Detection of coronary artery stenoses using breath-hold magnetic resonance coronary angiography. Comparison with conventional x-ray angiography
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Abstract. – Purpose: To detect coronary artery stenoses, we compare breath-hold magnetic resonance coronary angiography (MRCA) to conventional coronary angiography (CA).

Materials and Methods: Sixty-five patients with suspected coronary artery disease underwent MRCA and CA within one week. MRCA examination was performed by using the two-dimensional (2D) breath-hold technique with a fast spoil gradient-echo sequence/spiral. Each imaging sequence was obtained within one breath-hold in expiration (14 seconds of apnoea). The assessment of coronary artery stenoses on magnetic resonance (MR) angiograms was independently performed by two blinded readers and compared to conventional CA images.

Results: Three hundred and ninety segments were evaluated by the two imaging techniques. MRCA correctly detected 76 of 88 (86%) stenoses, and recognized 242 of 302 (80%) normal segments. The Pearson correlation coefficient between MRCA and CA in assessing coronary narrowings was very high: \( r = 0.85 \). Despite this the mean difference was 4.5 with a standard error of estimate of 0.21, indicating that MRCA slightly overestimates the degree of stenoses.

Conclusions: Our study showed that 2D breath-hold MRCA is an accurate technique in displaying and quantifying the most significant stenoses in the proximal and middle segments of the coronary arteries.
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Introduction

The first images of the coronary arteries with the magnetic resonance (M R) techniques were obtained in 1987, using a conventional spin-echo technique. The magnetic resonance coronary angiography (MRCA) was applied as a non invasive technique in the examination of coronary artery disease since the early 1990s, but the conventional coronary angiography (CA) is still the invasive method used to detect significant intraluminal narrowings, even if it may cause rare but dangerous vassal, cardiac and cerebral complications. The existing highly accurate non invasive assessment of small vessels in various regions of the body by MR angiography suggested the use of this technique as a reliable diagnostic tool in imaging coronary arteries, although there were technical difficulties related to cardiac and respiratory motion, small diameter, tortuous and non-linear course of the coronary arteries. In previous studies, MRCA was used to detect anomalous coronary arteries, coronary artery aneurisms or bypass graft patency. The good quality of the images in those initial MRCA studies encouraged a more extensive clinical application in coronary anatomy and pathophysiology. In the study of ischemic disease MR may provide diagnostic clues not only on coronary lesions, but also on flow velocity and tissue characterization of the atherosclerotic plaques “in vivo”. This would
make MRCA a powerful tool to screen patients at risk for future acute coronary syndromes.

The MRCA acquisition techniques can be distinguished into three generations. The first used single-slice 2D breath-hold sequences. The second one allowed 3D data sets during free breathing in multiple-slice acquisitions, using a respiratory belt or the navigator technique with triggering or gating on the diaphragm motion. Many disadvantages were overcome. The effects of cardiac and respiratory motion were abolished by 3D free-breath navigator-echo. The third generation acquired volume data sets within single or multiple breath-holds, using multislice 2D or 3D sequences. The poor signal suppression of epicardial fat and myocardium or the low contrast-to-noise ratio were minimized by new intravascular contrast agents.

On the other hand, there were some limitations to depict the coronary artery tree, due to a low signal in the distal segment of the arteries and to a still long examination time. However, new techniques decrease the examination time and allow the imaging of the entire coronary artery tree.

The aim of our study was to compare the multislice 2D breath-hold MRCA to CA in detecting and quantifying hemodynamically significant coronary artery stenoses in patients with ischemic heart disease.

Materials and Methods

Subjects
Sixty-five consecutive patients (48 men and 17 women) with clinically suspected ischemic heart disease underwent to MRCA and CA within one week. The mean age was 59.9 years (range 36 to 78 years). Patients with contraindications to MR examination (cardiac pacemakers, ferromagnetic implants), or with unstable angina, arrhythmias or claustrophobia were excluded. The conventional invasive CA was performed by different investigators unaware of MRCA data. A written informed consent was given by each patient.

MRCA Technique
All patients were examined in supine position with a 1.5 Tesla cardiac-dedicated scanner (G.E. Genesis Signa Gemsow). Data acquisition was triggered by the electrocardiogram and each imaging sequence was performed within one breath-hold in expiration (12-18 seconds of apnoea, mean: 14 seconds). The first step was to determine the position of the heart, using scout images. An oblique coronal image (where the atrio-ventricular groove with the proximal and distal segments of the right coronary artery – RCA – were shown) was required to image the entire course of the RCA. A sagittal scan (where the roots of the left coronary artery – LCA – and the RCA were shown) was then obtained for assessing the left anterior descending coronary artery (LAD) and a coronal localizer slice about the root of the aorta (where the left main coronary artery – LM – was imaged) was needed to acquire a scan plane which included the LM, the proximal left circumflex coronary artery (LCx) and the proximal LAD. The next step was to obtain several slices with the images of the maximum length of the coronary arteries, by using a “fast spoil gradient-echo sequence/spiral” (TR = 800-860; TE = 5.4; FOV = 24 x 24 cm). Using this technique it was possible to display quickly 16 or more sections in many phases of the cardiac cycle, because of the short TE used in imaging the blood, rapidly moving, that produces a high intensity and bright signal. The “spiral” gradient-echo sequence allowed a good spatial resolution in a reasonable short time, providing immediate feedback to the imaging operator.

