for anti-larval effects. The larval mortalities were recorded at intervals of 12 hours. Dead larvae were identified when they failed to move after propping with a needle in the siphon or cervical region. Similar types of bioassay were conducted with different solvent extracts (concentrations of 6.25, 12.5, 25, 50, and 100 µg/ml) of the \textit{G. sylvestre} fourth instar larval forms. Larval toxicity was also tested according to similar methodologies using the bioactive substances (from chloroform: methanol extract) isolated from thin-layer chromatographic (TLC) plates.

Phytochemical Analysis

The presence of phytochemicals was determined using the method described by Harborne\textsuperscript{14} and Evans\textsuperscript{15} with slight modifications. One or two drops of the chloroform: methanol extract were applied (using a capillary tube) to the bottom of each of the pre-coated and pre-heated (100°C for 30 min) glass plates (eight glass plates), which were prepared with silica gel G using Unoplan coating apparatus (Shandon, London, UK). After 5 minutes of drying, each of the plates was placed in the separate glass chamber for TLC analysis, with different solvent systems as the mobile phase. After the movement of solvent at the top of the plates, each plate was removed from the glass chamber and separately air-dried. After 10 minutes each of plates was sprayed with a different spraying reagent for the identification of appropriate phytochemical. The phytochemicals included in the study were sapogenins, steroid, terpenoids, flavonoids, alkaid, essential oils, phenolics and amino acids. A qualitative test was carried out to indicate the presence of saponins\textsuperscript{16}; the remaining phytochemicals were determined using TLC analysis by the application of suitable solvents and spray reagents and, in each case, \textit{R} \textit{f} values were recorded.

Ultraviolet-Visual and Infrared Analysis of the Active Ingredient

The chloroform:methanol extract of the \textit{G. sylvestre} was further chromatogrammed (25 plates) without the application of spraying reagents and each of the spots showed positive activity were separately scrapped according to their respective \textit{R} \textit{f} values. Then each of the spots with their distinguishing \textit{R} \textit{f} value was combined (from 25 plates) and undergoes further bioassay experiment to reveal the nature of active ingredient. As the spots exhibited positive response in Libermann Burchard reagent recorded highest larval mortality during further bioassay experiments, it undergoes spectral analysis by ultraviolet-visual (UV-Vis) and infrared (IR) spectroscopy. The UV-Vis analysis was carried out using a UV-1601 PC, SHIMADZU spectrophotometer (Shimadzu Biotech, Kyoto, Japan) with medium scan speed and sampling interval of 0.5 seconds. The IR spectroscopy analysis (Systronics India Ltd, Chennai, Tamilnadu, India) of the active spot was performed using KBr plates (JASCO FT-IR Model-420, Jasco Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) with a scanning speed of 2 mm s\textsuperscript{-1}. All solvents and reagents were of analytical grade and purchased from E. Merck, India. The TLC silica gel plates (0.25 mm thickness) were prepared and equilibrated with 2% (w/w) of water before use.

Statistical Analysis

All the mortalities were counted and recorded as in percentages. The percentage mortality observed (%M) was corrected using Abbott’s formula\textsuperscript{17} during the observation of the larvicidal potentiality of the plant extracts. Probit analysis\textsuperscript{1} was used to determine the median lethal concentration LC\textsubscript{50}\textsuperscript{19,20}. A 3 X 5 Factorial experiment in completely randomized block design analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed. Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT) was used to separate the means of the main factors (plant extract, concentration and their interactions).
Results

Larvicidal Activity

The efficacy of acetone extract of *G. sylvestre* on fourth instar larvae of *Culex tritaeniorhynchus* is presented in Table 1. The larvicidal effect of the plant extract was clearly dependent on the concentrations of the extract. All the larvae maintained in the control medium survived for 24 hr, thus no mortality was observed among the control batch larvae. The experimental larvae were more susceptible to the maximum concentration hence, the maximum larval mortality of 100.00% was observed in 100 ppm concentration of acetone and methanol extracts. DMRT analysis clearly showed significant difference in the mean mortality of the larvae among the various treatment concentration of the three extracts ($p \leq 0.05$). Besides, at 6.25 ppm concentration 6.88, 10.54 and 15.38% larval mortality was observed from acetone, chloroform and methanol crude extracts of *G. sylvestre*. Larvicidal activity of 20.08, 21.75, 27.92% were recorded in acetone, chloroform and methanol extracts respectively at 25.00 ppm concentration. Similar trend was also observed from the 50.00 ppm concentration of all the three extracts. It was noteworthy that treatment level 100 ppm exhibited highest mortality rates for the three different crude extracts and was significantly different from the mean mortalities recorded for the other concentrations. The LC$_{50}$ values of 34.756 ppm (24.475-51.41), 31.351 ppm (20.634-47.043) and 28.577 ppm (25.159-32.308) were calculated in acetone, chloroform and methanol extract with the chi-square values of 10.301, 31.351 and 4.093 respectively (Table I). In the same way the mean larval mortality obtained from various fractions were depicted in the Figure 1.