Conventional Coronary Angiography Technique
The coronary X-ray angiography was done by the transfemoral approach (Judkins technique). The angiograms, documented in cinefilm, were examined and evaluated separately by two cardiologist who were not the MRCA investigators. Stenoses exceeding 50% of the luminal diameter were considered significant. In case of disagreement, a joint reading was needed.

Data Evaluation
The following segments were evaluated: LM (segment 5 according to the system of the American Heart Association), the proximal and middle segments of LAD (segments 6 and 7 and the first half of segment 8, respec-
tively), of LCX (segments 11 and 13) and of RCA (segments 1 and 2). The following classification was used for visual assessment: significant stenoses or occlusion, absence of significant stenoses or occlusion, impossibility to evaluate. According to previous studies side branches and distal segments were not included in the evaluation and a segmental reduction or signal loss in the MRCA image was considered to represent a coronary artery stenoses or occlusion.

**Statistical Analysis**

The assessment of coronary artery stenoses on the MR angiograms was independently performed by two experienced investigators, blinded to the findings of the CA examinations.

The length of the depicted coronary arteries was measured and was expressed as mean ± SD. The sensitivity, the specificity, the positive predicted value (PPV) and the negative predicted value (NPV) were calculated by standard methods.

The mean values of MRCA and CA coronary narrowings assessed by the two observers were considered for the analysis. The interobserver variability was evaluated by the method described by Bland and Altman.

The Pearson correlation coefficient and the linear regression analysis were used to compare MRCA-versus CA-detected coronary stenoses, by including all segments and by analysing separately proximal and middle tracts.

Furthermore, agreement between the data obtained by means of the two techniques was assessed by the method of Bland and Altman.

The plot of differences against their mean also allows to investigate any possible relationship between the measurement error and the true value. A “p” value less than 0.01 was considered significant.

**Results**

No patient experienced adverse events related to the MRCA technique. The average time of the examination, including the patient setting, was 25 ± 5 minutes.

**Coronary Vessel Length**

The length of the coronary arteries was evaluated per reader, per examined patients. The mean interobserver lengths were: 66.2 ± 5.5 mm for the combined LM and LAD coronary arteries; 25.3 ± 10.1 mm for the LCx; 109.7 ± 25.2 mm for the RCA.

**Coronary Artery Stenoses**

Out of the 65 patients studied, 52 had one or more coronary stenoses. Eighty eight hemodynamically significant stenoses were assessed by using the conventional CA: 48 out of 88 stenoses were localized in the proximal segments of the LAD, LCx, RCA or LM, 40 in the middle segments. Five patients had left main disease. MRCA adequately assessed 390 coronary artery segments and correctly detected 76 out of 88 (86%) significant coronary artery stenoses, while excluded coronary disease in 242 out of 302 (80%) not affected segments (Figures 1 and 2).

**Figure 1. A.** Magnetic resonance coronary angiography of a 65 year-old female patient, showing a significant stenosis of the left anterior descending coronary artery (arrows). **B.** The corresponding stenosis of the left anterior descending coronary artery as displayed by conventional angiography.
The agreement between the readers in evaluating MRCA-detected coronary stenoses was very high \((r = 0.90)\). The interobserver variability values were: mean difference = 0.26; standard deviation = 17.5 with standard error of estimates = 0.30. The sensitivity, specificity, PPV and NPV of MRCA in evaluating coronary stenoses compared to CA are summarized in Table I. In particular, we found the higher values regarding the LM tract and the proximal and middle segments of LAD and RCA, the lower values were calculated regarding the Lcx, particularly for the middle tract. The Pearson correlation coefficient between the coronary narrowings detected in all segments, calculated by using the two techniques was high: \(r = 0.85\), \(p < 0.01\) (Figure 3). The linear regression equation was calculated as \(y = mx + b\), by considering MRCA the dependent variable. It showed an intercept value of 6.0 and a slope value of 0.92. The mean difference was 4.5, indicating that MRCA slightly underestimates the degree of coronary stenoses. The standard error of estimates was 0.21. The 95% confidence interval for the bias was 41.3 to -32.3. The 95% confidence interval for upper and lower limits of agreement was 40.6 to 42.0 and -31.6 to -33.0, respectively. We observed a dispersion of data over the upper limits of agreement due to the lower concordance of the two technique in assessing coronary stenoses in the middle tract of epicardial vessels, mostly regarding LCx. Despite that, the observed limits of agreement were small enough to be confident (Figure 4).

Only considering the estimate of proximal lesions, we obtained a high correlation value: \(r = 0.86\) \((p < 0.001)\). The intercept value was 5.7 and the slope value was 0.91. Thus, MRCA tends to give a slight overestimation of the degree of coronary stenoses. We calculated a

### Table I. Overall MRCA data in the evaluation of coronary stenoses, compared to CA.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Segments</th>
<th>Sensitivity %</th>
<th>Specificity %</th>
<th>PPV %</th>
<th>NPV %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LM</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAD prox</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAD med</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAD</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lcx prox</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lcx med</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lcx</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCA prox</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCA med</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCA</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
mean difference of 3.9 with a standard error of estimate of 0.30. The 95% confidence interval for the bias was 40.2 to -32.4. The 95% confidence interval for upper and lower limits of agreement was 39.2 to 41.0 and -31.4 to -33.4, respectively. A correlation coefficient of 0.84 ($p < 0.001$) was calculated by comparing the degree of stenoses in the middle segments of coronary arteries. An intercept value of 6.3 and a slope value of 0.93 were determined. A higher estimation of degree of coronary narrowings was observed in evaluating middle

Figure 3. Correlation between magnetic resonance coronary angiography (MRCA) and conventional coronary angiography (CA) in evaluating the degree of stenoses.

Figure 4. Differences between the percentage degree of stenoses against the mean of measurements by two methods.
segments. We calculated a mean difference of 5.0 and a standard error of estimate of 0.31. The 95% confidence interval for the bias was 42.2 to –32.2. The 95% confidence interval for upper and lower limits of agreement was 41.2 to 43.3 and –31.2 to –33.3, respectively.

Discussion

The emerging role of MRCA in detecting coronary stenoses in comparison with conventional CA has been recently reported in literature. In our study we used the MR multislice 2D breath-hold sequence (spiral) to depict epicardial coronary segments and to detect intraluminal narrowings. According to our data, this technique has been shown to compare favourably with conventional CA for the detection of significant stenoses. Unlike previous 2D technique, that acquired a single slice per breath-hold, the multislice technique offers the option of acquiring multiple contiguous 2D slices in the same time frame, decreasing the difficulty of imaging the vessels and improving the skill of quantifying the severity of narrowing. Moreover, the multislice 2D technique provides higher intravascular signal intensity than 3D methods. On the other side, a 12 to 18 seconds breath-hold is required and this could be difficult for some patients, especially for those with severe cardiac or pulmonary dyspnoea.

We found a good sensitivity and specificity in detecting significant coronary stenoses and the resolution achieved by this technique allowed us to differentiate between non-significant and significant (> 50%) coronary stenoses, as provided by conventional CA, although MRCA gave us a slight overestimation of luminal narrowing. Extensive segments of the epicardial coronary arteries were consistently imaged in the angiograms of all enrolled patients, even if branch vessels with smaller diameters and distal coronary structures were not well displayed and so were excluded from the evaluation. In our study the reproducibility of MRCA in reading epicardial coronary lesions was very high, providing a consistency of image interpretation in all consecutive sessions.

The review of the literature showed some differences between our data, using the multislice 2D technique, and previous reports. Sandstedt et al. reported a sensitivity of 70% and a specificity of 90% for the detection of coronary stenoses. More recently, Watanabe et al., by using in 12 patients a high-resolution selective three-dimensional technique, reported a sensitivity of 80% and a specificity of 85%. The present study the sensitivity was slight higher (86%) and the specificity was 83%, with increased values as compared to Nikolaou et al. The good sensitivity and specificity of our study, similar to that reported by Watanabe et al., could be explained considering that all the distal segments were excluded because of their reduced image quality. It could produce false positive results and the real stenoses could be hidden. Although the multislice acquisition allowed to image the entire course of the coronary tree, we limited the assessment of stenoses only to proximal and middle segments of the vessels to avoid the unacceptable increase of false positive results.

We did not use contrast agents in our study because the bright-blood effect produced a good contrast-to-noise ratio within all the coronary artery tree. However, MRCA image contrast may be improved by the use of contrast agents. The usefulness of conventional extra cellular contrast agents has been demonstrated in breath-hold MRCA. New intravascular contrast agents have been developed and some of them are currently un-
undergoing Food and Drug A dministration trials. These intravascular agents with a high relaxivity remain within the vessels longer than extra cellular ones and they are likely to benefit the breath-hold technique\textsuperscript{38}.

Conclusions

Although MRCA should not be considered applicable for the detection of all coronary artery stenoses, because spatial and temporal resolutions are still limited, its current clinical role could be crucial in ruling out the atherosclerotic involvement of major epicardial coronary arteries in patients at-risk for myocardial ischemia. The possibility to quantify flow within coronary arteries, as recently reported\textsuperscript{38}, combined with morphological and perfusion studies, will considerably increase the clinical usefulness of this non-invasive technique. Thus, MRCA would be more effective in detecting coronary artery diseases and so its clinical role will be more definite in the future years.
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