Phytochemical Analysis

The results of preliminary phytochemical analysis of the chloroform: methanol extract of the green berries of *G. sylvestre* is shown in Table II. A qualitative test indicated the presence of saponins and chromatographic analysis revealed the presence of steroids, alkaloids, terpenoids, saponins, amino acids, phenolics, flavonoids and essential oil as major phytochemicals and the absence of the terpenoids and phlorotannins following the application of different solvent systems and spraying reagents.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concentrations tested (µg/ml)</th>
<th>Mortality (%)</th>
<th>LC$_{50}$</th>
<th>95% confidence limit</th>
<th>$\chi^2$ value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lower</td>
<td>Upper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Acetone extract</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>34.756</td>
<td>24.475</td>
<td>51.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>6.88</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.50</td>
<td>20.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>45.17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>66.83</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chloroform extract</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>31.351</td>
<td>20.634</td>
<td>47.043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>10.54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.50</td>
<td>21.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>52.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>73.33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>99.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Methanol extract</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>28.577</td>
<td>25.159</td>
<td>32.308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>15.38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.50</td>
<td>27.92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>51.17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>75.83</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean ± SD for five replications. Values not sharing a common superscript differ significantly at $p < 0.05$ (DMRT).
When the isolated compounds from the TLC plates were further bio-assayed against the fourth instar larvae, the mortality was recorded in two compounds. The highest mortality (at a concentration of 100 ppm) was recorded in the first compound having R_f = 0.818 (94.5% in 48 hours at 100 ppm) followed by a second compound having R_f = 0.946 (84.33% in 48 hours at 50 ppm) with maximum absorption at 297.50 and 361.00 nm, respectively from fraction 2 during UV-Vis analysis.

**Discussion**

Biopesticides may serve as suitable alternative to chemical insecticides in future as they are relatively safe, inexpensive and available everywhere in the world. Nowadays, mosquito control is mostly directed against larvae and only against adults when necessary. This is because the fight against adult is temporary, unsatisfactory and polluting for the environment, while larval treatment is more localized in time and space resulting in less-dangerous outcomes. Larval control can be an effective control tool due to the low mobility of larval mosquitoes, especially where the principal breeding habitats are man-made and can be easily identified.

This work demonstrates the potency of *G. sylvestre* leaf extract as an effective larvicide against *C. tritaeniorhynchus* larvae; it was highly toxic to mosquito larvae. The high rates of larval mortality observed at 100 ppm within 48 hrs with LC_{50} value 28.57 ppm indicate the high toxicity of the methanol leaf extract. According to Mustafa and Al Khazraji Azadirachta showed excellent larvicidal properties at low concentrations against *Culex pipiens molestus*. Its LC_{50} value after 1 day was 62.5 µg/mL. Dua et al stated that, emulsified concentration of neem oil formulation showed...
95.5% reduction in larval population of *C. quinquefasciatus* in one day under field trials and thereafter 80% reduction was achieved up to the third week. The major bioactive constituents of *Gymnema sylvestre* is triterpenoid saponins (5.50%) and tannins (1.00%). Wiesman et al.\(^{24}\) reported that saponin extracted from the fruit of *Balanites aegyptiaca* showed 100% larvicidal activity against *Aedes aegypti* mosquito larvae. Results of present study are in line with earlier work done. Sharma et al.\(^{25}\) tested alcoholic and acetone extracts of *N. indium* leaf against *Anopheles stephensi* and found results of LC\(_{50}\) at 185.99 ppm after 24 hours of exposure. Srivastava et al.\(^{26}\) examined the aqueous and methanolic extract of *N. indium* lattices against *Culex quinquefasciatus* and obtained that different dilutions of the lattices delay the post embryonic development of *Culex larvae*, methanolic extract is 1.8 times more toxic than aqueous extract. Datura metel showed less activity among the tested plant extracts with 54% mortality at 5 ppm.

The secondary compounds of plants make up a vast repository of compounds with a wide range of biological activities\(^{27-31}\). Most studies report active compounds as steroidal saponins. Saponins are freely soluble in both organic solvents and water, and they work by interacting with the cuticle membrane of the larvae, ultimately disarranging the membrane, which is the most probable reason for larval death.\(^{32}\) Wiesman and Chapagain\(^{24}\) reported that saponin extracted from the fruit of *Balanites aegyptiaca* showed 100% mortality against larvae of *S. aegypti*. The larvicidal property of a saponin mixture isolated from *Cestrum diurnum* was also evaluated against *Anopheles stephensi* mosquito by Ghosh and Chandra\(^{33}\). Recently, Krishnappa and Elumalai\(^{24}\) reported that essential oil obtained from *Clausena excavata* exhibited strong larvicidal and ovicidal activity again *Spodoptera litura*. These findings have re- emphasised the need to explore the possibility of using plant based larvicides and reduce the chemical hazards in the environment. The leaf extract of *G. sylvestre* was very promising. Furthermore, all these plant materials can be easily collected from the nature. Therefore, plant originated insecticides can be used as sustainable larvicides in the mosquito control programme.

The present investigation revealed that the presence of several bioactive compounds in *G. sylvestre*. However, the IR spectra of the bioactive compounds during the present study also indicated that any saponin compound(s) is responsible for larval toxicity.

**Conclusions**

*G. sylvestre* offers promise as potential biocontrol agent against *C. tritaeniorhynchus* particularly in its markedly larvicidal effect. The extract or isolated bioactive phytochemical could be used in stagnant water bodies for the control of mosquitoes acting as vector for many communicable diseases. The extract or isolated bioactive phytochemical from the plant could be used in stagnant water bodies which are known to be the breeding grounds for mosquitoes. However, further studies on the identification of the active principals involved and their mode of action and field trials are needed to recommend *G. sylvestre* as an anti-mosquito product used to combat and protect from mosquitoes in a Vector Control Program.
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